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## PREFACE.

The Greek text of the following Canons is that given in the Paris Edition of Zonaras, 1618, compared throughout with the text of Justellus and Bp Beveridge, as reprinted in Migne's series, the various readings of which are indicated in the margin by the letters $M$. and $\mathrm{M}^{2}$. respectively. $\quad \mathrm{R}$. in the margin refers, in the General Councils, to Routh's Opuscula, and in the Councils of Ancyra and Neocæs. to the Reliquiæ Sacre. The Latin is that contained in the works of Zonaras, as above specified.

In the English translation Johnson (Vade-Mecum, 3rd Ed. Lond. 1723) has been generally followed: ${ }^{1}$ but as the Editor feels that a translation of such documents as those contained in the following work should be as close and literal as possible, wherever Johnson's did not seem to him to be such, he has freely departed from him.

The present translation possesses so much in common with Johnson's, and yet differs from it so materially, that the Editor had at one time resolved to reprint Johnson's translation entire in an Appendix. This design he has been forced for the present to lay aside, but may carry it out, should circumstances justify him in publishing a companion volume to the present, almost ready for the press, containing, on the same plan, the Canonical Code of the African Church, and that of the later Greek Church, or the Canons of the Council in Trallo.

It is hoped that the notes may be found useful, as referring, if nothing more, to the sources whence fuller information may be derived.

June 24th, 1868.
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## CONTENTS.


${ }^{1}$ Some, as Binius, Caranza, \&c., date this Council so far back as A.D. 324. See Bp Bev. note; his own conclusion is: Habita est . . . Antiochena anno Domini 341. Ergo paulo ante illud tempus presentem etiam synodum celebratam fuisse non immerito suspicamur.
2. . si non certum sit, saltem probabile est, hane synodum illis diebus, hoc est, anno Domini 365, plus minus habitam fuisse, \&c., \&c. Bev.

## ERRATA.

## GREEK TEXT. <br>  $\rho \omega \theta^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$. <br> , 30, Can. v., for $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ r e a d ~ П a \tau \rho ̀ ̀ \varsigma, ~$ <br> 46, line 5, for à̇̄च̃ read aùrỹ <br> 72, Can. xxyi., for $\mathfrak{\text { in }}$ тои̃то read èk тоv́тои

ENGLISH TRANSLATION.
Page 35, Can. vii., line 11, omit (ing) and read anathematize
, 65, Can. xvii., line 6 from end, for on read or
„77, Can. xxx., for alledging read alleging

Page 109, Can. xiv., line 1, omit they
"137, Can. 16, line 2 frow end, omit comma after is

## NOTES.

Page 10, note 4, for Novatus read Novatian " 12, note 1, line 3, omit vii.
", 22, note 3, line 4, omit letter,
" 50 , note 4, last line, onit comma after serious
80, note 4, for Idem, read Zonaras.
91, note 6, line 1, for than read to
96, note 1, for Hermes read Hermas
112 , note 2 , line 5 , onit among them

## INTRODUCTION.

Litrle need be said in order to impress upon those acquainted with the subject of the following pages, the advantages to be derived from the study of the ancient Canons of the Universal Church.
Much weight is deservedly attached to a statement respecting the rites and discipline of the carly Church when found even in a single writer, provided he be properly qualified in all respects for delivering an opinion on the subject. When many writers living about the same time and, it may be, in countries widely remote, unite in bearing testimony to any fact, e. g. to the existence of any rite or ceremony as prevailing in the Church in their own times, we naturally yield a readier assent. But when the rulers of the Church from all parts of the Christian world, or even from a single province, meeting together for solemn deliberation, either make new rules, or renew and enforce old ones, intended to regulate the discipline and external worship of the Church, as well as to correct and restrain particular aluses, surely here is testimony of the most satisfactory kind. Viewed merely in the light of historical documents (and it is only in this light they are regarded throughout the following work), the Canons of the early Councils possess an authority peculiar to themselves. They not only represent the private opinions of individuals, but are the result of the united wisdom of many, and are stanped with the seal of public authority. The student of Church History should never fail to make himself familiar with documents which display so clearly, and in so condensed a form, the rules which regulated the discipline of the Church Catholic for so many ages, and upon which so many usages which prevail among ourselves are founded.

Some time before the Council of Constantinople (A. D. 381), a collection was made containing the Canons of Nicæa, and those of the five provincial Synods of Ancyra, Neocæsarea, Gangra, Antioch, and Laodicea. That the collection was made before the Council of Constantinople, may be made appear with sufficient probability from the following consideration: If the collection were made after that Council, or the Council of Ephesus (431), the Canons of these
general Councils would have been placed, most probably, immediately after the 20 Canons of Nicæa, just as those of Nicæa were placed before the Canons of Ancyra and Neocæsarea. ${ }^{1}$ But that the Canons of Constantinople and Ephesus were not contained. in the collection read at the Council of Chalcedon, or at all events did not occupy that place in the volume which it is presumed they would have occupied if the collection were made later than A. $\mathbf{v}$. 431 , appears clearly from the acts of that Council. It is there recorded that Atius the Archdeacon read from a book two Canons numbered 83 and 84 , which agree word for word with the 4 and 5 Canons of Council of Antioch. Again, the 16 and 17 Canons of Antioch were recited as the 95 and 96 in the volume. Now, if the Canons of Nicæa, Ancyra, Neocessarea, Gangra, and Antioch, be added together, the 4 and 5 of Antioch will make the 83 and 84, in like manner the 16 and 17 of Antioch will be the 95 and 96 , in order from the beginning. ${ }^{2}$
Dionysius Exiguus informs us that the number of Canons contained in the Colleetion which he translated from the Greek, was 165, including, it should seem, the three Canons (in the Greek four) of Constantinople. ${ }^{3}$ He says in the Preface to his translation, "Then we have disposed in numerical order, from the first article (a primo capite) to the $165^{4}$ as they are contained in the original Greek, the Canons of the Nicæne Synod, and then of all the Councils which were held, either before or after it, up to the Synod of 150 Bishops who assembled at Constantinople." The Canons of the Council of Ephesus were added "perhaps by Stephen, Bishop of Ephesus." ${ }^{5}$ Finally, when the Council of Chalcedon made its 29 Canons, they were added to the ancient Codex

[^1]Canonum, and so was completed the Canonical Code of the ancient Church, which was afterwards confirmed by the Emperor Justinian; he says (Novell. 131, ch. i.): "We decree that the ecclesiastical Canons made or confirmed by the four holy Synods, that is, of Nicæa, Constantinople, the first of Ephesus, and Chalcedon, have the force of laws." "Where by the word confirmed we must understand the Canons of the above-mentioned provincial Synods of Ancyra, Neocæsarea, Gangra, Antioch, and Laodicea, which were received by the whole world, and which, being approved and confirmed by the first four Councils themselves, were contained in the Book of the Canons of the Universal Church, as Theod. Balsam. expressly declares, \&c." Justel.

A Canon ${ }^{1}$ was made at the third Council of Carthage, at the suggestion of St Augustine according to Possidonius, that the Canons of the Church should be read to candidates for ordination, whether Bishops or others, in order to secure them from the danger of violating the rules of the Church (as he himself had done) through ignorance. And the fourth Council of Toulouse ${ }^{2}$ orders clergymen, in addition to the careful study of the sacred Scriptures, to make themselves acquainted also with the Canons of the Church, an advice judicious in itself, and which, if understood to apply only, to the early Canons, might be obeyed, with much profit to themselves, by many at the present time. For partly, owing to the general disrepute into which that burdensome and cumbrous system called "Canon Law" has deservedly fallen: partly because books on the subject are not generally accessible, it has come to pass, that the vast majority of Christians generally are totally wacquainted with the Canons of the early Church. Indeed we may apply to this subject the words of an editor of the Loci Theologici, of Ph. Melancthon: Hoc pro certo affirmari poterit, apud Nostrates inter centum . . . . vel candidatos, vel studiosos S. Theologiæ, vix tres reperiri, qui (Canones) vel legerint, vel oculis unquam adspexerint. ${ }^{3}$.

Applying the words of Cassiodorus to the Greek Canons in the following collection, I would affectionately say to the young student of Christian Antiquities : Hos . . oportet vos assidue legere, ne videamini tam salutares ecclesiasticas regulas culpabiliter ignorare.
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# CANONES SANCTÆ ET ©CUMENICA PRIMÆ 

## SYNODI NICENA.

## CANON I.

Si quis a medicis in morbo excisus, vel a Barbaris exsectus est, is in clero maneat. Si quis autem, cum esset sanus, seipsum execuit, eum etiam in clero constitutum cessare convenit, et deinceps nullum talem oportet promoveri. Quemadmodum autem hoe manifestum est, quod de iis qui de industria hoc agunt, et se ipsos audent excindere, dictum est, ita si aliqui a Barbaris, vel a dominis castrati sunt, inveniantur autem et ii alioqui digni, tales in clerum admittit canon.

If any one have had an operation performed upon him, in sickness, by physicians... . let him continue in the Clergy; but if any one in health has emasculated himself, even though already enrolled among the Clergy, he ought to cease from his office, and henceforth no such person must be promoted. But as it (this) is manifest that we speak of those who wilfully contrive this matter, and dare to emasculate themselves, so if any one have been reduced to this condition by Barbarians or their masters, and are in other respects found deserving, the Canon admits such into the Clergy.

## CANON II.

Quoniam multa, vel necessitate, vel urgentihus hominibus, preter canonem ecclesiasticum facta sunt, ut homines quie vita Gentili ad fidem nuper accesserunt, et exiguo tempore catechumeni, id est initiati fuere, statim ad lavacrum spiritale dedu-

Since many things have been done contrary to the ecclesiastical Canon, either through necessity, or otherwise through the over-forwardness of men, in bringing immediately to the spiritual laver men who have lately come over to the faith

[^4]










## CANON III.






[^5]cant, et semel ac baptizati fuerint, ad from a heathenish course of life, and who
episcopatum vel presbyteratum provehant, recte habere visum est, ut nihil deinceps tale fiat. Nam et catechumeno tempore opus est, et post baptismum, probatione majore. Apertum enim est scriptum Apostolicum, quod dicit, Non neophytum (id est recens plantatum), ne inflatus in judicium incidat, et diaboli laqueum. Si outem procedente tempore animale aliquod peccatum circa personam inventum fuerit, et a duobus vel tribus testibus convincatur, cesset, qui talis est, a clero. Qui autem præter hæc facit, ut qui magnæ Synodo adversus esse audeat, ipse de clericatu in periculum veniet.
were catechumens but for a short time, and in promoting them immediately on their baptism to the episcopal or priestly office: It seems good that nothing of this sort be done for the future, for both time is necessary for the oatechumen, and after baptism a longer probation. For the Apostolic rescript is clear, which says, "Not a novice, lest being puffed up he fall into condemnation and the snare of the devil." But if in process of time some sensual sin (see note) be discovered with regard to the man, and he be convicted by two or three witnesses, let such an one cease from the clerical office. But he who shall act otherwise shall imperil his office (shall be in danger with regard to his office), as one who daringly opposes the great Synod.

## CANON III.

Vetuit omnino magna Synodus ne liceat Episcopo, nec Presbytero, nee Diacono, nec ulli penitus eorum qui sunt in Clero, introductam habere mulierem, preterquam utique matrem, vel sororem, vel amitam, vel eas solas personas, quæ omnem suspicionem effugiunt.

The great Synod altogether refuses permission to Bishop, Priest, Deacon, or, in short, to any of those in the Clergy, to have in their houses a strange woman (so Rufinus and Justellus translate the word), except only a mother, or sister, or aunt, or such persons only as are entirely beyond all suspicion.
contubernalem habeat virum, sive monachus feminam, atque hoc genus olim in usu fuisse inter Christivnos, sed improbatum propter suspicionem stupri." Rufinus in his Ece. His. (B. 1, ch. 6), giving the substance of the Nicene Canons, thus trauslates this 3rd Canon:-Et ne quis Episcoporum cesterorumque clericorum, cum extraneis mulieribus habitet, \&c. This expresses the meaning in a general way,-includes the other interpretations, and enables us to translate without a paraphrasc. Johnson ("for want," as he explains, "of a proper English word to render it by ") translates thus ...."to retain auy woman in their houses, under pretence of her beirg a disciple to them." Vid. Bingham's Antiq., B. 6, ch. 2, sec. 13. See what the great Athanasius thought of such a connection (at least in one particular case). Op. vol. i. col. 725 ,
 ò $\mathfrak{k}$ maptépou. Patrol. Gr., vol. xxv. 725. In the section above quoted Bingham observes, when speaking of persons who entered into this unhappy comnection, and the vain excuses which they offered, "But the Chnrch did not regard vain words, but treated them as they justly deserved, as persons that used a scandalous and indecent liberty, and who were the very pests and




 See Cyprian, Epist iv. page 7-10. Oxford, 1682. Allusion is supposed to be made to tbis custom in the Pustor of Hermas, Vision 2, eh. 2 (Tatrol. Grec., vol. ii. col. 898). Sed impropera verba hæe filiis tuis omnibus, et conjugi tuce quie futura est soror tua.
${ }^{4}$ Episcopus, vel quilibet alius clericus, aut sororem, aut filiam virginem dicatam Deo, tantum secum habeat; extraneam ncquaquam habere placuit. Conc. Elib. Can. 27 (A, D, 305).

## CANON IV.






 $\pi$ плíт $\eta$.

## CANON V.
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 R.


 R.
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## CANON IV.

Episcopum oportet, maxime quidem ab omnibus qui sunt in provincia constitui. Si autem sit hoc difficile, vel propter urgentem necessitatem, vel propter vix longitudinem, tres omnino eundem in locum congregatos, absentibus quoque suffragium ferentibus scriptisque assentientibus, tunc ordinationem fieri: corum antem quas fiunt confirmationem, in unaquaque provincia a Metropolitano fieri.

A Bishop ought indeed chiefly to be constituted (ordinari, Dion. Exig.) by all the Bishops in the province. But if this (such a thing) be difficult, cither by reason of urgent necessity, or the length of the way; when three by all means have met together, the absent also giving their suffrage, and testifying their assent by letter, then let them perform the ordination, but the ratification of the proceedings must be allowed to the Metropolitan in each province.

## CANON V.

De iis qui a communione segregati sunt, sive clericorum sive laïcorum sunt ordinis, ab Episcopis qui sunt in unaquaque provincia, valeat sententia secundem canonem qui pronuntiat eos qui ab aliis ejecti sunt, non esse ab aliis admittendos. Examinetur autem, nunquid vel simultate, vel contentione, vel aliqua ejusmodi Episcopi acerbitate, congregatione pulsi sint. U't hoc ergo convenientem examinationem accipiat, recte habere visum est ut singulis annis, in unaquaque provincia bis in anno Synodi fiant: ut cum omnes provincia Episcopi in eundem locum communiter conveniant, ejusmodi questiones examinentur: et sic quos Episcopum offendisse constiteret, juste esse a congregatione separati apud omnes videantur, donec Episcoporum congregatione videatur pro iis humaniorem proferre sententiam. Synodi autem fiant, una quidem ante quadragesi-

As to those who have been excommunicated, either of the Clergy, or in the rank of laymen, let the sentence be observed by the Bishops in every province, according to the Canon which enjoins, that they who are cast out by some be not received by others; but let inquiry be made whether they have been put out of communion through the narrow-mindedness (or, illiberality, pusillanimitate, Dion. Exig.), litigiousness, or any such ill-feeling of the Bishop. In order therefore that this receive due examination, it seems good that Synods be held twice a year in each province, in order that, when all the Bishops of the province are publicly gathered together, such questions may be examined, and thus, they who have confessedly offended the Bishop, may appear to all to be justly excommunicated, until it seem grod to the community of Bishops to pass a more
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## CANON VI.
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## CANON VII.



[^8]mam, ut omnibus animi sordibus sublatis, favourable sentence in their behalf. Let purum munus Deo offeratur. Secunda autem, autumni tempore.
the Synods be held, the one before Lent, that so, all dissension being removed, the pure oblation may be offered to God; but the other about the season of autumn.

## CANON VI.

Antiqui mores serventur qui sunt in Жgypto, et Libya, et Pentapoli, ut Alexandrinus Episcopus horum omnium potestatem habeat, quandoquidem et Episcopo Romano hoc est consuetum, similiter et in Antiochia, et in aliis provinciis, sua privilegia ac suæ dignitates et auctoritates Ecelesiis serventur. Illud autem est omnino manifestum quod si quis absque Metropolitani sententia factus sit Episcopus, eum magna synodus definivit non esse Episcopum. Quod si quidenı communi omnium decreto, quod sit rationi consentancum, et ex regula Eeclesiastica factum, duo vel tres propter suam, qua delectantur, contentionem contradicant, vincant plurium suffragia.

Let ancient customs prevail, those in Egypt, Libya, and Pentapolis, that the $\mathrm{Bi}-$ shop of Alexandria have power over all these, since this is customary for the Bishop of Rome also (since the Bishop of Rome also has a similar custom). Likewise in Antioch and in the other provinces let their privileges be secured to the churches. But this is clearly manifest, that if any be made a Bishop without the consent of the Metropolitan, the great Synod has determined such an one ought not to be Bishop. If, however, two or three through a private (peculiar) spirit of contention, do contradict the common vate of all, being reasonable in itself and in accordance with the Ecclesiastical Canon, then let the vote of the majority prevail.

## CANON VII.

Quoniam obtinuit consuetudo et antiqua
principes Christianos, et omnes populos eorum, \&c. Carauza, Sum. Concil., fol. 633, Lugd., 1587.
${ }^{4}$ i. e. Exercise the power of a Metropolitan over them. Justellus, in a note on this Canon, observes. Hac éGovoia est potestas Metropolitani, quam Nicexi Patres decernuut deberi in tribus provinctis hoc Canone denominatis Agyp., Lib., et Pentapol., quæ totam Egyptiacam diœcesim constituebant, tam in civilibus quam ecolesiasticis. Bp Stilling. says, "I do confess there was something peculiar in the case of the Bp of Alexandria, for all the provinces of Egypt were under his immediate care, which was Patriarchal as to extent, but Metropolitical in the administration."
${ }^{5}$ "The rights and privileges ( $\boldsymbol{\pi} \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon \pi \alpha$ ), which are ordered by these Canons (i. e. this present Can. and 2nd of Const.) ta be preserved for the Church of Antioch, consist in this, that the Bishop of Antioch be preferred before all the Metropolitans in the Oricntal diocesc, no autiority being granted (attributed) to him over other Metropolitans, except the honour of precedency (honorem ordinis), but not that all the Metropolitans of the Oricntal diocese should be ordained by him, by any peculiar authority, as the Epistle of Innocent I. to Alexander of Antioch seems to assert, contrary to the meaning of the Nicene Synod. Ut Innocentis primi epistola ad Alexandrum Antiochenum asserere videtur, contra mentom Synodi Nicance." Justellus. An im- • portant concession. See more on this subject in Stilling., pp. 106-7.



## CANON VIII.
















[^9]traditio, ut qui est in Alia Episcopus, prevailed, that the Bishop of Alia should honoretur, habeat honoris consequentiam, be honoured, let him have the next place Metropoli propria dignitate servata. of honour, saving to the Metropolis its proper dignity.

## CANON VIII.

De iis, qui seipsos, к $\alpha \theta a$ povg, id est puros, quandoque nominant, ad Catholicam autem et Apostolicam Ecclesiam accedunt, sancte magne Synodo visum est ut impositis is manibus sic in clero maneant. Ante omnia autem hoc in scriptis ipsos profiteri convenit, quod adhærebunt et sequentur Catholicæ et Apostolicie Ecclesiæ decreta; id est, quod et cum digamis communicabunt, et cum iis qui in persecutione lapsi sunt, in quibus et tempus constitutum est, et opportunitas præfinita, ut ipsi sequantur in omnibus Eeclesiæ decreta. Ubi ergo omnes, sive in vicis, sive in urbibus, ipsi soli inveniuntur ordinati, qui inveniuntur in clero, erunt in eodem ordine. Si autem Catholice Ecclesix Episcopo vel presbytero existente, accedunt aliqui, clarum est quod Ecolesie quidem Episcopus, Episcopi dignitatem habebit; qui autem apud eos qui Cathari dicuntur, nominatur Episcopus, presbyteri bonorem habebit; nisi utique

As to those who call themselves Cathari, if they come over to the Catholic and Apostolic Church, it seems good to the holy and great Synod, that having received imposition of hands, they remain thus in the clergy (or, that they who are ordained shall continue in the clergy). But before all things it is fit they confess this in writingthat they will adhere to and follow the doctrines of the Catholic and Apostolic Church; that is, that they both will communicate with digamists and with those who have fallen away in the persecution (for whom both a time has been appointed, and a period for their pullic penance fixed), so that they will in all things follow the doctrines of the Catholic Church. Whenever, therefore, either in villages or cities, they alone are found to be in orders, they who are found in the clergy shall remain in the same rank. But if any come over where there is a bishop or presbyter of the Catholic Church,
and Bp Beveridge, or rather the Greek original: the words are, \&c." But then it is not of so much importance how the words are translated, if we remember, that most probably, in any case, the Canon alludes not to re-ordination, but to that solcmn imposition of hands always observed when not only repentant heretics und schismatics but also penitents were received into the commumion of the faitlful. "Heretics, or persons ordained by heretics, if, acknowledging their error, they came over to the Church, were received by imposition of hands, and confirmed in their sacerdotal office" (in sacerdotium). Justellus. Bp Beveridge denies that such imposition of hands is spoken of here, he says, Fateor quidem, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{c}$. ., " 1 confess, indeed, that of old repentant heretics were received into the Catholic Church by imposition of hands." Sed satis mirari nequeo, quare Christophorus Justellus aliique hunc Canonem de istiusmodi $\chi$ eцpoteria interpretarenter. And he concludes that the laying on of hands here alluded to was not that "whereby they were admitted into the Church by the Catholics, but that by which they were received into the clergy by the Novatians. And thus Balsamon and Zonaras interprets this Canon." See Bingham, Antiq., B. iv. ch. 7, page 162, who, however, speaks hesitatingly on this particular case, although he shows clearly that re-ordination was contrary to the general practice of the Church. Conrayer declares tbe present Canon to be obscure, but supposes that "it cannot be reasonably interpreted of anything but re-ordinations." Dissertation on the Validity of the Ordinations of the English, page 270. Oxford, 1844. On the subject of re-ordination in general, compare Palmer's Treatise on the Church, vol. ii. pt 6, ch. 6, with Courayer (as above), ch. xr. For the case of the penitents, see Marshal's Penitential Discipline, pp. 64-9, \&c. Oxford, 1844.
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## CANON IX.







## CANON X.





## CANON XI.




[^10]Episcopo placeat ipsi nominis honorem it is clear that the bishop of the Chureh shall
impertiri. Si autem hoc illi non placeat, vel chorepiscopi vel presbyteri locum excogitabit, ut esse omnino in clero videatur, ne in civitate duo sint Episcopi.
retain the dignity of a bishop, but he who was styled a bishop by those who are called Cathari, shall heve the honour of a presbyter, unless, indeed, the bishop think fit to impart to him a nominal honour (or rather "the honour of the name"). But if he choose not to adopt this course, he shall provide for him the post, either of Chofepiscopus, or presbyter, that he may seem to be at all in the clergy, lest there be two bishops in one city.

## CANON IX.

Si qui citra examinationem promoti sunt presbyteri, vel examinati sua peccata confessi sunt, eisque confessis, proter canonem moti homines manus imposuerunt, eos Canon non admittit. Quod est enim a reprehensione alienum (quod irreprehensibile est, Dion. Ex.) defendit Ecclesia.

If any have been promoted presbyters without examination, or being examined have confessed their sins, and after having confessed, men acting (being moved) contrary to the Canon have laid their hands on them (on such), these the Canon does not admit, for the Catholic Church defends only what is irreproachable.

## CANON X.

Quicunque ex iis qui lapsi sunt, vel per ignorantiam, vel scientibus iis qui promoverant, ordinati sunt, hoc ecclesiastico Canoni non prejudicat. Ii enim cogniti deponuntur.

As many of the lapsed as have been ordained either through ignorance, or even with the knowledge of those who ordained them, this does not prejudice the ecclesiastical Canon, for being discovered (or "known"), they shall be deposed.

## CANON XI.

De iis qui sine necessitate, vel sine facultatum suarum ablatione, vel sine ullo periculo, vel aliquo ejusmodi, transgressi sunt, quod sub Licinii tyrannide factum est,

As to those who have transgressed without necessity, or without the loss of their property, or without danger, or any such thing, which happened under the
coadjutor, or perhaps successor, in the episcopal office. Eus. Ecc. Hist., B. vi. ch. 11. This, according to Valesius, is the first example of the kind that occurs in history. Routh, Reliq. Sacr., vol. ii. 35, 46. Oxford, 1814.
${ }^{3}$ The particular inquiries instituted with regard to candidates for ordination, related to their faith, their morals, and their outward state and condition in the world. See Bingh. Antiq., B. iv. cc. $3,4$.

4 "As to particular crimes, there were a great many that unqualified men (for ordination), whether they had done public penance for them or not, such as the three great crimes of murder, adultery, and tapsing in time of persecution." Id. page 142. See Apost. Can. 61. "Eגcyov . . .

 say that as baptism makes the baptized person a new man, so crdination takes away the sins committed before ordination, which (opinion) is not in accordance with the Canons.)

 таL. M. K.



${ }^{b}$ عúx $\bar{\omega} \nu . M^{2}$.

## CANON XII.













[^11]Synodo visum est, etsi humanitate indigni sunt, clementia tamen et benignitate in eos uti. Quicunque ergo germane et vere ponitentia ducuntur, tres annos inter auditores exigent ut fideles, et septem annis prosternentur supplices, duobus autem annis, absque oblatione erunt orationum cum populo participes.
tyranny of Licinius; it seems good to the Synod, even though they are unworthy of kindness, not withstanding to deal graciously towards them. As many therefore as do sincerely repent, they who were formerly communicants (faithful) shall spend three years among the hegrers, for seven years they shall prostrate themselves, but for two years they shall communicate with the people in the prayers, without the oblation.

## CANON XII.

Qui autem a gratia quidem cvocati, et primum suum ardorem ostenderunt, et cingula deposuerunt, et postea autem ut canes ad suum vomitum reversi sunt, ut nonnulli etiam pecuniam profunderent, et beneficiis militiam assequerentur, hi decem annis prosternantur supplices, etiam post triennii auditionis tempus. In his autem omnibus examinare convenit consilium et speciem pœnitentiæ. Quicunque enim, et metu, et lachrymis, et tolerantia, et bonis operibus, conversionem et opere et habitu ostendunt, hi impleto auditionis tempore quod præfinitum est, merito orationum communionem habebunt, cum eo quod etiam liceat Episcopo humanius aliquid de eis statuere. Quicunque autem non adeo graviter tulerunt, nee multum sua referre existimarunt, satisque esse pu-

They who have been indeed called by grace, and have manifested their first ardour, and have cast away their girdles, hut afterwards returned like dogs to their vomit, as some have even expended money, and by presents have re-established themselves in the army, let these prostrate themselves ten years, after the period of three years in which they have been hear. ers (lit. " of hearing"), during all which it is fit to weigh carefully their purpose of mind, and the manner (appearance) of their repentance. For as many as with fear, and tears, and patience, and well-doing demonstrate their conversion, in deed, and not in outward form merely, they, after having fulfilled the appointed time of being hearers (of hearing), shall with good reason communicate in the prayers, together with a
 $\boldsymbol{\kappa} a \tau \eta \chi^{\circ} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \omega \nu$. Zon. See Marshall's Penitential Discipline, page 55.
${ }^{5}$ During these two years they were called co-standers, $\sigma v \nu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau a \mu \varepsilon$ yot (or "by-standers," as Marshall calls them); they stoon with the communicants, and remained in the church during the celebration of the Lord's Supper, which they were allowed to witness, yet not to communicate. The station of the mourners was considered an introduction to the penitential discipline, rather than a part of that discipline itself.
 exinde orta est, quod cum quis in militiam conseriptus, milesque factus fuit, cingulum, sive zona, qua cingebatur, ei data est. Bev. (Here "to lay aside the girdle" is the same as to "renounce a military life," but this form of speech is derived from the circumstance that when any one was enrolled into the army and became a soldier, a belt or girdle with which he was girded was given to him.)
${ }^{7}$ Compare Conc. Ancyr. Can, v., Conc. Chaleed. Can. xvi, infr. The abuse of this powernamely, of granting under certain circumstances a relaxatiou of the penitential exercises enjoined by the Canons-led, in later times, to the practice of commuting such exercises for money payments, \&c. Marshall's Penitential Discip., page 128. Dingh. Antiq., B. xviii. ch. 4, sec. 9.
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## CANON XIII.
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## CANON XIV.





## CANON XV.





[^12]tarunt in Ecclesias ingredi ad conversionem, license to the bishop to form some more tempus omnino impleant.
license to the bishop to form some more But as many as have borne their penance unconcernedly, and have thought the form of entering into the Church to suffice for their conversion, shall by all means fulfil the time.

## CANON XIII.

De is autem qui excedunt, antiqua et canonica lex nunc quoque servabitur ut si quis vita excedat, ultimo et maxime necessario viatioo ne privetur. Si autem deploratus et communionem assecutus, rursus item convaluerit sit cum iis qui orationum sunt tantum communionis participes. In summa autem, de quolibet excedente et eucharistiæ participationem petente, episcopus cum examinatione eum oblatione impertiat.

But with regard to those who are about to depart this life, the ancient and canonical law shall be observed now also, that if any one depart this life, he must not be deprived of the last and most necessary viaticum; but if such a person, being despaired of, and having obtained the communion, is again numbered among the living, let him remain (be) among those who communicate in the prayer only. And generally, with regard to any person whatever, who at the approach of death desires to partake of the eucharist, let the bishop with examination impart to him the oblation.

## CANON XIV.

De catechumenis, et qui lapsi sunt visum est sancta et magnæ synodo, ut ii tribus annis tantum audientes, postea orent cum catechumenis.

With regard to those who have been catechumens, and have lapsed, it seems good to the holy and great Synod, that they be hearers only, for three years, and afterwards pray with the catechumens.

## CANON XV.

Propter multum tumultum, et seditiones quef fiunt, omnino visum est ut consuetudo quæ preter Canonem in nonnullis partibus invenitur, tollatur; ut a civitate in civitatem nec episcopus, nec presbyter, nec diaconus transeat. Si quis autem pest sanctæ

By reason of the great disorder and disturbances which exist, it seems good that the custom which is found in some places, contrary to the Canon, be wholly laid aside, so that neither bishop, priest, or deacon remove from city to city.

[^13]





## CANON XYT.












[^14]et magnæ Synodi definitionem tale quidpiam adgressus fuerit, vel se negotio ejusmodi manciparit, quod factum erit, omnino infirmabitur, et ecclesiæ restituetur cui episcopus vel presbyter ordinatus fuerit.

But if any after the decision of the holy and great Synod should attempt any such thing, or resign himself up to such a practice, all the proceedings (lit. what he has accomplished) shall be entirely null, and he shall be restored to the Church, for which he was ordained bishop or presbyter.

## CANON XVI.

Quicunque temere et inconsiderate, nec Dei timorem præ oculis habentes, nec Ecclesiasticum Canonem scientes (agnoscentes, Dion. Ex.), presbyteri vel diaconi, vel quicunque omnino in Canone recensentur, ab Ecclesiis secesserint, ii in aliena Ecclesia nullo modo recepi debent, sed omnino cogendi sunt in suas ipsorum parochias redire, vel si perseverent, eos a communione separatos esse oportet. - Sin autem etiam ausus fuerit quispiam, eum qui ad alium pertinet, surripere, et in Ecclesia sua ordinare, non consentionte proprio Episcopo, a quo recessit, qui in Canone censetur, irrita sit ordinatio.

Whatever priests, or deacons, or whoever are enrolled in the Clergy list (Canon), do, rashly, neither having the fear of God before their eyes, nor regarding the ecclesiastical Canon, remove from their own church, these ought not by any means to be received in another church, but must of necessity be compelled (lit. all necessity ought to be laid upon them) to return to their own parishes, or remaining they ought to be excommunicated. But if any one shall dare surreptitiously (see in note the trans. of Dion. Exig.) to carry away one belonging to another, and ordain him in his own church, without the consent of his proper (own) bishop from whom he removed, though he is (lit. he who is) enrolled in the Clergy list, let the ordination be null.

[^15]
## CANON XVII.
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## CANON XVIII.
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## CANON XVII.

Quoniam multi qui in Canone recensentur, plura habendi studium et turpe lucrum persequentes, divinex Scriptura obliti sunt, quæ dicit, Argentum suum non dedit ad usuram, et fenerantes, centesimas exigunt, wquum censuit sancta et magna Synodus, ut si quis inventus fuerit post hoc statutum usuras ex adinventione aliqua sumere, vel eam rem aliter persequi, vel sesquialteras exigere, vel aliquid aliud excogitare turpis quæstus gratia, e Clero deponatur, et sit alienus a Canone.

Since many emrolled in the Canon, pursuing covetousness and base gain, liave forgotten the divine Scripture which says "he hath not given his money upon usury," and lending money demand at the rate of 12 per cent. interest (see note ${ }^{2}$ ), the holy and great Synod thinks it just, that if any one after this decision be found to take usury by secret transaction, or otherwise managing the business, demanding a sum equal to half the principal as interest (see note "), or, in short, contriving any other device for filthy lucre's sake, he shall be deposed from the clerical office, and be estranged from the Canon (Clergy roll).

## CANON XVIII.

Pervenit ad sanctam et magnam Synodum, quod in nonnullis locis et civitatibus Diaconi dant presbyteris Eucharistiam, quod neque Canon neque consuetudo tradidit, ut qui offerendi potestatem non habent, iis qui offerunt, dent Corpus Christi. Jam vero illud etiam cognitum est, quod jam quidam ex Diaconis etiam ante Epis-

It has come to the lnowledge of the holy and great Synod that in some places and cities the deacons give the Eucharist to the priests, a thing which neither canon or custom has handed down, that they who have not power to offer, should give the Body of Christ to those who do offer it. And this also has been made known to us,

[^17]- $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} . \mathbf{R}$











## CANON XIX.










[^18]copos Eucharistiam attingunt. Hæc ergo that now some of the Deacons do take (do oninia auferantur, et Diaconi intra suas touch) the Eucharist before the Bishops. mensuras permaneant, scientes quod sunt quidem Episcopi ministri, presbyteris vero minores. Accipiant antem suo ordine Eucharistiam post presbyteros, eis prebente Episcopo vel Presbytero. Sed nee in medio quidem presbyterorum liceat Diaconis sedere. Id enim sit præter Canonem et ordinem. Si quis autem non vult obedire, etiam post has constitutiones, a Diaconatu desistat.

Let all these things then be laid aside, and let the Deacons continue within their proper bounds (lit. proper measures), knowing that they are indeed ministers of the Bishop but inferior to the Priests. Let them receive the Eucharist in the proper order, after the Priests, either the Bishop or Priest administering it to them; but let it not be lawful for the Deacons to sit among. the Priests, for this practice is contrary to canon and order. But if any will not (i. e. wishes not to) obey even after these decisions, let him cease from the Diaconate.

## CANON XIX.

De Paulianistis qui deinde ad Ecclesiam confugerunt, statutum est, ut ii omnino rebaptizentur. Si qui vero tempore præterito in clericorum numero erant, siquidem a culpa et reprehensione alieni visi fuerint, rebaptizati ordinentur a Catholice Eeclesiæ Episcopo. Si vero examinatio eas non esse aptos deprehendit, deponi eos oportet. Similiter autem et de Diaconissis, et omnino de omnibus qui inter clericos annumerantur, eadem forma servabitur. Diaco-

As to the Paulianists who come over (fly) to the Catholic Church a decision has been made, that they be by all means rebaptized: but if any in time past have been enrolled among the Clergy, if they appear blameless and irreprehensible, having been baptized, let them be ordained by the Bishop of the Catholic Church. But if the examination find them unfit, they ought to be deposed. Likewise also with regard to their Deaconesses, and, in

[^19]
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## CANON XX.






[^20]nissarum autem meminimus quæ in habitu short, the same form shall be observed quidem censentur, quoniam nec ullam ha- respecting all enrolled in the (their) clergy. bent manuum impositionem, ut omnino inter Laicos ipsæ connumerentur. But by Deaconesses we mean those who were esteemed such from their habit, for they received not even any imposition of hands, so that they are to be reckoned altogetlier of (or "among") the laity.

## CANON XX.

Quoniam sunt quidam, qui in die Dominico genu flectunt, et ipsis diebus Pentecostes, ut omnia similiter in omni parochia serventur, visum est sancte synodo, ut stantes Deo orationes effundant.

Because there are some who kneel on the Lord's-day, and even in the days of Pentecost: that all things may be uniformly performed (preserved) in every parish, it seems good to the holy Synod, that prayers be offered to God standing.
the reconciliation and reception of penitents, \&e., and that solemn imposition of hands with prayer used when an iudividual was set apart and appointed to an office in the Church, for this is ordination in the strict sense. It is somewhat important on this question to remember that in the Apost. Const. the same form of prayer in substance, with imposition of hands, used at the ordination of deacons, was also used at the ordination of deaconesses; for the former the fol-




 deaconess (Apost. Const. lib. 8, ch. 19), Cotelerius remarks, Frequentissime Graei, rarissime Latini.
${ }^{3}$ Bingh. Antiq., B. ix. ch. 2. Book ix. ch. 8, in this latter place he says, "As for the ancient names, I have had occasion to show before, that the words mapotkia and doík $\begin{aligned} & \text { ars, for the three }\end{aligned}$ first ages were of the same importance, denoting not what we now call a parish church, but a city with its adjacent towns or conntry region."

See also Sclater's Original Draught of the Primitive Church, ch. 2, particularly pp. 29-36 of the Oxford Reprint.
${ }^{4}$ See on this subject Bingh. Antiq., B. xiii. ch. 8, see. 3, and B. xx. ch. 6. Tertul. De Orat., ch. 22, ap. Routh. Opus., p. 113. Kaye's Tertuliian, pp. 407-9, Camb., 1826.
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## CANON 1.
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## CANON II. ${ }^{1}$
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[^21]
# CANONES SYNODI CONSTANTINOPOLITANÆ 

## GCUMENICA SECUNDA.

## CANON I.

Statuerunt, qui Constantinopoli convenerunt sancti Patres, cccxvili. Patrum, qui Nicea convenerunt, fidem non abrogari, sed firmam ac stabilem manere oportere, et omnem hæresin anathematizari, et specialiter Eunomianorum, seu Eudoxianorum, et Semiarianorum sive Spiritus Sancti adversariorum, et Sabellianorum, et Marcellianorum, et Photinianorum, et Apollinaristarum.

The holy Fathers met together at Constantinople have decreed that the creed of the 318 Fathers who assembled at Nicæa of Bithynia, be not abolished, but that it (that) remain firm: and that every heresy be anathematized, and specially that of the Eunomians or Eudoxians, and that of the Semiarians or Pneumatomachi, and that of the Sabellians, Marcellians, Photinians, and Apollinarians.

## CANON II.

Episcopi, ad Ecclesias que sunt ultra suam diocesin, suosque limites, ne accedant, nec Ecclesias confundant, sed secundum canones Alexandrix quidem Episcopus Ægyptum solam regat: orientis autem Episcopi orientem solum administrent, servatis privilegiis ac preeminentiis, quæ sunt in Niceni concilii Canonibus Antiochenæ Ecclesiæ. Et Asianæ diœcesis Episcopi qua sunt in sola Asiana administrent, et Thraciz Episcopi Thraciam tantum regant, et Pontice Ponticam. Non vocati autem Episcopi, ultra diœcesim ne

Let not Bishops go out of (beyond) their dioceses to Churches beyond their bounds, nor disturb the Churches, but according to the Canons, let the Bisbop of Alexandria administer the affairs of Egypt alone, and the Bishops of the East govern the East alone; the rights and privileges mentioned in the Nicene Canons being preserved inviolate to the Church of Antioch. Let the Bishops of the Asian diocese administer the Asian affairs only. And the Bishops of the Pontic diocese, the affairs of Pontus only; and they of Thrace,
scribit. Sed eum abunde refutavimus in notis ad librum v. Hist. Theod., ubi nautas pro Episcopis a Baronio sumptos esse ostendimus. Patrol. Grec. vol. 67, col. 1439.
${ }^{2}$ Valesius observes that this word is capable of a double meaning, for it may be translated supra as well as extra. Lucas Holstenius understood it in the former sense : but, as Valesius remarks, the Canon itself fixes the meaning and decides for the latter interpretation. "Addunt
 èmírootot iidem sunt ac ù $\pi \varepsilon \rho \dot{\rho} \rho\left\llcorner\frac{t}{}\right.$, quomodo Socrates paulo ante vocavit Gregorium Nazianzenum.'" Note on. Soc. Ecc. H., v. 8, where the substanee of this Canon is given.

 present Canon, that of old all the Metropolitans of provinces were independent, and were ordained by their own Synods.) Balsamon in loc.








## CANON IIIT. ${ }^{2}$
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## CANON IV.







[^22]transeant, ad ordinationem, vel aliquam aliam administrationem Ecclesiasticam. Servato autem præscripto de diœcesibus canone, clarum est, quod unamquamque provinciam provinciæ synodus administrabit, secundum ea quæ fuerunt Nicæz definita. Quæ autem in barbaris sunt gentibus, Dei Ecclesias administrare oportet secundum patrum, quæ servata est, consuetudinem.
the affairs of the Thracian diocese only : but let not Bishops go out of their diocese to ordination, or any other ecclesiastical administrations, uninvited. 'The aforesaid Canon concerning the dioceses being observed, it is evident that the provincial Synod shall arrange the affairs of each diocese according to the decrees made at Nicæa: but the Churches of God among the barbarous nations ought to be governed according to the established custom of the Fathers.

## CANON III.

Constantinopolitanus Episcopus habeat priores honoris partes post Romanum Episcopum, eo quod sit ipsa nova Roma.

That the Bishop of Constantinople have the prerogative of honour, next after the Bishop of Rome, because it (i. e. Constantinople) is new Rome.

## CANON IV.

Statuerunt etiam de Maximo Cynico, et ejus petulantia, et insolentia, que fuit Constantinopoli, ut Maximus Episcopus, nec fuerit, vel sit, nec qui ab eo ordinati fuerunt, in ullo, quicunque is sit, gradu cleri, omnibus, et quæ circa ipsum fuerunt, et que ab illo facta sunt, infirmatis.

As to Maximus the Cynic, and the disorders occasioned by his means at Constantinople; it is agreed that Maximus neither was nor is a Bishop, nor are they who have been ordained by him in any order whatever of the clergy, since both all things which lave been done concerning him, and by him, are rendered null.

Church. "Some (according to Zonaras) maintained the word $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ was indicative of time, not




 quoted remarks) "the interpretation which represents this preposition $\mu \varepsilon \tau a$ as indicative of time, not of rank, is forced, and yields not a good or sound meaning." See Conc. of Chal., Can. 28; also Conc. in Trullo, Can. 36, which enjoins (renewing this present Canon and the 28th of Chal-
 that of Old Rome," but yet, "in ecolesiastical matters should pay it honour, as being second after it."
${ }^{4}$ See Sozomen's Ecc. Hist. vii. 9, and the note of Valesius (note 1, Patrol. Graec., 67. Col. 1438), where many circumstances favourable to Maximus are pointed out.
${ }^{5}$ Sozomen gives the substance of this Canon in the following words: Máce $\mu 0 \nu \delta \hat{z}, \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$
 neither was nor is a bishop, neither are they clergymen who were ordained by him), which has been followed in the English translation.

CANON V. ${ }^{1}$

 $\theta$ ө́́т $\eta \tau a$.

## CANON VI.
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## CANON V.

Quod ad volumen attinet occidentalium, etiam eos suscipimus qui Antiochiæ unam Patris, et Filii, et Sancti Spiritus deitatem confitentur.

As to the tome of the Western Bishops, we receive also those at Antioch, who acknowledge the one Deity of Father, Son, and Holy Ghost.

## CANON VI.

Quoniam multi Ecclesiasticum ordinem confundere, et subvertere volentes inimice, et, sycophantice adversus orthodoxos Episcopos, qui Ecclesias administrant, accusationes quasdam confingunt, nihil aliud quam sacerdotum bonam existimationem contaminare, et in pace degentium populorum tumultus concitare conantes: ea de causa placuit sancte Synodo Episcoporum, qui Constantinopoli convenerunt, non sine discussione admittere accusatores, nec omnibus eorum qui Ecclesias administrant, accusationes permittere, nec omnes excludere: sed si quis propriam quidem querelam, id est, privatam intendat Episcopo, ut detrimento aliquo, vel injuria aliqua ab ipso affectus, in ejusmodi accusationibus, nee accusatoris personam nec religionem examinari : oportet enim Episcopi conscientiam esse omnibus modis liberam, et eum qui sibi injuriam factam esse dicit, cujuscunque sit religionis, jus suum consequi. Si autem sit crimen ecclesiasticum, quod Episcopo intenditur, tunc examinare personas accusatorum : ut primum quidem hæreticis non liceat orthodoxos Episcopos pro rebus ecelesiasticis accusare: hæreticos autem dicimus, et

Because many desiring to confound and subvert the ecclesiastical order, do maliciously and slanderously fabricate certain charges against the orthodox Bishops who govern the Churches, attempting nothing else than to sully the reputation of Priests, and to raise disturbance among peaceable people; for this reason the holy Synod of Bishops assembled at Constantinople has decreed; that accusers be not admitted without examination, and that neither all be permitted to bring accusations against those who govern the Churches, nor yet in truth all be excluded. But if any one bring any personal, that is, private accusation (complaint) against the Bishop, as having been oppressed, or having suffered at his hands (lit. "from him ") any other thing contrary to right, in the case of such accusations, neither the character (person) nor the religion of the accuser should be considered; for it is fit both that the conscience of the Bishop should be clear in every respect, and that he who says he is wronged should have justice done to him (should receive his rights) of what religion soever he be. But if an ecclesiastical crime be objected against the Bishop,
stand by it a decree, or definition of faith, made at Sardica confirming the erecd of the Council


 sion of faith was drawn up by this Council, but in this they are in error, as the decisive language of Athanasius proves. The Creed is given by Theodor. Ece. Hist., B. ii. Conf. Patrolog. vol. viii. 920, note 11. By tome of the Western Bishops is to be understood an exposition of faith, drawn up in a Synod of Antioch held under Melitius, A. D. 378 , sent to Damasus Bp of Rome and other Western Bishops." Justellus, Ap. Patrolog. vol. lxvii. col. 128. Routh's Opuscula, vol. ii. page 448-9.
 $\mu_{0} \nu_{0} \nu$. Apost. Can. 75. Conf. 129 Can. Afr. Code.
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[^24]qui olim ab Ecclesia abdicati sunt, et qui sunt postea a nobis anathematizati : ad hac autem, et eos qui se sanam quidem fidem confiteri pre se ferunt, avulsi autem sunt, et abscissi, et adversus canonicos nostros Episcopos congregationem faciunt. Preterea autem etsi aliqui eorum, ab Ecclesia ob aliquas causas, prius condemnati, et ejecti, vel excommunicati fuerint, sive ex clero, sive ex laïcorum ordine, nec eis licere Episcopum accusare, priusquam proprium crimen absterserint. Similiter autem et eos qui prius rei facti accusatique sunt, non prius ad Episcopi, vel aliorum clericorum accusationem admitti, quam se objectorum sibi criminum insontes ostenderint. Sed si nonnulli, nec hæretici, nec excommunicati fuerint, nec prius damnati, vel aliquorum criminum accusati, dicant autem se habere aliquas adversus Episcopum criminationes, eos jubet sancta Synodus primum quidem apud provincix Episcopos accusationem persequi, et apud eos probare crimina Episcopi, qui aliquarum rerum accusatur. Quod si evenerit, ut provinciales Episcopi crimina que Episcopo intentata sunt, corrigere non possint, tunc ipsos accedere ad majorem synodum dicecesis illius Episcoporum pro hac causa convocatorum : et accusationem non prius intendere, quam æquale sibi periculum statuant, siquidem in rebus examinandis, accusatum Episcopum calumniari convicti fuerint. Si quis autem iis que ut prius declaratum est, decreta fuerunt, contemptis, ausus fuerit, vel imperatoris aures molestia afficere, vel
then the characters (persons) of the accusers ought to be considered, that in the first place heretics may not be allowed to bring accusations concerning ecclesiastical matters against the orthodox Bishops. (Those we call heretics who have formerly been condemned by the Church, and those who afterwards have been anathematized by us, and in addition to them, those also who pretend to confess the sound faith, but have made a schism and gathered congregations in opposition to us the Canonical Bishops.) But, in the next place, if any, either of the Cergy, or of the rank of laymen, have been for certain crimes condemned and ejected, or excommunicated by the Church, not even shall these be allowed to accuse a Bishop before they are first cleared from the crimes charged upon them (lit. "their own charge "), and that likewise they who are themselves accused beforehand be not allowed to accuse (be not received for the accusation of) a Bishop or other clergymen before they have proved themselves innocent of the crimes objected against them. But if there are any, neither heretics nor excommunicated, either condemned or before accused for any crimes who say they have any accusation of an ecclesiastical nature (any ecclesiastical accusation) against the Bishop: the holy Synod commands, that the accusations be made, first before all the Bishops of the province, and before them to prove the accusation preferred against the Bishop (lit. "accusation of the Bishop charged with certain crimes "), but if it happen that the provincial Bishops are unequal to the settlement of the charges made against the Bishops, then that they go to the greater Synod of the Bishops of that diocese, summoned together for this cause, and let not the informers bring forward the accusation till (before) they have first in writing awarded to themselves an equal penalty (danger) if, in the examination of the matters, they be convicted of having falsely charged the accused Bishop. But if any one despising our decrees, according to what has been already said, shall dare to trouble either the emperor's ears, or the
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[^26]secularium principum judicia, vel universalem Synodum perturbare, neglectis omnibus dicecesis Episcopis, eum nullo modo esse ad accusationem admittendum, ut qui Canonibus injuriam fecerit, et ecclesiasticum.ordinem everterit.
courts of secular rulers, or disturb a general council, dishonouring all the Bishops of the diocese, such a person shall by no means be allowed to give information (such an one is not at all to be admitted to accuse) as treating the Canons with contempt and destroying the ecclesiastical order.

## CANON VII.

Eos qui rectex fidei adjiciuntur, et parti eorum, qui ex hæreticis servantur, recipimus, secundum subjectum ordinem. Arianos quidem et Macedonianos, et Sabbatianos, et Novatianos, qui dicunt seipsos Catharos, hoc est mundos, vel Sinistros; et Tessaresdecatitas sive Tetraditas, et Apollinaristas, recepimus, dantes quidem libellos, et omnem hæresin anathematizantes, quex non sentit ut Sancta Dei Catholica et A postolica Ecclesia, et signatos sive unctos primum sancto chrismate, et frontem, et oculos, et nares, et os, et aures, et eos signantes dicimus, "Signaculum doni Spiritus Sancti.". Atqui Eunomianos qui in unam demersionem baptizantur, et Montanistas, qui hic dicuntur Phryges, et Sabellianos, qui idem esse Patrem et Filium opinantur, utrunque simul confundentes, et alia gravia et indigna faciunt, et alias omnes horeses (quoniam hic multi sunt, et maxime qui ex Galatarum regione veniunt), quicunque ex his rectes fidei adscribi volunt, ut Grecos admittimus, et primo quidem die ipsos Christianos facimus: secundo catechumenos; deinde ter-

Those who from among the heretics join themselves to orthodoxy and the portion of the saved, we receive according to the subjoined method and custom. Arians, Macedonians, Sabbathians, and Novatians, who call themselves pure (Cathari) and left-handed (or rather, " most excellent," see var. Read.), and Quartodecimans, or Tetradites and the Apollinarians, we receive if they give (giving) written renunciations of their errors and anathematiz(ing) every heresy which does not think as the holy catholic and apostolic Church of God thinks, and sealed or anointed first with the sacred unction, both on the forehead, and eyes, and nose, and mouth, and ears. And sealing them, we say, "The seal of the gift of the Holy Ghost." The Eunomians, however, who are baptized only with (or "to") one immersion, and the Montanists, who are here called Phrygians, and the Sabellians, who assert the Father and Son to be the same, and use other pernicious practices, and all other heresies (for there are many here, especially they who come from the country of
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tio exorcizamus sive adjuramus ipsos, ter the Galatians), all who from among these simul in faciem eorum et auris insufflando, are willing to betake themselves to orthoEt sic catechizamus, sive initiamus et cu- doxy, we receive as we do Pagans. And ramus ut longo tempore versentur in eccle- the first day we make them Christians; the siis, et audiant Scripturas, et tunc ipsos second, catechumens; then the third, we baptizemus. exorcise them; after blowing thrice into their face and ears. And thus, we catechise them, and make them continue a good while in the Church, and hear the Scriptures; and then we baptize them.
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# CANONES SANCTЖ EPHESINÆ SYNODI TERTIE GCUMENICA. 

## CANON I.

Quoniam oportebat etiam eos qui in provinciis aut urbibus morantes sancta synodo non interfuerunt, propter aliquam causam, vel ecclesiasticam, vel corporalem, non ignorare ea qua de ipsis statuta sunt, vestrex sanctitati et dilictioni notum facimus, quod si quis Metropolitanus à sancta et universali synodo defeciens, apostasim, seu defectionis concessui, ac conventiculo adhæsit, vel posthac adhærebit, vel cum Celestio sensit, ant sentiet, is adversus sum provinciæ episcopos nihil penitus agere potest, omni ecclesiastica communione ab hinc jam a Synodo.ejectus, et ad nullum exercendum munus officiumque idoneus existens. Sed et ipsis provinciæ episcopis, et iis qui sunt circumeirca Metropolitanis, qui quæ sunt rectæ opinionis sentiunt, omnino subjicietur, et de episcopatus gradu dejicietur.

Since it is fit that they also who were absent from the holy Synod, and remained in their province or city on account of some impediment (cause) either ecclesiastical or bodily, should not be ignorant of what has been decreed concerning them, we signify to your holiness and charity, that if any Metropolitan seceding from the holy and cecumenical Synod, hath attached, or may afterwards attach, himself to the opposing Synod (lit. assembly of apostasy), or entertain, or shall entertain, the sentiments of Colestius, he cannot by any means effect anything against the bishops of the province, being from this time forth ejected by the Synod from all ecelesiastical communion, and incapable of exercising his office, but he shall in all respects be subject to those same bishops of the province, and to the neighbouring Metropolitans who are orthodox in their sentiments, and be cast out from the dignity of the episcopate.

## CANON II.

Si autem nonnulli provinciales Episcopi sanctæ Synodo non interfuerunt, et apostasiæ accesserunt, vel accedere conati fuerunt, vel cum etiam Nestorii depositioni subscripsissent, ad apostasiæ concessum

But if any provincial Bishops were absent from the holy Synod, and either were present, or attempted to be present, at the refractory assembly, or even after having subscribed the deposition of Nestorius,
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[^30]recurrerunt, ii omnino ut sanctæ Synodo went to that assembly, these, according to visum est, sint a sacerdotio alieni et gradu excidant. the opinion of the holy Synod, are estranged from the priesthood, and deprived of (fallen from) their dignity.

## CANON III.

Si quis autem ex clericis, qui sunt in unaquaque urbe, vel regione, et sunt sub Nestorio et iis qui sunt cum ipso, sacerdotio autem sunt interdicti, eo quod recte sentiant, ut ii quoque proprium gradum recipiant, justum censuimus. Communiter autem omnibus qui cum orthodoxa et unirersali Synodo consentiunt, clericis jubemus iis qui desciverunt, vel desciscunt, Episcopis nullo penitus modo subjici.

But if any of the clergy, in any city or district, have been prohibited the exercise of their sacred office on account of their orthodoxy, by Nestorius and his party (lit. those who are with him), we pronounce it just that they regain their proper dignity. And in general we forbid clergymen who agree with the orthodox and œecumenical Synod to be, in any way, subject to the seceding and revolting bishops.

## CANON IV.

Si qui autem clerici desecerint, et ausi sint vel privatim, vel publicè, vel cum Nestorio, vel cum Celestio sentire, eos quoque esse depositos a sancta Synodo justum visum est.

But if any clergymen have seceded, and have dared, either publicly or privately, to maintain the sentiments of Nestorius or Ccelestius, it hath been thought just by the holy Synod that they likewise be deposed.

## CANON V.

Quicunque autem propter indigna sua facta, a sancta Synodo vel a proprio Episcopo condemnati sunt, et eis non canonice, prout omnia facit indiscriminatim, Nestorius vel qui idem cum eo sentiunt, restituere tentârunt, vel tentaverint communionem, vel gradum, id nihil eis prodesse, et depositos nihilo secius manere justum putârunt.

But as many as were condemned for unreasonable practices, by the holy Synod or their own Bishops, and to whom, uncanonically, and according to his usual indifference, Nestorius and his partisans have attempted, or may attempt, to restore communion or their dignity, they (i. e. the Fathers at Eph.) have thought it just, that these also remain unrelieved, and be nothing the less deposed.
torian Controversy may be seen. Soc. Ecc. H., lib. 7, cc. 32, 34. Joan. Damas. Hærcs. 81, ap. Eccles. Grac. Monumenta, vol. i. page 307, par. 1677.

## CANON VI.
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## CANON VI.

Similiter autem, si qui voluerint, quæ in sancta Ephesina Synodo de singulis acta sunt, quovis modo, labefactare, decrevit sancta Synodus, si sint quidem Episcopi vel clerici, a proprio gradu omnino excidere: si autem laici, excommunicatos manere.

Likewise, if any are willing in any way to annul what has been decreed (lit. "done") concerning every particular, in the holy Synod at Ephesus, the holy Synod has determined that they be wholly deprived of their office, if indeed they be Bishops or clergymen, but if laymen, put out of communion.

## CANON VII.

His lectis, decrevit sancta Synodus, non licere cuiquam aliam fidem afferre, vel scribere, vel componere, quam eam quat a sanctis Patribus, qui Nicese congregati sunt in Sancto Spiritu, definita est. Qui autem aliam audent fidem componere, vel adducere, vel offerre, iis qui se ad veritatis agnitionem volunt convertere, vel ex gentilitate, vel ex Judäismo, vel ex quacunque secta; eos, si sint quidem Episcopi, vel clerici, ab Episcopatu esse alienos Episcopos, et clericos a clero ; si autem sint laïci, anathematizari. Eodem modo autem si deprehensi fuerint aliqui, sive Episcopi, sive clerici, sive laïci vel sentire, vel docere ea quæ sunt in expositione allata a Charisio Presbytero de humanæ nature susceptione unigeniti Filii Dei, sive scelerata et peryersa Nestorii dogmata, quæ etiam subjecta sunt, subjiciantur sententiæ hujus sancter et universalis Synodi, ut Episcopus

When these things had been read, the holy Synod decreed, that it should be unlawful for any one to propose or write or compose any other creed beside that which had been drawn up (lit. "decreed") by the holy Fathers, assembled at the city of Nice, with the Holy Ghost. But they who dare either to compose another creed, or to introduce or offer it to those who desire to turn to a knowledge of the truth, either from heathenism, or Judaism, or from any heresy whatsoever, that they, if indeed they are Bishops or clergymen, be deposed (estranged), the Bishops from the Episcopate, and the clergymen from the clergy, but if they are laymen, that they be anathematized. In like manner, if any, either Bishops, clergymen, or laymen, be detected maintaining or teaching what is contained in the explanation introduced by Charisius the priest concerning the in-
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[^33]quidem scilicet sit ab episcopatu alienus; clericús autem gradu similiter excidat. Si sit autem quis lancus, anathematizetur et ipse, ut prius dictum est.
carnation of the only begotten Son of God, or the harsh and perverse doctrines of Nestorius which are subjoined, let them lie under the sentence of this holy and ocumenical Synod, that is to say, that the Bishop be deprived of the episcopate and be deposed, that the clergyman likewise be degraded from the clergy; but if any be a layman, let him be anathematized, as is before said.

## CANON VIII.

Rem prater leges ecclesiasticas, et sanctorum Patrum Canones innovatam, et omnium libertatem attingentem, renunciavit nobis in primis pius Episcopus Reginus, et qui cum eo sunt Cypriorum provincie religiosissimi Episcopi Zeno et Evagrius. Quamobrem quoniam communes morbi majori medicina opus habent, ut qui majus etiam damnum afferant, et maxime si neque antiqua consuetudo consecuta est, ut Antiochenæ civitatis Episcopus in Cypro ordinationes faciat, quemadmodum et libellis et propriis vocibus nos docuerunt viri religiosissimi, qui ad sanctam synodum accesserunt, iis qui sacrosanctis Cypri Ecclesiis presunt, fraudi ac probro non erit, nec ulla vis aut impedimentum eis afferetur, si secundum sanctorum Patrum Canones et antiquam consuetudinem, per se religiosissimorum Episcoporum ordinationes faciant. Idem autem etin aliis diœcesibus, et quæsunt ubique provinciis servabitur; ut nullus religiosissimorum Episcoporum, provinciam aliam, quæ non multis annis, et ab initio sub sua, vel eorum qui illum precesserunt, manu fuerit, invadat: sed et si quis invaserit, et sibi per vim submiserit, eam reddat, ne sanctorum patrum Canones transiliantur, nec sub sacerdotalis muneris pretextu secularis potestatis fastus subeat, nec libertatem paulatim imprudentes amittamus, quam nobis proprio sanguine dedit Dominus Jesus Christus, omnium hominum liberator. Sanctæ ergo et universali Synodo visum

Our fellow Bishop Reginus, most beloved of God, and Zeno and Evagrius, most religious Bishops of the province of the Cypriots, who are with him, have publicly declared an innovation contrary to the ecclesiastical laws, and the Canons of the holy Fathers, and which touches the safety of all. Since, then, common diseases require the stronger remedy, as bringing also greater damage, more especially if it is not in accordance even with ancient custom that the Bishop of the city of Antioch should perform ordinations in Cyprus, as the most religious men, who have made their entry into the holy Synod, have informed us both by writing and by word of mouth ("and by their own voices"), therefore the rulers of the holy Churches in Cyprus shall retain their inviolable and unimpeachable right, according to the Canons of the holy Fathers and ancient custom, performing by themselves the ordinations of the most religious Bishops. And the very same shall be observed also in other dioceses and provinces everywhere, so that none of the Bishops most beloved by God do assume any other province that was not formerly, and from the beginning, subject to him, or to his predecessors (lit. "under his hand, or that of those before him"). But if any one have even assumed, and by force have reduced it under him, he must give it up, lest the Canons of the Fathers be transgressed, or the pride
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${ }^{1}$ "By this Canon our divines have fully established the exemption of the British Churches from subjection to any Patriarch whatever; for it cannot be made to appear that either the
est, ut unicuique provincim pura et invio- of secular authority be surreptitiously inlata serventur sua jura, qua ab initio et troduced under the mask of the sacred multis retro annis habet, secundum con- function, or we unknowingly by degrees suetudinem qua jam olim servata est, lose the liberty which our Lord Jesus potestatem habente unoquoque Metro- Christ, the Redeemer of all men, hath politano, actorum exemplaria ad suam given to us by his own blood. It has seemed securitatem accipere. Si quis autem con- good, therefore, to the holy and general stitutionem aliquam iis, qua nunc definita sunt, repugnantem attulerit, eam quoque esse irritam visum est toti sancta et universali Synodo.

Synod, that to each province be preserved clear and inviolable the rights formerly and from the beginning belonging to it, according to the old prevailing custom; each Metropolitan having authority to take copies of the things now transacted (or " of the Acts") for his own security. But if any one introduce a regnlation (or "decree") contrary to the things now decreed, it has seemed good to all this holy and general Synod that it be of no force.

Bishop of Rome, or of any other see, had any manner of jurisdiction over us before this Canon was made ; and whaterer power he has assumed since was contrary to this Canon." Johnson.

## KANONES



## CANON I.




## CANON II.
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# CANONES SANCTÆ ET ECUMENICÆ QUARTÆ SYNODI CHALCEDONENSIS. 

## CANON I.

Qui a sanctis Patribus in unaquaque Synodo hucusque expositi sunt, observari Canones æquum censuimus.

We pronounce it just, that the Canons made by the holy Fathers in every Synod to the present time be in force.

## CANON II.

Si quis Episcopus propter pecunias ordinationem fecerit, et non venalem gratiam in venditionem deduxerit, et propter pecunias ordinaverit Episcopum vel Chorepiscopum, vel Presbyterum, vel Diaconum, vel aliquem corum qui in clero annumerantur, vel propter pecunias promoverit œconomum, vel defensorem, vel paramonarium (Mansionarius. Dion. Exig.), vel

If any Bishop ordain for money, and bring down to sale the unvendible grace, and shall, for money, ordain a Bishop or Chorepiscopus, Presbyters or Deacons, or any other of those reckoned among the clergy, or promote for money an cconomus, ecdicus, or paramonarius, or, in short, any one who belongs to the Canon (lit. "any of the Canon "), through his own base
were instituted in the 4th century.... " it was both the rule and practice of the Church to take the oconomi out of some of the clergy, and we never meet with any instance or order to the contrary." Bing. Antiq., B. ii. ch. xii. page 125. See CC. 25, 26.
*The ée $\hat{\delta} c k o u$ here mentioned (called by the Latins Defensores pauperum or Eeclesia) "had much the same employment in the Church as the defensores plebis had in the state; for if any of the poor, or virgins, or widows belonging to the Church, were injured or oppressed by the rich, it was the business of these defensors.... to see them righted." Bing. Antiq., B. iii. ch. xi. He is of opinion that the ohancellors aud the defensor's were not the same in the primitive Church. See L'Estrange's Alliance of Div. Off., pp. 32-3. Ox., 1846. Zonaras (Com. on Can. 3), speaking of persons in whose behalf clergymen might properly interfere, says ....
 Marshall's Penitential Discip., p. 140-1, and Can. 23, huj. Concil.
${ }^{5}$. . . "The translators and crities are not agreed upon the meaning of the word. The ancient translation of Dionysius Exig. renders it mansionarius, and explains that in a marginal reading by Ostiarius, or a door-keeper of the Church. . . . Yet . . the best learned of the modern critics give another sense to the Greek name mapauovápos. Justellus explains it by villicus. .. Bp Bev. styles him rerum ecclesiasticarum administrator, which is the same." Bingham's Antiq., 3. 12. The words of Justellus are, Paramonarii autem sunt villici sive actores possessionum, ut scribit doctissimus jurisconsultus Jacobus Cnjacius. Villicus proprie villa gubernator est, unde a villa villicus nomen accepit. Vid. Patrolog., vol. lxvii. 129. In the note to which Bingham alludes, in the passage above quoted, Bp Bev. says also, Et sic quidem $\pi \alpha \rho a \mu-$ vápıot hoe in loco hujusmodi fuisse Villici videntur, qui nimirum possessiones et pecunias, procipue ad monasteria, $\mu$ ovàg dicta, pertinentes administrarunt et dispensarunt.
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[^36]omnino aliquem ex Canone, turpis quæstus gratia, qui hoc tentasse convictus fuerit de proprio gradu in periculum veniat; et qui est ordinatus, ex ordinatione vel promotione que instar mercatorum venundatur, nihil juvetur: sed sit a dignitate vel curatione alienus, quam pecuniis adeptus est. Si quis autem sequester et intercessor adeo turpibus et nefariis lucris apparuerit, hic quoque si sit quidem clericus proprio gradu excidat. Si sit autem laïcus vel monachus, anathematizetur.
love of gain: let him who has been convicted of having attempted this, be deprived of his own office (lit. "be in danger with regard to," \&c.), and let him who is ordained, or promoted, derive no advantage from the ordination or promotion received by way of traffic; but let him be deprived of the dignity (lit. "be a stranger to") or office which he got by money. But if any one do appear to have interposed as an accomplice in such disgraceful and unlawful gains, let him also, if indeed he be a clergyman, be degraded from his dignity, but if a layman or monk, let him be anathematized (or excommunicated).

## CANON III.

Pervenit ad sanctam Synodum, quod eorum qui in clerum cooptati sunt, quidam propter turpe lucrum alienas possessiones conducunt, et secularia negotia exercent, divinum ministerium negligentes, secularium vero domos subeuntes, et eorum facultatum tractationem ac curationem propter avaritiam suscipentes. Definit ergo sancta Synodus neminem deinceps, nee Episcopum, nec clericum, nee monachum, vel possessiones conducere, vel secularibus vel possessionum administrationibus seipsum ingerere: nisi utique ex lege ad inexcusabilem impuberum tutelam vocetur, vel civitatis Episcopus eum rerum ecclesiasticarum curam gerere permittat, vel orphanorum, vel viduaram, quibus provideri non

It has come to the knowledge of the holy Synod, that some of those who have been enrolled among the clergy, through filthy lucre's sake, hire other men's estates, and negotiate secular affairs, neglecting the divine ministry (Liturgy), betaking themselves to the houses of secular men and undertaking the management of their property through love of money : therefore the holy and great Synod decrees, that nobody for the future, either Bishop, clergyman, or monk, either take to farm any estate or office, or involve himself in secular administrations; except he be called by the laws to the guardianship of minors, which is unavoidable, or the Bishop of the city permit him to take care of the affairs of the
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## CANON IV.
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[^38]potest, et personarum qua ecclesiastico auxilio maxime indigent propter timorem Domini. Si quis autem quex statuta sunt, deinceps transgredi aggressus fuerit, is pœnis Ecclesiasticis subjiciatur.

Church, or of the fatherless and widows that are unprovided for, and of such persons as particularly need the assistance of the Church, for the fear of God. But if any one shall presume (attempt) to transgress these determinations for the future, let him (lit. " let such an one") be liable to ecclesiastical censures.

## canon IV.

Qui vere et sincere monasticam vitam aggrediuntur, digni convenienti honore habeantur. Quoniam autem nonnulli monachio protextu utentes, et Ecclesias, et negotia civilia perturbant, temere et citra ullam discriminis rationem, in urbibus circumcursantes, quinetiam monasteria sibi constituere studentes, visuḿn est, nullum usquam æedificare nec construere posse monasterium, vel oratoriam domum præter sententiam ipsius civitatis Episcopi: monachos autem, qui sunt in unaquaque regione et civitate Episcopis subjectos esse, et quietam amplecti et soli jejunio et orationi vacare, in quibus ordinati sunt locis fortiter perseverantes, nec Ecclesiasticis, nee secularibus negotiis se ingerere, vel communicare, propria relinquentes monasteria nisi quandoque a civitatis Episcopo eis permissum fuerit; nullum autem in monasteriis servum recipi, ad hoc ut sit monachus, præter voluntatem sui domini. Eum autem qui hanc nostram definitionem transgreditur definimus esse excommuni-
'Let those who truly and sincerely embrace the monastic life be treated with proper honour. But since some using as a pretext the monastic habit, disturb both the Churches and civil affairs, wandering indiscriminately among the cities, and not only that, but assiduously endeavouring to found monasteries for themselves; it is decreed that no one anywhere build or found a monastery or oratory against the wish of the Bishop of the city, and that the monks in each city or country district be subject to the Bishop, that they embrace a life of tranquillity, and devote themselves to prayer and fasting only, constantly remaining in the places in which they were appointed, but that they neither trouble or meddle either with ecclesiastical or secular affairs ; leaving their own monastery : unless at any time indeed they be permitted so to do by the Bishop of the city for some necessary cause; and that no slave be received into the monasteries to live as a monk against the will of his master. We
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## CANON VII.



[^40]catum, ne nomen Dei blasphemetur. Civi- have decreed that he who transgresses this tatis autem Episcopum oportet eam quam our rule be excommunicated, lest the name par est monasteriorum curam gerere. of God be blasphemed. The Bishop of the city ought however to take that provident care of the monasteries which is fit.

## OANON V.

De Episcopis vel Clericis, qui a civitate in civitatem transeunt, placuit eos qui editi sunt a sanctis Patribus Canones vires obtinere (habeant propriam firinitatem. Dion. Exig.).

As regards Bishops or Clergymen that remove from city to city, it is decreed that the Canons made concerning these by the holy Fathers have full (rì ) force.

## CANON VI.

Nullum absolute ordinari, nec Presbyterum, nec Diaconum, nec omnino aliquem eorum qui sunt in ordine Ecclesiastico, nisi specialiter in Ecclesia civitatis, vel pagi, vel martyrio, vel monasterio is qui ordinetur, designetur. Eos autem qui absolute ordinantur, decrevit sancta Synodus irritam ac invalidam habere ejusmodi manuum impositionem, et nusquam exercere ac operari posse ad ejus qui ordinavil injuriam.

No one, either Priest or Deacon, or, in short, any of those in the ecclesiastical order, must be ordained at large ; but he who is to be ordained must be specially assigned to a Church in city or village, martyrium or monastery. But the holy Synod has decreed that they who have been ordained at large, have received such imposition of hands to no purpose, and that they cannot anywhere officiate, to the reproach of the ordainer.

## CANON VII.

Eos qui in clero semel ordinati sunt et itidem monachos, statuimus nee ad mili-

We decree that they who were once enrolled among the clergy, as also monks,
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## CANON IX.










[^42]tarem expeditionem, nee ad secularem dig- do not join the army or attain any civil nitatem posse venire. Qui autem hoc dignity: or if they dare to do this, and do audent, et non pœnitentia ducti ad id revertuntur, quod propter Deum prius eligerant, anathematizari.
not repent, so as to return to that state of life which they had formerly chosen for God's sake, they are to be anathematized.

## CANON VIII.

Clerici ptochotrophiorum, monasteriorum, et templorum martyrum, sub potestate Episcoporum, qui sunt in unaquaque civitate, ex sanctorum Patrum traditione, permaneant, et non per arrogantiam se a proprio Episcopo, imperium ejus detractantes subducant. Qui hanc autem constitutionem evertere quocunque modo ausi fuerint, neque proprio voluerint Episcopo subjacere, si sint quidem Clerici Canonum pœnis subjiciantur, si autem monachi vel laïci, sint excommunicati.

Let the clergymen of houses intended for the reception and support of the poor, of monasteries, and martyria, remain under the authority of the Bishops in each city according to the tradition of the holy Fathers, and not arrogantly break away from the restraint of their proper Bishop; but let those who dare, in any manner whatever, to subvert this constitution, and are not subject to their own Bishop, be liable to canonical censures, if, indeed, they are Clergymen, but if monks or laymen let them be excommunicated.

## CANON IX.

Si quis Clericus habet cum Clerico litem aut negotium, proprium Episcopum ne relinquat: et ad secularia judicia ne excurrat: sed causam prius ad proprium Episcopumagat, vel de Episcopi sertentia, apud eos, quos utraque pars elegerit, judicium agitetur. Si quis autem præter hæc fecerit, Canonicis pcenis subjiciatur. Si Clericus autem cum proprio vel etiam alio Episcopo negotium aut litem habeat, a provincie Synodo judicetur. Si autem cum ipsius

If any Clergyman have a controversy with another (" have a matter against a Clergyman"), let him not leave his own Bishop and have recourse (run) to secular judicatures, but first lay open the matter before his own Bishop, or let it be tried by those whom each party may choose, with the consent of the same Bishop. But if any one shall act otherwise, let him be subject to canonical censures. But if a Clergyman have a complaint (matter) against his own,

[^43]- primatem dioceseos. Dion. Exig.
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## CANON X
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## CANON XI.



[^44]provinciæ Metropolitano Episcopus vel Clericus controversiam habeat, dicecesis Exarchum adeat, vel imperalis urbis Constantiuopolis thronum, et apud eum litiget.
or even against another Bishop, let it be determined in the provincial Synod. But if a Bishop or Clergyman have a dispute with the Metropolitan of the (the same) province let him apply (go) either to the Exarch of the diocese, or to the throne of the regal Constantinople, and let the case be examined before him.

## CANON X.

Non licere Clerico in duarum civitatum Ecclesiis eodem tempore in catalogum referri, et in ea qua a principio ordinatus est, et in ea, in quam, tanquam ad majorem confugit, propter inanis gloriæ cupiditatem, eossutem qui hoc faciunt, propriæ Ecclesix restitui, in qua ab initio ordinati sunt, ut illic solum ministrent. Sed si jam quispiam ex alia in aliam Ecclesiam translatus est, nihil prioris ecclesiæ, vel eorum quæ sub ea sunt martyriorum, vel ptochotrophiorum, vel xenodochiorum rebus com. municare. Eos autem qui ausi fuerint post magnæ hujus et universalis Synodi definitionem, aliquid eorum quæ sunt prohibita facere, statuit sancta Synodus eos proprio gradu excidere.

It is not lawful for a Clergyman to be enrolled at the same time in the Churches of two cities, both that in which he was at first ordained, and that to which he fled, as, forsooth, to a larger, through the desire of vainglory; they who do this are to be restored to their own Church in which they were at first ordained, and there alone perform their ministry. But if, however, any one have been already removed from one Church to another he shall take no part in the affairs of the first Church, or of the martyria, or houses for the reception of the poor and the stranger subject to it. The holy Synod has decreed that they who dare, after the decision of this great and œeumenical Synod, to do any of the things now forbidden, shall be degraded from their own dignity.

## CANON XI.

Omnes pauperes, et qui auxilio indigent, cum examinatione, cum epistolis seu pa- need assistance, do, with examination,

[^45]

 mols.

## CANON XII.
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## CANON XIII.


 $\gamma \epsilon \hat{\nu}$.

[^46]cificis ecclesiasticis solis viam ingredi statuimus, et non cum commendatitiis; quoniam literas commendatitias iis solis personis, quæ sunt suspectæ, preberi oportet.
travel with epistles, or ecelesiastical pacificatory letters only (literæ pacifica) and not with commendatory, because commendatory letters ought to be given to those persons only who are under suspicion.

## CANON XII.

Pervenit ad nos, quod quidam, cum preter ritus ecclesiasticos ad potentatus accessissent, per pragmaticas unam provinciam in duas diviserunt: ut ex eo duo essent Metropolitani in eadem provincia. Statuit ergo sacra Synodus, ne Episcopus deinceps tale quid audeat, quoniam is qui hoc aggreditur, a suo gradu excidit. Quexcurque autem civitates per literas imperiales Metropolis nomine honorata sunt, solo honore fruantur, et qui ejus Ecclesiam administrat Episcopus, servàto scilicet veræ Metropoli suo jure.

It has come to our knowledge (lit. "to us") that some, contrary to the ecclesiastical laws, having recourse to the civil power, have, by pragmatic letters, divided one (lit. "the one") province into two, so that by this means there are two Metropolitans in the same province. The holy Synod has decreed that nothing of this kind be attempted by a Bishop for the future, since he who attempts such a thing must be degraded from his own dignity. But whatever cities have been already, by the letters of the Emperor, dignified with the name of a Metropolis, let them enjoy the title only, as likewise the Bishop who administers the Church there (lit. "of it"), their own just rights being preserved to the true Metropolis.

## CANON XIII.

Externos Clericos, et ignoto sin alia civitate sine proprii Episcopi commendatitiis literis, nusquam ullo modo ministrare.

Foreign and unknown Clergymen shall not at all by any means officiate in another city without letters commendatory from their own Bishop.

[^47]
## CANON XIV.
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## CANON XV.






'..." but from the very words of the Canon it is clear, that readers and singers were not allowed, in all places, to marry, after their appointment to office ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{a}$ rò $\bar{\chi} \varepsilon \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma i \alpha \nu$ ), although the 26th Apost. Cazon grants them that liberty." Zonaras. The following is his comment on



 Church of Christ, vol. ii. pp. 333-6, 3rd ed. ; Bingh. Antig., vol. i. pp. 151-153; Conc. Ancy., Can. 10.
${ }^{2}$ See Can. 24, of African Code (Oper. Zon., Paris, 1618, page 412), where it is enjoined that readers, when they come to the age of puberty, should he compelled either to take a wife or

 observation: "Whether this custom has prevailed in the Churches of Africa I know not, but among other Churches it does not prevail, nay, I think it never has prevailed at any time." Com. in loc.
${ }^{3}$ Cone. Laod., Can. 10, 31.
${ }^{4}$ Christianos cum Judeis matrimonium inire Ieges etiam ciriles vetuerunt. Bev.

## CANON XIV.

Quoniam in nonnullis provinciis concessum est lectoribus et cantoribus uxores ducere, decrevit sancta Synodus nulli eorum licere diversm a recta opinionis uxorem ducere: eos autem qui ex ejusmodi matrimonio liberos susceperunt, si eos quidem baptizare apud hæreticos prævenerint, ad Catholicæ Ecclesiæ communionem adducere. Si autem non baptizaverint, non posse eos apud hareticos baptizare. Sed neque hæretico, vel pagano, vel Judæo, matrimonio conjungere, nisi utique persona, quæ orthodoxæ conjungitur, se ad orthodoxam fidem convertendam spondeat. Si quis autem hoc sanctex Synodi decretum transgressus fuerit, Canonicis pænis subjiciatur.

Since in some provinces it is allowed to readers and singers to marry, the holy Synod has decreed that it is not lawful for any of them to take a.heterodox wife, and that they who bave already had children by such marriage, do bring them over to the communion of the Catholic Church, if indeed their children have been already baptized by the heretics, but if they have not been baptized they must not (lit. cannot) be baptized hereafter by heretics, nor united in marriage with a heretic, or Jew, or Gentile, unless indeed the person to be united to the orthodox party promise to come over to the orthodox faith. If any one transgress this decree of the holy Synod let him be subject to Canonical censure.

## CANON XV.

Diaconissam non esse mulierem ordinandam ante annum quadragesimum et eam cum accurata examinatione. Si autem postquam ordinatione suscepta ministerio aliquo tempore permansit, seipsam matrimonio tradiderit, Dei gratie injuriam faciens, ea una cum illo qui ei conjunctus est, anathematizetur.

Let not a woman be ordained Deaconess before forty years of age, and that (her) too with strict examination; but if, after she have received imposition of hands, and continued some time in her ministry (liturgy), she marry (lit. "give herself to marriage "), reproaching the grace of God, let such a person, together with the man united to her, be anathematized.

[^48]
## CANON XVI.
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## CANON XVII.
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## CANON XVIII.



[^49]
## CANON XVI.

Virginem, quæ se Domino Deo dedicavit, similiter et monachos non licere matrimonio conjungi. Sin autem hoc fecisse inventi fuerint, sint excommunicati. Ostendendæ autem in eos humanitatis auctoritatem habere statuimus Episcopum ejus loci.

It is not lawful for a virgin that has devoted herself to the Lord God, in like manner also a monk, to marry, but if they be discovered to have done so (lit. "doing this"), let them be excommunicated. We decree, however, that the Bishop of the place have power of extending indulgence towards them.

## CANON XVII.

Quæ sunt in unaquaque provincia, rurales vicanasve parochias firmas et inconcussas manere apud eos qui illas tenent Episcopos: et maxime si $\mathbf{x x x}$ annorum tempore eas sine vi detinentes administraverint. Si autem intra XXX annos fuit aliqua vel fuerit controversia, licere iis qui injuriam sibi fieri dicunt, de iis litem movere apud Synodum provinciæ. Si quis autem injuria afficiatur a proprio Metropolitano, apud Exarchum diœecesis, vel Constantinopolitanam sedem litiget, sicut prius dictum est. Sin autem etiam civitas aliqua ab imperatoria auctoritate innovata est, vel deinceps innovata fuerit; civiles et publicas constitutiones, Ecclesiasticarum quoque parochiarum ordo subsequatur.

We decree that remote country or village parishes in each Church (or "province," see var. read.) remain undisturbed, with those Bishops who possess them, and especially if, continuing to hold them without violence, they have governed them for the space of thirty years. But if within the thirty years there has been, or is, any dispute concerning them; they who say they have been injured may raise a question concerning them in the Synod of the province. But if any one be injured by his own Bishop or Metropolitan, let the cause be examined before the Exarch of the diocese, on the throne of Constantinople, as aforesaid. If any city be founded or be hereafter founded by the authority of the Emperor, let the order of the ecclesiastical parishes (or "divisions") follow the civil and public arrangements.

## CANON XVIII.

Conjurationis, vel sodalitatis crimen ab The crime of conspiracy or confederacy

[^50]
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## CANON XIX.











sco autem in skis civitatibus. Dion. Exig.

- Quicunque vero non advenerit Episcopi resident
${ }^{6}$ provincias. Dion. Exig.


## CANON XX.






[^51]externis etiam legibus est omnino pro- has been strictly forbidden even by the hibitum: multo autem magis hoc in Dei civil laws, much more then ought it to be Ecclesia fieri prohibere oportet. Si qui ergo Clerici, vel monachi, inventi fuerint, vel conjurantes vel sodalitates comparantes, vel aliquid struentes, ac molientes adversus Episcopos, ac alios clericos, proprio gradu omnino excidant. forbidden that this exist in the Church of God. If therefore any Clergymen or monks are found either conspiring, or combining, or forming wicked designs against their Bishops or their fellow-clergymen, let them by all means be degraded from their own dignity.

## CANON XIX.

Pervenit ad aures nostras, quod in provinciis, Canonibus consituta Episcoporum Synodi non fiant, et ex eo multa Ecclesiastica negliguntur que correctione indigent. Statuit ergo sancta Synodus secundum sanctorum Patrum Canones, ut bis in anno eundem in locum conveniant uniuscujusque provincia Episcopi, ubi Metropolitanus melius esse perspexerit, et singula emergentia corrigant: Episcopi autem, qui non conveniunt, si in eadem Metropoli versentur, atque adeo sani sint, et ab omni inexcusabili et necessario negotio liberi, fraternè reprehendantur.

We have heard (lit. "It has come to our ears ") that the Synods of Bishops ordered by the Canons, are not held in the provinces, and that by this means many ecclesiastical affairs requiring reformation are neglected. Therefore the holy Synod decrees, that according to the Canons of the holy Fathers, the Bishops meet together in every province twice a year, wherever the Bishop of the Metropolis may think fit, and rectify all emergencies; but the Bishops who do not come, remaining in their own cities, and that too, being in sound health, and free from all unavoidable and neces. sary business, are to be reprehended in a brotherly manner.

## CANON XX.

Clericos in Ecclesiis suis constitutos, quemadmodum jam statuimus, non licere in alius (alterius, Dion. Exig.) civitatis Ecclesia ordinari: sed illa esse contentos, in qua ab initio ut ministrarent, digni habiti sunt; proter illos qui, amissa sua patria,

It is not lawful, as we have already decreed, for Clergymen officiating in one Church, to be enrolled in the Church of another city, but to feel a parental affection for that in which they were at first esteemed worthy to minister, with the excep-

[^52]




## CANON XXI.





## CANON XXII.
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## CANON XXIII.



[^53]in aliam Eeclesiam necessario transierunt. tion of those who, leaving (lit. "losing") Si qui autem Episcopi, post hoc decretum, their own country by necessity, have Clericum qui ad alium Episcopum pertinet, removed to another Church. But if any susceperint, placuit esse excommunicatos, eumque qui susceptus est, et eum qui suscepit, donec Clericus qui migravit, in suam Ecclesiam redeat. Bishop, after this decree, receive a Clergyman that belongs to another Bishop, it is decreed that both the received and the receiver be excommunicated until the Clergyman, who has removed, return to his own church.

## CANON XXI.

Clericos vel laicos, Episcopos aut Clericos accusantes, non indiscriminatim, nec citra inquisitionem, admittere ad accusationem, nisi eorum existimatio prius examinata fuerit.

Clergymen or laymen who accuse Bi shops or Clergymen, must not be admitted to do so promiscuously and without inquiry until (unless) their own reputation has been previously examined.

## CANON XXII.

Non licere Clericis, post mortem proprii Episcopi, res quæ ad ipsum pertinent rapere, quemadmodum et iis qui adsumunt prohibitum est: eos autem qui hoc faciunt, de proprio gradu in periculum venire.

It is not lawful for Clergymen after the death of their Bishop to seize the property belonging to him, as is forbidden also in the ancient Canons; but they that do this shall endanger their own dignity.

## CANON XXIIL.

Pervenit ad aures sanctee Synodi, quod The holy Synod has heard (It has come to

[^54]
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## CANON XXIV.
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## CANON XXV.
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[^55]Clerici quidam et monachi, quibus nihil a the ears of, \&c.) that some Clergymen and
proprio Episcopo mandatum est, et sunt etiam nonnunquam ab ipso a communione segregati, ad imperialem Constantinopolis urbem se conferunt, et in ea diu morantur, turbas excitantes, et statum Ecclesiasticum perturbantes, aliquorum domos subvertunt. Statuit ergo sancta Synodus, ut ii prius a sanctissime Constantinopolitane Ecclesiæ defensore admoneantur, ut imperiali urbe excedant. Si autem in iisdem negotiis impudenter perseverent, ut per proprium (ipsum) defensorem ejiciantur, et in propria loca revertantur.
monks, without being authorized by their Bishop, and sometimes even when excommunicated by him, going to the imperial Constantinople, remain in it for a long time, exciting commotions, and disturbing the ecclesiastical state, and subvert also the houses (or families) of some: therefore the holy Synod has determined that such be first indeed admonished by the defensor of the most holy Church of Constantinople to leave the imperial city, but if they impudently continue the same practices, that even against their will they be ejected by the same defensor, and return to their own homes (places).

## CANON XXIV.

Qua semel voluntate Episcopi consecrata sunt monasteria, perpetuo manere monasteria, et res quse ad ea pertinent servari, eaque non amplius fieri secularia habitacula. Eos autem, qui hoc fieri permittunt, Canonum pænis subjici.

That monasteries having been once consecrated by the consent of the Bishop, do always remain monasteries, and what belongs to them be preserved, and that these can no longer become secular dwellings : but let those who permit this to be done be liable to canonical censures.

## CANON XXV.

Quoniam nonnulli Metropolitani, ut sæpe a nobis auditum est, et greges sibi commissos negligunt, et Episcoporum ordinationes differunt, sanctæ Synodo placuit, ut intra tres menses Episcoporum ordinationes fiant, nisi inevitabilis utique necessitas effecerit, ut dilationis tempus prorogetur. Si autem hoc non fecerint, eos

Since some of the Metropolitans, as we have been informed, neglect the flocks committed to them and defer the ordinations of Bishops; the holy Synod has decreed (it has seemed good to the holy Synod) that the ordinations of Bishops take place within three months, unless, indeed, at any time unavoidable necessity

[^56]



## CANON XXVI.
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## CANON XXVII.

d каі $\pi^{\prime}$. R. Tò̀s à $\rho \pi \alpha$.
 ройעтas.



[^57]Ecclesiasticæ pœnæ subjici. Viduæ (Vi- shall cause the time of delay to be exduate, Dion. Exig.) vero Ecclesiæ reditus, tended. But if he do not this let him apud Eoclesiæ ceconomum salvos custodiri. be subject to ecclesiastical censure: let the income, however, of the widowed Church be preserved safe by the oeconomus of the same Church.

## CANON XXVI.

Quoniam in nonnullis Ecclesiis, ut sæpe a nobis auditum est, Episcopi absque œeconomis tractant res ecclesiasticas, placuit omnem Ecclesiam Episcopum habentem, ex proprio Clero oconomum habere, (qui dispenset res ecclesiasticas secundum sententiam Episcopi proprii, Dion. Exig.), ut nec sine testibus sit Ecclesiæ administratio, nec ideo res ejus dissipentur, et probrum ac dedecussacerdotio inuratur. Si autem hoc non fecerit, eum divinis etiam Canonibus 'subjiei.

Since in some Churches, as we have been informed, the Bishops manage ecclesiastical revenues without an ceconomus, it seems fit that every Church having a Bishop, have likewise an ceconomus out of its own Clergy to manage the ecclesiastical revenues at the direction of his Bishop, so that the administration of the Church may not be without witness, and as a consequence of this, the property of the Church itself squandered, and a reproach stamped on the priesthood; but if he do not this (i.e. appoint an œconomus) let him be obnoxious to the divine Canons.

## CANON XXVII.

Eos qui nomine conjugii mulieres rapiunt, vel opem ferunt, ac consentiunt iis qui rapiunt, statuit Synodus, si sint quidem Clerici, proprio gradu excidere: sin autem laïci anathernatizari.

The holy Synod has decreed that they who take women by force under pretence of marriage, or they who aid or countenance the abductors, be degraded from their dignity, if indeed they are Clergymen, but if laymen, anathematized.

[^58]
## CANON XXVIII. ${ }^{1}$
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[^59]
## CANON XXVIII.

Sanctorum Patrum decreta ubique sequentes, et Canonem qui nuper lectus est, centum et quinquaginta Deo amantissimorum Episcoporum agnoscentes, eadem quoque et nos decernimus, ac statuimus de privilegiis sanctissimæ Ecclesim Constantinopolis Novæ Romæ. Etenim antiquæ Roma throno, quod urbs illa imperaret, jure Patres privilegia tribuere. Et eadem consideratione moti centum quinquaginta Deo amantissimi Episcopi, sanctissimo Novæ Romæ throno æqualia privilegia tribuere, recte judicantes, urbem quæ et imperio et senatu honorata sit, et æqualibus cum antiquissima regina Roma privilegiis fruatur, etiam in rebus ecclesiasticis, non secus ac illam extolli ac magna fieri, secundum post illam existentem. Ut et Pontica et Asiana et Thracie diœcesis Metropolitani soli: præterea et Episcopi prædictarum diœcesum, quæ sunt inter barbaros, a predicto throno sanctissime Constantinopolitane Ecclesiæ ordinentur. Unoquoque scilicet prædictarum diœcesum Metropolitano cum provinciæ Episcopis provinciæ Episcopos quemadmodum divinis Canonibus est traditum: ordinari autem, sicut dictum est, predictarum diœcesum Metropolitanos a Constantinopolita-

Following in all respects (everywhere) the decrees of the holy Fathers, and recognizing the Canon, which has just been read, of the 150 Bishops most beloved of God (others add, see note ${ }^{3}$, "who assembled in the regal city of Constantinople, the New Rome, in the time of Theodosius the emperor of pious memory"), we, to. decree and vote the same things concerning the privileges of the most holy Church of the same Constantinople, which is New Rome: For to the throne of old Rome, because that was the imperial city, the Fathers rightly (naturally) granted privileges; and moved by the same consideration, the 150 Bishops most beloved of God, have given the like privileges to the most holy throne of New Rome, rightly judging that the city which was honoured with the seat of Empire, and the Senate, enjoying, too, the same civil privileges with the old imperial Rome, should be honoured as she is in ecclesiastical matters also, being second, and next after her: and that the Metropolitans alone, of the Pontic, Asian, and Thracian diocese, also the Bishops of the said dioceses which are among the barbarians, be ordained by the said throne of the most holy Church of Constantinople; while each Metropolitan of the said dioceses, together with the Bishops of the province, ordains the other Bishops subject to him (" the Bishops of the province,"

[^60] $\alpha^{2} \alpha \not \emptyset \epsilon \rho о \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$.

## CANON XXIX. ${ }^{1}$
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## CANON XXX. ${ }^{2}$






[^61]no Archiepiscopo, convenientibus de more factis suffragiis, et ad ipsum relatis.
lit.), as is enjoined in the divine Canons, but as aforesaid, the Metropolitans of the said dioceses must be ordained by the Archbishop of Constantinople, after the elections have taken place, according to custom, and have been reported to him.

## CANON XXIX.

Episcopum in presbyteri gradum deducere est sacrilegium. Si qua autem justa causa illos ab Episcopali actione removet, nec presbyteri debet locum obtinere. Sin autem absque ullo crimine dignitate moti sunt, ad Episcopalem dignitatem redibunt. Anatolius, religiosissimus Constantinopolitanus Archiepiscopus dixit: Si qui dicuntur ab Episcopali dignitate ad presbyteri ordinem descendisse, si justis quidem de causis condemnantur jure nec presbyteri quidem honore digni sunt: Sin autem sine aliqua probahili causa ad inferiorem gradum depressi sunt, jure, si quidem nulli sint culpæ affines, Episcopatus auctoritatem et sacerdotium recipient.

It is sacrilege to degrade a Bishop into the order of a priest. But if any just cause removes them from the Episcopal function, they ought not to retain the place even of a priest. If, however, without any crime (lit. "charge" or "accusation ") they have been removed from their rank, they shall be restored again (" they shall return again") to the Episcopal dignity. Anatolius, the most religious Archbishop of Constantinople, said: If they who are said to have been removed from the Episcopal dignity into the order of priests are punished for any reasonable causes, justly (or "clearly") they are not worthy even of the honour of priests, but if without any reasonable cause they have been thrust down into an inferior degree, they justly deserve (they are worthy), if at least they appear unblamable, to recover the dignity and sacerdotal power of the Episcopate.

## CANON XXX.

Quoniam religiosissimi Ægypti Episcopi, non ut Catholice fidei adversantes, sanctissimi Archiepiscopi Leonis epistolæ subscribere differebant, sed dicentes in Ægyptiaca diœcesi hanc esse consuetudinem ut præter voluntatem et mandatum Episcopi

Whereas the most religious Bishops of Egypt Lave deferred to subscribe the Epistle of the most holy Archbishop Leo, for the present, not as opposing the Catholic faith, but alledging that it is a custom in the Egyptian diocese to do nothing of this

Church of Rome." When Anatolius had concluded, all the bishops cried out, "Righteous is the judgment of the Fathers. We all say the same. The Fathers have rightly decreed. Let

 this Routh observes, Opus. page 473, Non solum archontes, \&c. Not only were rulers or magistrates, men holding the highest offices of the state, present at this Council by command of the emperor, but also others were joined to them, illustrious men; called here, Cunvocation or Senate. Their names are given at the beginning of the first Act of this Council.
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[^62]nihil tale faciant, et petunt concedi sibi sort without the consent and order of their usque ad ordinationem futuri magnæ civita- Archbishop, and request they may be tis Alexandrinorum Archiepiscopi, justum excused until the ordination of the future nobis et humanum visum est, ut ipsis in Bishop of the great city of the Alexandriproprio habitu in Imperiali urbe manentibus, remissio concedatur, donec ordinatus fuerit magne civitatis Alexandrinorum Archiepiscopus. Unde in proprio habitu manentes, vel fidejussores dabunt, si hoc ab eis fiere potest, vel eofum jurejurando fides habebitur.
ans: It seems to us reasonable and humane that the indulgence ( $\varepsilon \nu \delta \sigma \sigma t \nu$ ) be allowed them, so that they remain in their proper state in the imperial city, until the Archbishop of the great city of the Alexandrians be ordained. Wherefore, remaining in their proper state, the most pious Bishops of the Egyptians shall either give securities, if this is possible for them, or shall be bound by the obligation of an oath (to await the ordination of the future Bishop of the great city of the Alexandrians. See note ${ }^{2}$ ).
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## CANONES SYNODI ANCYRAN $\nrightarrow$

## CANON I.

Presbyteros, qui sacrificarunt, deinde contra reluctati sunt, nec fraudulenter et insidiose, sed vere: nee hoc prius machinati sunt, nec consulto ac de industria id fecerunt, et persuaserunt, ut tormentis subjici viderentur, cum ea specie et opinione tantum inferrentur, visum est eos cathedræ quidem honoris esse participes, non licere autem ipsis offerre, aut sermonem conferre, vel omnino sacerdotale aliquod munus obire.

As to those Priests who have sacrificed and afterwards renewed the conflict, not by any device, but in reality, neither previously contriving and procuring and persuading, that they might seem indeed to be subjected to tortures, while these were applied merely in show and form; it has seemed fit that they retain indeed the honour of their chair (lit. "according to," i.e. sit where they used to do in time past), but it is not lawful for them to perform the oblation, or preach, or, in short, perform any part of their sacerdotal office.

## CANON II.

Diaconos similiter, qui sacrificarunt, postea autem reluctati sunt, alium quidem honorem habere, ipsos autem ab omni sacerdotali ministerio cessare, et panem vel calicem offerendi vel predicandi: sed si ex Episcopis aliqui, in iis vel afflictionem aliquam, vel humilitatem ac mansuetudi-

Likewise that the Deacons who have sacrificed, but afterwards entered the conflict, retain, indeed, all other honour, but that they cease from all sacred ministration, both of presenting (or "offcring to the people") the bread or cup, or making the proclamations: But if any of the Bishops
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## CANON IV.


 $\mathrm{M}^{2}$.R.


[^66]nem viderint, et aliquid amplius dare, vel see in them any penitential travail, or the auferre voluerint, in eorum potestate id profoundness (or "lowliness") of their huesse. miliation, and be willing to indulge them somewhat more, or to abate the present concession, the power of so doing rests with them.

## CANON III.

Eos qui fugientes comprehensi sunt, vel sunt a suis traditi, vel suis alioqui facultatibus privati, yel tormenta perpessi, vel in carcerem conjecti sunt, clamantes se esse Christianos, et divulsi ac distracti sunt, vel is qui vim afferebant in manus immittentibus, vel cibo aliquo per necessitatem suscepto, se tamen esse Christianos perpetuo fatentes et ejus quod accidit dolorem, et amictu, et habitu, et vitæ humilitate, pra se ferentes, a communione non arceri. Sin autem etiam ab aliquo sunt prohibiti, majoris cautionis gratia, vel etiam propter aliquorum ignorantiam, statim admittantur. Hoc autem similiter in clericis, et aliis laicis. Hoc quoque est examinatum, an possint etiam laici qui in eandem necessitatem inciderunt ad ordinem promoveri. Visum est ergo, et eos, ut qui nihil peccâssent, si etiam præcedens eorum vitæ instituendæ ratio recta inveniatur, promoveri.

They who fled and were apprehended or were betrayed by their domestics, or otherwise have borne the loss of their property, or endured tortures, or were cast into prison, declaring aloud that they were (are) Christians, and were violently pulled about, or had (something, i.e. incense, see var. read.) forcibly thrust into their hands by those who constrained them, or received by constraint some unhallowed food, professing all the while that they were (are) Christians and have continually manifested their sorrow at what has happened by their whole behaviour, habit, and humility of life; these, as being without sin, must not be excluded from the communion. But if they have been prevented by any, out of extraordinary caution (or "on the ground of greater strictness"), or even through the ignorance of some, let them be forthwith admitted. This is equally meant both of those of the clergy and of others that are laymen. This also has been asked, whether laymen who have fallen under the same necessity can be promoted to orders. It is therefore decreed that they also, as not laving sinned at all, may be ordained, if their former course of life also be found upright.

## CANON IV.

De iis qui vi sacrificârunt, et praterea As for those who sacrificed by force, ad idola pransi sunt, quicunque abducti and futhermore dined before the idols, quidem, et lætiore habitu accesserunt, et veste sumptuosiore usi sunt, et parati they who were conducted away and went up with a more cheerful air (or "habit")

[^67]



## CANON V.
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[^68]prandii non ægre id ferentes participes fuerunt, visum est anno audire, tribus autem annis substerni ac supplices esse, soli autem orationi duobus annis communicare, et tunc ad id ouod est perfectum accedere.
and used a more sumptuous dress than usual, and partook of the feast that was prepared indifferently (or "unconcernedly "), it is decreed that they be hearers for one year, prostrators for three years, and communicate in prayer only for two ycars, and then come to that which is perfect.

## CANON V.

Quicunque autem cum veste lugubri accesscrunt, et accumbentes comederunt, interea toto accubitus tempore lacrymas fundentes, si triennale substrationis tempus impleverint, sine oblatione recipiantur. Si autem non comederunt, cum duobis annis supplices substratique fuerint, tertio anno communicent sine oblatione, ut id quod perfectum est, triennio accipiant. Statuimus autem ut Episcopi, modo conversionis examinato, potestatem habeant vel utendi clementia, vel plus temporis adjicionel. Ante omnia autem, et precedens vita, et quæ consecuta est, examinetur, et sic eis clementia impertiatur.

But as many as went up with a mourning habit, and sitting down, ate, weeping meanwhile throughout the whole entertainment, if they have fulfilled the three years of prostration, let them be received without the oblation : But if they did not eat, prostrating themselves for two years, on the third let them communicate without the oblation, that on the fourth year they may come to (may receive) perfection. It is decreed that the Bishops have power, after they have examined the nature (manner) of their conversion, to extend indulgence towards them, or add a longer time. But especially (before all things) let their preceding and subsequent course of life be examiued, and so lenity extended (measured out) accordingly.

## CANON VI.

De iis qui supplicii tantum minis, et bonorum ablationis, vel exterminationis cesserunt, et sacrificaverunt, et ad præsens usque tempus pœuitentiam non egerunt, nec conversi sunt, nunc autem circa tempus Synodi processerunt, et conversionis cogitationem induerunt, visum est ad magnum usque diem eos ad auditionem admitti, et post magnum diem tribus annis esse supplices et substerui: et post alios duos

Concerning those who have yielded merely at the threat of punishment, and loss of property or of banishment, and have sacrificed, and up to the present time have not repented or returned, but now about the time of this (the) Synod, have approached, and are come to a resolution of conversion; it is decreed that they be admitted to be hearers (to hearing) until the great day (that is, Easter-day), and
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## CANON VIII.





## CANON IX.



[^70]annos communicare sine oblatione : et sic ad quod perfectum est venire, ut totum sexennium impleant. Si qui autem ante hane Synodum ad pœenitentiam admissi sunt, ab illo tempore eis sexennium reputari. Sed si periculum, mortisque expectatio, ex morbo vel aliqua alia occasione evenerit, ii sub definitione recipiantur.
that after the great day they prostrate themselves for three years, and after two more years, to communicate without the oblation, and so come to that which is perfect, so as to complete the whole term of six years. But if any have been admitted to penance before the time of this (the) Synod, the commencement of their six years has been computed from that time. If, however, danger and expectation of death arise from disease or any other occasion, let them be received under limitation.

## CANON VII.

De iis qui in festo ethnico, in loco Gentilibus deputato convivati sunt, et proprios cibos attulerunt, et comederunt, visum est, cum biennio substrati fuerint, esse recipiendos. An unum quenque autem cum oblatione recipere oporteat, est Episcoporum examinare, et aliam vitam in unoquoque inquirere.

As for those who have been guests at a heathen feast, in a place assigned for heathens, but brought and eat their own food only: it is decreed that they be received after they have been prostrators two years, but whether he should be received with or without the oblation, each Bishop must decide, and inquire in the case of each one, into the rest of his life also.

## CANON VIII.

Qui autem secundo, et tertio sacrificarunt per vim, triennio substernantur, duobus autem annis sine oblatione communicent, et septimo perfecte suscipiantur.

Let those who have twice or thrice sacrificed under constraint be prostrators four years, and communicate without the oblation two years, and the seventh year let them be perfectly received.

## CANON IX.

Quicunque autem non solum desciverunt, sed etiam insurrexerunt, et fratres (apostatized), but have also assaulted, and
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## CANON XI.
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[^72]suos coëgerunt, et causæ fuerunt ut cogerentur, ii annis quidem tribus auditionis locum suscipiant (per aliud autem sexennium substernantur), alio autem anno sine oblatione communicent, ut decennio expleto, ejus, quod est perfectum, sint participes. Eorum autem in eo quoque tem. pore aliam vitam examinare oportet.
forced, and have been the occasion (causes) of forcing the brethren, let these occupy (receive) the place of hearers three years, in the other space of six years that of prostrators, but for another year let them communicate without the oblation, in order that, having completed ten years, they may be partakers of that which is perfect: in this time the rest of their life must also be examined.

## CANON X.

Quicunque diaconi constituti, in ipsa constitutione testificati sunt, et dixerunt, oportere se uxores ducere, cum non possint sic manere, ii si uxorem postea duxerint, sint in ministerio: eo quod hoc sit illis ab Episcopo concessum. Si qui autem hoc silentio præterito, et in ordinatione, ut ita manerent, suscepti sunt, postea autem ad matrimonium venerunt, ii a diaconatu cessent.

If they who have been ordained deacons have testified at their ordination and declared that they must marry, being unable to remain as they were (lit. "thus"), if they do after this marry, let them continue in their ministry because they are permitted so to do by the Bishop: but if any passing this over in silence, and undertaking at their (the) ordination to remain as they were, afterwards marry (afterwards come to marriage), let them cease from the diaconate.

## CANON XI.

Desponsas aliis puellas, et ab ahis postea raptas, visum est iis quibus desponse erant reddi, etiam si vim ab ipsis passe sunt.

It is decreed that young women espoused, and subsequently ravished by others, be given up to those to whom they were formerly espoused, even though they may have suffered violence from others (lit. "from them").
${ }^{3}$ In the 26 th of the Canons called Apostolical, the permission to marry after ordination is extended only to readers and singers. Zon. in his Comment on this 26 th Canon says, "Priests, deacons, and sub-deacons, before their ordination, are asked if they choose to live continently ( $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o v \varepsilon \tau \nu \nu)$, and if they promise this they are ordained, but if not, they are permitted to marry before ordination, and after marriage are ordained. But if the aforesaid marry after ordination they are deposed. See Conc. Neoc. Can. 1, and compare with it Cone. Gang. Can. 4, and with both Socr. Ece. His. 1. ch. xiii fine.

4 "Here Balsamon puts in a very proper Cave, viz. If he to whom she was espoused demand her to be his wife." Johuson. The words of Balsamon are, 'Aкоíwy dè roṽ кavíyos $\lambda$ 'éyovtos



## CANON XII.
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## CANON XIV.
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1 Bingh. Antiq., Book iv. ch. iii.
2 Whoever wishes to see a full and valuable explanation of this Canon, and the authoritics and reasons for this reading, should consult Routh, Reliq. Sac., vol. iii. pp. 430-9. The reader who is not much acquainted with such subjects should be informed that some fow copies (see Bev. inf.) present such a reading as would seem to grant to priests the power of ordaining, ... This immediately convicts itself as being entirely opposed to all the monuments of Christian antiquity. There are, however, other difficulties in the way. Bp Bev., after remarking that

 states his objcction: "But there is no doubt that this reading is erroneous. For in the first part of the Canon the ehorepiscopi are forbidden to ordain priests in general, and therefore there was no occasion that any mention should be made of city priests; and since they were not allowed to ordain country priests, much less might they ordain the city priests. So another reading is to be sought." Then, after quoting John of Antioch, the ancient Latin version, and that of Dion. Ex., he says of the latter, "But this reading is as false as the other, forasmuch as by it the city priests are permitted to ordain priests and deacons, if only the Bishop ordered them, which is absund and unknown to antiquits. Whither thon shall we turn to unloose this tangled knot?" He fixes on the translation of Isidore Mercator as the best representative of the meaning of the original text, it is this: Vicariis episcoporum, quos Greci chorcpiscopos rocant, non licere presbyteros vel diaconos ordinare, sod nee presbyteris civitatis sine episcopi præcepto amplius aliquid imperare, vel sine authoritate literarum ejus in unaquaque parochia aliquid agere. Now of this addition, viz. aliquid imperare, \&e., Routh says, "that neither trace or shadow can be found in any Greek copy or collection, or even exposition of the Canons." Wbi primo monendum est, hujus additamenti nee volam nee vestigium In ullo Greeo Canonum vel exemplari, vel digesto, vel demum expositione, deprchendi posse, page 435. While every trace of inconsistency is removed, and all diffculty obviated, by giving the word $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ in the phrase $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \mu \eta \dot{\partial} \dot{E}$ its full force. The Canon will then be consistent throughout, the sense of which is well given by Zonaras, thus ... "but without the permission

CANON XII.

Eos qui ante baptismum sacrificarunt, et postea baptizati sunt, visum est ad ordinem promoveri, ut qui abluti sunt.

It is decreed that they who sacrificed (lit. "sacrificed before baptism") and subsequently were baptized, may be promoted to orders, as having been washed clean.

## CANON XIII.

Chorepiscopos (Chorepiscopis) non licere presbyteros, vel diaconos ordinare sed neque urbis presbyteros, nisi cum litteris ab Episcopo permissum fuerit, in aliena (in unaquaque) parochia.

It is not lawful for Chorepiscopi to ordain priests or deacons, much less (lit. "but assuredly not") the priests of the city, unless they are permitted in writing by the Bishop, in each parish (or diocese).

## CANON XIV.

Qui in clero sunt, presbyteros vel diaconos a carnibus abstinentes, visum est eas attingere, et sic, si velint, ab eis abstinere. Si autem nolint olera, qua cum carnibus apponuntur, comedere, et Canoni non eedant, ab ordine cessare.

It is decreed that those who are in the clergy, priests or deacons, and who abstain from flesh, shall taste it, and then (thus) restrain themselves, if they wish. But if they feel disgust, so as not even to eat the herbs mingled with the flesh, and if they will not obey (yield to) the Canon let them cease from their order.
of the bishops to whom they are subject, it is not lawful for them to ordain a presbyter or deacon, nor ( $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \delta \bar{E}$ ) to appoint (or "ordain," $\kappa \alpha 0 \operatorname{lo\tau } \hat{q} \nu)$ priests in the cities. For if they cannot ordain the aforesaid in the districts in which they act as bishops, much more are they forbidden to do this in cities." See also on this subject Reliq. Sacra, vol. iv. pages iii-ャ. Oxf. 1818.
${ }^{3}$ The chorepiscopi were really bishops though limited in the exercise of the episcopal officc, Nimirum etiamsi cpiseopi revera fuerint, nulli tamen urbi episcopali præfuerunt; sed urbis potius episcopo, intra cujus limites regio ipsorum sita est subjecti sunt: ac propterea etiamsi, quatenus episcopalem ordinationem accepissent, vere episcopi essent; \&c., Bev. ap. Routh, Reliq. Sacre, iii. 486 ; and lower down he says, " Nor must it be omitted, that the office at least of the chorepiscopi of old obtained in England, even if the name did not; for they were called suffragan bishops," \&c., \&c. See Bingh. Antiq., B. ii. ch. xiv. ; Book ii. ch. iii. Palmer's Treatise on the Church of Christ, vol. ii. pages 301, 316. London, 1842.
${ }^{4}$ Unless they have the permission of the "bishop of the city" for so doing. See Con. Antioch, Can. 10.
${ }^{5}$ i.e. as the 51 Ap. Can. says, if they abstain from it, not for the sake of abstinence or spiritual exercise ( $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}} \sigma \kappa \eta \sigma \nu \nu$ ), but through disgust, " forgetting that all things are very good, and that God made man male and female (this latter remark levelled against those who abstained from marriage for the same reason), but blasphemously calumniates the workmanship of God," \&c. See also Can. 53. Of this crime the foliowers of Tatian, the Apostolics, the Manichees, and others, were guilty, also the Ebionites. "The Ebionites tanght that we must abstain from all animal food, at least under the New Testament. And hence, that they might elude an argument of the Catholics drawn from the eating of the paschal lamb which Christ did not at all refuse with his apostles, they are said by Epiphanius to have falsified the gospel,", i. e. by changing
 i. p. 29. Oxf. 1714.
${ }^{6}$ This is the reading given by Routh, and is very mach preferable on many accounts than any of those in the margin. Dion, Exig. found this in his cofy, his translation being Quod si in tantum eas abominantur, \&e., Conf. Apost. Can. 53.
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## CANON XV.

Ex iis quæ pertinent ad dominicum, quæcunque, dum non esset Episcopus presbyteri vendiderunt, revocare dominicum, Episcopi autem judicio relinqui an oporteat precium recipere an non, utpote quod eorum que sunt vendita reditus, eis ipsis majus precium reddiderit.

As to what belongs to the Church, the Church may resume whatever the priests have sold during the vacancy of the see (lit. "when there is no Bishop"), but it is left to the judgment of the Bishop whether he (i. e. the purchaser. Note ${ }^{2}$ ) ought to receive back the purchase-money or not, because, frequently, the rent (or fruit) of the things sold have yielded to these very persons a larger sum.

## CANON XVI.

De iis qui rationis expertia animalia inierunt, vel ineunt, quicunque priusquam sexdecim (vicesimum. Dion. Exig.) quidem annos nati essent, peccarunt, postquam quindecim annis substrati ac supplices fuerint, communionem ad preces assequantur. Deinde, et cum in communione quinque annis perseveraverint, tunc etiam oblationem attingant. Examinetur autem, et eorum in substratione vita, et ita humanitatem consequantur. Si qui autem improbe ac maligne in peccatis fuerunt (Dion. Exig. Quod si inexplebiliter his hæsere criminibus), longam substrationem habeant. Quicunque autem hanc ætatem transgressi, et habentes uxores, in hoc peccatum inciderunt, xxv. annis substrati, communionem ad preces assequantur. Deinde eum in precum communione quinque annos peregerint, oblationcm assequantur. Si qui autem, et uxores habentes, et quinquagesimum annum transgressi, peccaverunt, in vitæ exitu communionem assequantur.

As to those who are or have been guilty of uncleanness, as many as have sinned before they were 20 years of age, after being prostrators 15 years, let them communicate (lit. "let them receive com.") in the prayers; and so, after having continued five years in that (the) communion, let them then partake of the oblation also; but let their life be examined during the time of their prostration, and let them meet with lenity accordingly; but if any have been insatiable in their sins, let them have a long period of prostration. But let as many as have fallen into this sin, after they have passed this age, and who have wives, be prostrators for $2 \overline{5}$ years, and communicate in the prayers only, then having completed five years in the communion of the prayers, let them come to the oblation. But if any, both having wives, and being above the age of 50 , have sinned, let them receive communion at the end of their life.
not be refunded, rather thari why the contract should be merely rendered null. But be this as it may, Alex. Arst. gives another sense to the words, followed by Johnson in his translation, which is, "It is left to the discretion of the Bishop whether to accept the purchase-money or not:" \&c.
[The above was written before I had an opportunity of consulting houth; he says, Excidisse ab antiquo vel rods érviras, vel quid hujusmodi, persuasum mihi est, tam ex sensu aperto canonis, tum propter voces illas av́roĩs rourois quæ sequantur. Hos vero emptores necesse est Christianos extitisse, ut obstringi possent auctoritate coucili. ]
${ }^{3}$ The penalties inflicted for this crime by the civil law were . . . non confiscatio solum, sed etiam cingoli privatio, et exilium : et si vilis fuerit, etian corporis verberatio; quatenus discat caste vivere, et intra naturam se continere, non autem delectari, et amare ultra terminum traditum nobis a natura. Novel. xi. ch. i. ; Corp. Jur. Civ. vol. ii. page 497. Basil's Can. Epist. Can. 7.

## CANON XVII.


 $\epsilon \bar{u}_{\mathrm{X} \in \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}}$.

## CANON XVIII.

al. $\kappa a \theta_{c} \zeta_{\varepsilon}=$ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta a t$.

## CANON XVII.

Eos qui rationis expertia animantia inierunt, et qui leprosi sunt vel fuerunt, jussit sancta Synodus inter hyemantes orare.

The holy Synod has charged those who have been guilty of uncleanness, and are lepers, or infect others with leprosy, to pray among the demoniacs ("amongst them who stand exposed to the weather." Johnson).

## CANON XVIII.

Si qui constituti Episcopi, et ab illa parochia in quam nominati fuerunt, non suscepti, alias velint parochias invadere, et iis qui constituti sunt vim afferre, et seditiones adversus illos excitare, eos segregari. Sin autem velint in preshyterio residere, in quo prius erant presbyteri, ipsos honore non moveri. Quod si adversus eos, qui illic constituti sunt, Episcopos seditiones excitaverint, honorem quoque presbyterii ab eis auferri, et illos abdicatos effici.

If any being constituted Bishops, and not received by that parish to which they were nominated, choose to proceed to other parishes and use violence against the settled bishops, and move seditions against them, let them be suspended from communion: if, however, they wish to sit among the clergy of that Church where they were formerly priests, let them not be deprived of that honour. But if they raise seditions against the bishops established there, let the honour of the priesthood also be taken away from them, and let them be expelled.

## CANON XIX.

Quicunque virginitatem profitentes, professionem suam irritam reddunt, ii bigamorum definitionem impleant. Virgines quidem certe, quæ cum quibusdam tanquam cum fratribus se sociant, prohibuimus.

Let as many as have professed virginity and have broken their promise come under (fulfil) the law of digamists. We discountenance (or "forbid") those virgins who live with certain persons, as sisters (or according to marg. read., "as with brothers").

 Can. 17, 18, infra.

6 "By this Canon it is clear that the nomination of the bishop was not in the people in this age: for how can it be conceived that a bishop should not be received by the parish that had elected him?" Johnson.





 autoĩs. Sec Constit. Eccles. Collectio. ap. Bals. ii. col. 1129 (Patrol. Græe. vol. cxxxviii.), and the notes of Annibal Fabrotus on the placc. Justin. Novell. vi. ch. vi. orders that deaconesses shall live "either alone, or with their parents and children only, or with their real brothers," . . aut solæ habitent, aut cum parentibus solis et filiis, aut vere fratribus.

## CANON XX.
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## CANON XXI.


b antiqua.
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CANON XXII. ' (Can. xxiii. in $\mathrm{M}^{3}$.)



CANON XXIII. (Can. xxii. in $\mathrm{M}^{2}$.)
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## CANON XX.

Si alicujus uxor adulterium commiserit, vel ipse adulterium commiserit, oportet eum in septem annis quod perfectum est assequi, per gradus, qui eo deducunt.

If the wife of any have committed adultery, or if any man commit adultery, it seems fit that they (lit. "he") attain to that which is perfect in seven years, according to the stages before prescribed.

## CANON XXI.

De mulieribus quæ fornicantur, et foetus in utero perimunt, et fetuum necatoriis medicamentís faciendis dant operam, prior quidem definitio usque ad vitæ exitum prohibebat, et ei quidam assentiuntur, sed humanitate tamen utentes, decrevimus, ut decennium per gradus prefinitos impleant.

Concerning women that have committed fornication, and destroyed their offspring, and endeavoured to procure abortions: a former Canon indeed has forbidden their admission until the time of their death, and to this some have assented; but we, using (finding out) some more lenient course, have decreed that they fulfil the period of ten years according to the prescribed stages.

## CANON XXII.

De voluntariis cædibus, supplices quidem substernantur: eo autem, quod perfectum est, in fine vitæ digni habeantur.

As to wilful murderers (lit. murders), let them be prostrators indeed, but at the end of their life be accounted worthy of that which is perfect.

## CANON XXIII.

De involuntariis cædibus prior quidem constitutio jubet in septennio per prefinitos gradus esse perfecti participes, secunda vero quinquennii tempus implere.

Concerning involuntary homicides, the former constitution orders that he participate in that which is perfect in seven years, according to the prescribed stages, but the second, that he complete the period of five years.
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## CANON XXIV.







## CANON XXV.

- $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ 白 $\phi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} \in \lambda \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$.
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## CANON XXIV.

Qui vaticinantur, et gentium consuetu- Let those who use divinations, and foldines sequuntur, vel in suas ædes aliquos low the customs of the heathen, or who inintroducunt, ad medicamentorum, inven- troduce certain persons into their houses tionem, vel lustrationem in quinquennii for the discovery of charms, or even remeCanonem incidant, secundum gradus pre- dies (or lustrations), fall under the Canon finitos, tres annos substrationis et duos of five years' penance, according to the annos orationis sine oblatione. prescribed stages, viz. three years of prostration, and two years of prayer, without the oblation.

## CANON XXV.

Quidam cui erat puella desponsa, ejus A certain person espoused to a young sorori vitium attulit, ita ut ea etiam con- woman, corrupted her sister, so that she ciperet. Sponsam autem postea uxorem became with child; he afterward married duxit. Ea autem cui vitium erat allatum, her to whom he was betrothed (lit. "the se suffocavit. Qui conscii fuerant jussi betrothed"), but the one who was corsunt decennio in eos qui consistunt recepi, rupted (" the corrupted") hanged herself; per gradus definitos. they who were concerned (lit. "conscious") were ordered to be received among the co-standers in ten years according to the prescribed stages.
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# KANONEE ${ }^{1}$ 

THE EN NEOKAIEAPELA EYNOAOY.

## CANON I.

- $\mu \varepsilon \tau a \tau 1 \theta \varepsilon$ の日ш. $\mathrm{M}^{2}$.

 aủtò̀ єis $\mu \epsilon \tau$ ávoiav.


## CANON II.




 $\mu \in \tau$ ávoza.

## CANON III.
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## CANONES CONCILII NEOCESARIENSIS.

## CANON I.

Presbyter si uxorem duxerit, ordine suo If a Priest marry, let him be removed moveatur. Si autem fornicatus fuerit, vel from his order; but if he commit fornicaadulterium commiserit, penitus extruda- tion or adultery, let him be expelied altur, et ad penitentiam redigatur. together, and brought under (to) public penance.

## CANON II.

Fœmina si duobus fratribus nupserit, extrudatur usque ad mortem, Sed in morte propter humanitatem, si dixerit, quod si convaluerit solvet matrimonium, ad pcenitentiam admittetur. Sed si mortua fuerit mulier existens, vel ejus maritus in tali conjugio, difficilis est poenitentia (remanenti).

If a woman marry two brothers let her be excommunicated (expelled) till death, (if she be not persuaded to dissolve the marriage, see note ${ }^{4}$ ), but if at her death she say that if she recover she shall dissolve the marriage, she shall, by indulgence, be admitted to penance (lit. "shall have penance"). But if the woman or the man die, being involved in such a marriage, penance will be difficult for the survivor.

## CANON III.

De iis qui in plurima matrimonia inci- As for those who contract (fall into)
${ }^{3}$ Coneil. Elib. Can. 18 [Caranz. Sum. Concil. Can. 19] R. Reliq. Sacr.; also Basil. Can. 70.
 has little or no manuseript authority. See Reliq. Sacr. 3. 464. The Council of Eliberis passes a far milder sentence in a similar case: Si quis post obitum uxoris suax sororem ejns duxerit, et ipsa sit fidelis, quinquennio a communione placuit abstinere, nisi forte velocius dari pacem necessitas coegerit infirmitatis. Can. 61. Caranza, Sum. Concil. page 62. Lugd. 1587.
${ }^{5}$ Becanse, as Zonaras observes, "He who repents, if he desists from sin, is believed to repent, but if he still does evil, how shall he be supposed to be sorry? or how shall he be admitted to penance? Now he who, up to the death of his wife, did not retire from this unlawfulconnection


${ }^{6}$ See Bing. Antiq., B. xvi. ch. xi. sec. 7 , page 1001.
 тò̀ $\chi$ ро́vov.

## CANON IV:

 $M^{1}{ }^{2}$. R .
$\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{om}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathbf{2}}$. R.




## CANON v.



 $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \alpha ́ v \eta, \grave{\imath} \xi \omega \theta \in i \sigma \theta \omega .{ }^{6}$

## Canon vi. ${ }^{7}$





[^79]dunt, tempus quidem præstitutum esse manifestum est. Sed conversatio et pœnitentia eorum tempus contrahit.
many marriages, the appointed time of penance is clear, but their conversion and faith shorten the time.

## CANON IV.

Si quis proposuerit concupiscere mulierem ad dormiendum cum ipsa, ejus autem desiderium ad opus non venerit, videtur esse a gratia liberatus.

If any one lusting after a woman do resolve to lie with her, but his purpose be not carried into effect, it seems that he was rescued by grace.

## CANON V.

Catechumenus, si in dominicum ingrediens, in catechumenorum ordine steterit; is autem peccat; si genu quidem flectens, audiat, non amplius peccans. Sin autem etiam audiens adhuc peccet, extrudatur.

If a catechumen entering into the Church have stood in the station of oatechumens, and yet be guilty of sin; if he be one of the kneelers and desist from his sin, let him become a hearer, but if he sin while he is a hearer, let him be expelled.

## CANON VI.

De ea quot uterum gerit, quod eam oporteat illuminari, quando velit; neque enim ea quæ parit, cum feetu qui paritur, ea in re habet aliquid commune, quod proprium uniuscujusque institutum, ac propositum in confessione ostendatur.

As to a woman with child, she ought to be baptized when she wishes, for the mother in this case communicates nothing to the child, because the peculiar (or "proper") resolution of each is shown at the confession.
feited by any public sin, and if he desisted from his sin, he was removed to the class of hearers.
${ }^{4}$ See Conc. Ancy. Can. 15, note ${ }^{1}$, supra.
5 But if he did not cease from sin he was to be removed to the station or class of mourners,
 low the Latin translation in applying this sentence to the more perfect kind of catechumens; in the Greek (Patrol. cxxxvii. col. 1208) it is applied to the less perfect kind, but the text seems to me somewhat confused here).
${ }^{6}$ Balsamon and Zonaras understand by this, that if one of the less perfect kind of catechumens did not abstain from his sin he was to be placed among the mourners. Bals, says, $\varepsilon i \dot{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$ raiv
 $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \ddot{\nu} \pi \rho \rho \sigma \kappa \lambda a \iota o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$. But Alex. Arist. took it to mean expulsion from the Church;


The syntax of this Canon in the Greek is very singular, and therefore it is to be hoped the reader will excuse the translation if it is not very clear." Johnson. This translation I have given word for word: the following is the version of Dion. Exig.: Catechumenus, si ingrediatur ecelesiam, et in ordinem eorum qui instruuntur assistat: hic autem deprehensus fucrit peccans, si quidem renu flectit, audiat, ut non delinquit ulterius: si vero et audiens peccaverit, expellatur.

7 The reader ought, by all means, to consult on this Canon, Wall on Infant Baptism, vol. i. pp. 151-60. Oxford, 1836.

## CANON VII.

${ }^{7} \gamma_{\dot{\alpha} \mu o \nu} \delta_{1}-$ үанойцтоя. Bev. yauous
 R.




## CANON VIII.






## CANON IX.
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## CANON VII,

Presbyter in nuptiis eorum, qui duas. uxores ducunt, seu digami efficiuntur, ne convivetur. Cum enim requirat pœnitentiam digamus, quis erit presbyter, qui eo quod sit convivio acceptris, nuptiis assentiatur.

Let not a priest be present at the mar-riage-feasts of digamists. Since the digamist requires penance, what a priest is he who consents to such a marriage by being entertained at the feast.

## CANON VIII.

Si alicujus uxor, cum esset laicus, adulterata fuisse convicta fuerit, is non potest ad ministerium accedere. Sin autem etiam post ordinationem adulterata fuerit, debet eam dimittere. Quod si cohabitet, non potest sibi commissum ministerium exequi.

If the wife of any one be clearly convicted of having committed adultery while he is a layman, such a person cannot be admitted (cannot come) to the ministry; but if even after his (the) ordination she commit adultery, he ought to put her away; but if he live with her he cannot retain the ministry entrusted to him.

## CANON IX.

Si presbyter, qui corpore prius peccaverat, promotus fuerit, et se ante ordinationem peccasse confessus fuerit, ne offerat, manens in aliis, propter aliud eju bonæ vitæ studium. Reliqua enim peccata dicunt

If any one who has before sinned carnally (in the body) be ordained Priest, and he confess that he has sinned before ordination, let him not offer the oblation; continuing in the exercise of his other

[^81]
 $\pi о \iota \epsilon ̄ \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \eta ̀ \nu$ द́govoiav.

## CANON X.

${ }^{\text {a }}$ al. Ė̀̀。
multi manuum impositione tolli. Quod si duties (or "to enjoy the other privileges of ipse non confiteatur, aperte autem con- his office") because of his zeal in other vinci non potuerit, illius quoque ei fiat potestas. respects (because of his feadiness in the other point." Johnson). For many (the many) say that the laying on of hands remits the other sins: But if he himself do not confess, and he cannot be manifestly convicted, let him in this matter exercise the power at his own discretion.

## CANON X.

Similiter, et diaconus, si in idem peccatum inciderit, ministri ordinem habeat.

In like manner also, if a deacon fall into the same sin let him take (have) the rank of a minister (i. e. subdeacon).

## CANON XI.

Presbyter ante triginta annos non ordinetur, etiam si fuerit homo valde dignus, sed reservetur. Dominus enim Jesus Christus in trigesimo anno baptizatus est, et ccepit docere.

Let none be ordained Priest (lit. "let not a Priest be ordained") before thirty years of age, even though the man be very deserving, but let him be reserved; for the Lord Jesus Christ was baptized and began to teach in the thirtieth year.

## CANON XII.

Si quis ægrotans fuerit illuminatus, non potest in presbyterum evehi. Fides enim ejus non est ex instituto, sed ex necessitate: nisi forte propter consequens ejus studium, et fidem, hominumque raritatem.

If any one be enlightened (i. e. baptized) during sickness, he cannot be advanced to the priesthood, for his faith is not of settled purpose, but of necessity, unless indeed perhaps this defect is overlooked on account of his subsequent diligence and faith, and through the scarcity of men.
as the above). Novel. exxiii. chap. xiii.; Corp. Jur. Civ, vol. ii. page 619. I may remark, that in the 'Sheodosian Code the age of the deaconess is fixed at 60 years. Theodosii Selecta de Religione Decreta, ap. Patrol., xiii. col. 531.

 they who learn these things are enlightened in mind"). Apol. sec. 61 ; Clem. Alex. (ap Eus.

 K voiov. In illustration of this passage Valesius quotes the following from Greg. Nazian., where,


${ }^{6}$ Long before the time of this Council it was held unlawful to admit into the clergy any who had received clinic baptism; on this ground tle ordination of Novatian, who had been thus bap-
 the lay people." Bing, Antiq., B. iv. ch. iii. sec. 11.

## CANON XIII.

## ${ }^{2} \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v-$

 т $\varepsilon \rho \omega \nu$.




## CANON XIV.

 ठ̀̀ $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma o i ̀, ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v ~ \sigma \pi o v \delta \grave{\eta} v ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \tau \omega \chi o i ̀ s, ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o v \sigma \iota{ }^{3}$ $\tau є \mu ө ́ \mu є \nu о$.

## CANON XV.
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## CANON XIII.

Vicani autem presbyteri non possunt in dominico offerre, presente Episcopo, vel urbis presbyteris: neque panem dare precationis, neque calicem. Sin autem absint, et solus ad precationem vocatus fuerit, dat.

Country Priests cannot make the oblation in the Church of the city if the Bishop or city Priest be present, nor yet give the bread or cup with prayer ; but if they are absent, and he alone is called to prayer, he may give them (lit. "he gives").

## CANON XIV.

Chorepiscopi autem sunt quidem in figura septuaginta: ut autem comministri, propter studium in pauperes, offerunt honorati.

But the chorepiscopi they are in imitation of the Seventy, and being honoured as fellow-ministers for their zeal towards the poor, do offer.

## CANON XV.

Diaconi septem esse debent ex Canone, etiam si sit magna civitas, ejus autem rei fidem faciet liber Actorum.

The deacons ought to be seven, according to the rule (or Canon), even though the city be very great, but of this you will be persuaded from the Book of the Acts.

 هıáкovoe $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \dot{a}, ~ к . \tau . \lambda$. The Canon as epitomized in that synopsis of the Canons on which Alex.

 Whatever becomes of the argument drawn from the different duties performed by the first and the more modern deacons, there certainly does not seem to be any good reason why each Church should not employ as many subordinate ministers as the circumstances of such Church render

 tendency rather to increase their number inconveniently (at least at Constantinople), so that Justinian found it necessary to pass a law that no further ordinations should take place for the great church at Constantinople (the clergy of which served three other churches) till the number of ministers were reduced; the words are, Quapropter sancimus non ultra sexaginta quidem presbyteros in sanctissima majore ecclesia esse, diaconos autem masculos centum, et quadringinta feminas: subdiaconos vero nonaginta, lectores autem centum et decem, cantores vigintiquinque: $\ldots$. . et insuper centum existentibus iis, qui vocantur ostiarii. Novel, iii. ch. i. see. 1 (Corp. Jur. Civ., vol. ii. page 478).

## KANONE $\Sigma^{1}$

THE EN ГATГPA $\Sigma Y N O \Delta O Y$.

## CANON I.

a civ 0n. in $M^{2}$.




## CANON II.


 M ${ }^{1}$


## CANON III.

c dıòaбкви. $M^{1.2}$.


 ぞGT $\omega$.
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# CANONES CONCILII GANGRENSIS. 

## CANON I.

Si quis matrimonium vituperet, et eam que cum marito suo dormit, quee est fidelis et religiosa, abhorreat, et insimulet tanquam que non possit regnum ingredi, sit anathema.

If any one reproach marriage, and abhors or reproaches the woman, being faithful (i.e. a communicant) and pious, who lives with her husband, as if she could not enter the kingdom of Heaven, let him be anathema.

## CANON II.

Si quis eum, qui carnem præter sanguinem, et idolothytum et suffocatum, cum pietate, et fide comedit, condemnat, tanquam co quod ea vescatur, spem non habeat, sit anathema.

If any one condemns him who, with piety and faith, eats flesh without blood, and which has not been offered in sacrifice to idols, or strangled, as if by his participation of this he has no hope, let him be anathema.

## CANON III.

Si quis docet servum pietatis pretextu dominum contemnere, et a ministerio recedere, et non cum benevolentia, et omni honore domino suo inservire, sit anathema.

If any one under pretence of religion teaches a slave to despise his master, and to run away (retire) from his service, and not rather to serve his master with good will and all honour, let him be anathema.

 Grec. Monum. vol. i. pp. 292-3. Par. 1677.
${ }^{3}$ In their Synodical Epist., the bishops assembled at this Council say, speaking of Eustathius and his party: "For by their disapproving of marriage, and teaching that no one who remains (or 'is') in that state has any hope before God, many married women, being deccived, have left their husbands, and husbands their wives, then, in the mean time not being able to live chastely (kyrpateiv), have committed adultery," \&c. \&c.





CANON IV.

 $\beta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega} . \mathrm{M}^{2} . \quad \hat{a} \nu \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \mu a \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega$.

## CANON V.

c 8t.agKet. $\mathbf{M}^{1.2}$.



## CANON VI.


$\mathbf{M}^{2}$.
 M1.2.


 $\sigma v \nu o ́ v \tau о s ~ т о \hat{v} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho о v$ катà $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu^{5} \tau о \hat{\imath}$ є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa о ́ \pi о v$, à $\nu a ́ \theta \epsilon \mu a$ ढ̈ $\sigma \tau \omega$.

## CANON VII.



 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{a} \theta \in \mu \alpha$ 关 $\sigma \tau \omega$.

[^84]
## CANON IV.

Si quis Presbyterum, qui uxorem duxit If any one separates from a married discernat, quasi non oporteat eo sacra ce- Priest, as if he ought not, when he minislebrante, oblationi commuricare, sit anathema. ters, to receive the oblation, let him be anathema.

## CANON V.

Si quis docet domum Dei esse contemnendam, et eas quæ sunt in ea, congregationes, sit anathema.

If any one teach that the house of God and the assemblies held therein are to be despised, let him be anathema.

## CANON VI.

Si quis extra Ecclesiam vult Ecclesiam habere, et contemnens Ecclesiam, vult ea quæ sunt Ecclesiæ agere, non conveniente Presbytero, de Episcopi sententia, sit anathema.

If any one hold assemblies privately without (i.e. outside; see note) the Church, and, despising the Church, wishes to perform the offices of the Church (lit. "the things of the Church") without the presence of a Priest, with consent of the Bishop, let him be anathema.

## CANON VII.

Si quis vult fructus Ecclesio oblatos accipere, vel dare extra Ecclesiam, præter Episcopi sententiam, vel ejus cuil cura eorum tradita est, et uon cum ejus sententia ea velit agere, sit anathema.

If any one wishes to receive or to give the ecclesiastical fruits (i. e. fruits presented to the Church) out of (outside) the Church, without the consent of the Bishop, or of him who has been intrusted with such matters, and will not act with his consent, let him be anathema.
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## CANON VIII. ${ }^{1}$


 $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu, \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{a} \theta \epsilon \mu \alpha$ є $\sigma \tau \omega$.

## CANON IX.


 рї̀. $\mathrm{M}^{2}$.

## CANON X.

 $\gamma є \gamma a \mu \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$, à $\nu \dot{a} \theta \in \mu a$ द̈ $\sigma \tau \omega$.

## CANON XI.



 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{d} \theta \epsilon \mu a$ ë $\sigma \tau \omega$.
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## CANON VIII.

Si quis dat, vel accipit, fructus oblatos præter Episcopum, vel eum qui est constitutus ad beneficientix dispensationem, et qui dat, et qui accipit, sit anathema.

If any one give or receive the fruit with. out the Bishop or the person appointed for the management of the offerings, let both the giver and the receiver be anathema.

## CANON IX.

Si quis virgo sit, vel continens, a matrimonio, tanquam abominando recedens, et non propter ipsam virginitatis pulchritudinem, et sanctitatem, sit anathema.

If any one live a virgin or continent life, retiring as if he detested marriage, and not for the very beauty and sanctity of virginity, let him be anathema.

## CANON X.

Si quis ex his qui virginitatem propter Dominum servant, extollitur adversum conjugatos, anathema sit.

If any of those who live a virgin life for the Lord's sake exalt himself above the married, let him be anathema.

## CANON XI.

Si quis contemnat eos, qui agapas ex fide faciunt, et propter Dei honorem fratres convocant, et nolit vocationibus communicare, quòd id quod sit vilipendat (parvipendens quod geritur. Dion. Exig.), sit anathema.

If any one despise those who, out of a principle of faith, make love-feasts, and for the honour of the Lord invite the brethren, and will not (wishes not) take a part in these invitations because he despises that which is done, let him be anathema.

[^87]
## CANON XII.






## CANON XIII.


 àv $\dot{d} \theta \epsilon \mu a$ є̈ $\sigma \tau \omega$.

## CANON XIV.




## CANON XV.

${ }^{6}$ ката入ı ${ }^{\prime}$ -
$\pi \alpha \nu \eta$. Z.
c $\frac{1}{0}$ ö $\sigma \sigma$.
$\mathrm{M}^{\mathbf{1} .{ }^{2} \text {. }}$




## CANON XVI.
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## CANON XII.

Si quis vir, propter eam quæ existimatur exercitationem, amiculo utitur, et tanquam habens ex eo justitiam, eos condemnet, qui cum pietate beros ferunt, et alia communi et consueta veste utuntur, sit anathema.

If any man, through a supposed exercise of piety use the pallium (a coarsc outer garment), and, as if by this he possessed righteousness, condemn those who, with piety, wear the berus or birrus (a silken garment), and use the other common and ordinary habit, let him be anathema.

## CANON XIII,

Si qua mulier, propter eam qua existimatur exercitationem, vesterm mutet, et pro consueto muliebri indumento virile accipiat, sit anathema.

If any woman, on account of that which is esteemed the practice of piety, change her raiment, and instead of the customary female habit assume male attire, let her be anathema.

## CANON XIV.

Si qua mulher relinquit maritum, et vult recedere, matrimonium abhorrens, sit anathema.

If any woman, deserting her husband, wishes to retire, detesting marriage, let her be anathema.

## CANON XV.

Si quis suos liberos relinquit, nec eos alit, nec, quantum in se est, ad convenientem pietatem religionemque adducit, sed exercitationis pretextu negligit, sit anathema.

If any one abandon his own children, and do not support them, and as far as in him lies bring them up to the worship of God, which is meet, but, under pretence of piety, neglect them, let him be anathema.

CANON XVI.
Si qui filii a parentibus, maxime fideli- If any children, under pretence of godbus, pretextu pietatis recesserint, et pa- liness, depart from their parents, especially

[^89]


## CANON XVII.

 $\mathrm{M}^{2}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \cos a^{n} \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{M}^{2}$.



## CANON XVIII.

 $\mathrm{N}^{2}$.


## CANON XIX.






## CANON XX.





[^90]rentibus quem par est honorem non tri- faithful parents, and do not give them the buerint, apud eos scilicet preposita in honour that is filting, that is, with special Deum pietate, sint anathema. regard to the piety that is in them, let them be anathema.

## CANON XVII.

Si qua mulier, propter eam quæ existi- If any woman, through that which is matur exercitationem, tondeat comam, esteemed piety, cut off (lit. "shave") her quam Deus ei dedit ad recordationem sub- hair, which God gave for a remembrance jectionis, ut que subjectionis preceptum of subjection, as if she would annul (lit. dissolvat, sit anathema. "as annulling") the decree of subjection, let her be anathema.

## CANON XVIII.

Si quis propter eam que existimatur exercitationem, in die dominico jejunet, sit anathema.

If any one, through a supposed exercise of piety, fast on the Lord's day, let him be anathema.

## CANON XIX.

Si quis eorum, qui exercentur, absque If any of the ascetics, without bodily corporali necessitate, se insolenter gerat, et tradita jejunia, quæ communiter servantur ab Ecclesia dissolvat, perfecte in eo residente ratione, sit anathema. necessity, act insolently, and dissolve the fasts delivercd by tradition unto the community, and which are observed by the Church, rejecting (abrogating) them on the authority of his own private reasoning, let him be anathema.

## CANON XX

Si quis arrogantia utens, et martyrum congregationes abhorrens, et sacra quæ in eis celebrantur, et eorum memorias accuset, sit anathema.

If any one, through the exercise of a haughty disposition, and through disgust (lit. " If any one, using a haughty disposition, and detesting;" \&c.), condemn the assemblies of the martyrs (i.e. those held in their honour), or the services conducted in them, and their memories (or "commemorations"), let him be anathema.
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## CANON XXI. ${ }^{1}$


















 $\mathrm{M}^{2}$ ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \dot{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{s} \boldsymbol{t a} \mathrm{c} \mathrm{s}$. id.
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## CANON XXI.

Hæc autem scribimus, non eos abscindentes, qui in Dei Ecclesia secundum Scripturas in continentia, et pietate exerceri, sed eos qui prætextum exercitationis ad arrogantiam assumunt, adversus eos qui simplicius vivunt se efferentes, et præter Scripturas Ecclesiasticosque Canones novitates inducunt. Virginitatem itaque una cum humilitate admiramur, et continentiam quæ cum pietate et gravitate excrectur, admittimus : et a secularibus negotiis secessum cum humilitate suscipimus : et honorabilem matrimonii conjunctionem honoramus: et divitias cum justitia, et beneficia non vilipendimus: et vestium vilitatem, propter corporis tantum curam minime curiosam ac operosam, laudamus: dissolutos autem, et molles in vestibus incessus aversamur, et domos Dei honoramus, et qui fiunt in iis conventus ut sanctos, et utiles recepimus, non pietatem in domibus includentes, sed omnem locum in Dei nomine sdificatum honorantes, et quæ sit in ipsa Ecclesia congressionem ad publici utilitatem recepimus, et insignes fratrum beneficentias, qua tanquam secundum traditiones fiunt per Ecclesiam in pauperes, laudamus, et ut semel dicamus, que a divinis Scripturis et Apostolicis traditionibus tradita sunt, in Ecclesia fieri optamus.

These things we write, notas cutting off those who, in the Church of God, desire to exercise themselves in acts of piety according to the Scriptures, but those who assume the appearance of piety for self-exaltation (pride), both acting haughtily against those who live in a more simple manner, and introducing innovations contrary to the Scriptures and the ecclesiastical Canons. We therefore admire virginity if attended with humility, and accept continence when exercised with piety and gravity, and regard (accept) a retreat from worldly business (things) with humility; and we honour the venerable estate of marriage, and reject not riches attended with righteousness and well-doing. We commend simplicity and plainness (cheapness) of apparel suited to the care merely of the body, without ostentation, but we have an aversion to dissolute and cffeminate displays in dress: and the houses of God we honour, and affectionately embrace the assemblies in them as holy and beneficial, not confining religion to these (the) houses, but regarding with respect every place built for (in) the name of God, and approve of the assemblies in the Church as being for the public good, and pronounce a beatitude upon signal acts of charity of the brethren, as being done towards the poor through the Church according to the traditions; and, to speak briefly, we wish that all things may be done in the Church which are delivered by the Holy Scriptures and Apostolic traditions.
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## KANONE: ${ }^{1}$

## TIIS EN ANTIOXEIA EYNOAOY.

## CANON I.
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## CANON II.
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## CANONES SYNODI ANTIOCHENA.

## CANON I.

Omnes qui audent dissolvere decretum sanctæ et magni Synodi, quæ Nicææ coacta est in presentia pietatis religiosissimi Imperatoris Constantini de sancto festo salutiferi Paschæ, esse excommunicatos, et Ecelesia ejectos statuimus, si adversus ea quæ recte decreta sunt contentiosius insistere perrexcrint. Et hæc quidem de laicis dicta sunt. Si quis autem eorum qui præsunt Ecclesiæ, Episcopus, vel Presbyter, vel liaconus, audebit post hoc decretum, ad populorum subversionem, et Ecclesiarum perturbationem seorsum agere, et Pascha cum Judwis peragere, sancta Synodus eum abhine alienum esse ab Ecclesia judicavit, ut qui non sibi solum peccati, sed et multis, exitii et subversionis causa fuerit: et non solum eos a ministerio deponit, sed et eos qui audent iis communicare post depositionem. Depositos autem etiam externo honore privari, cujus sanetus Canon, et Dei sacerdotium fuit particeps.

All who dare to annul the decree of the holy and great Synod assembled at Nicas, in the presence of the pious and most religious Emperor Constantine, concerning the holy and salutary feast of Easter (lit. "concerning the holy feast of the salutary Easter"), are excommunicated and ejected from the Church, if they continue persistently opposed to what has been excellently determined. Let so much be said concerning the laity. But if any of those who preside in the Church, Bishop, Priest, or Deacon, after this decision, dare, to the subversion of the people and the disturbance of the Churches, to act on his private authority and celebrate the passover with the Jews, the holy Synod has judged him to be henceforth estranged from the Church, as being the cause not only of $\sin$ to himself but of destruction and subversion to many; and it deprives not only such of their ministry, but those also who dare to communicate with them after their deposition. The deposed are deprived also of the external honour, of which the holy Canon and the Priesthood of God partake.

## CANON II.

Omnes qui in Ecclesiam ingrediuntur, et sacras Scripturas audiunt, orationi autem cum populo non communicant; vel sanc-

All who enter into the Church of God and hear the sacred Scriptures, but do not communicate in prayer with the people, or

[^95]- M2. adds áyicy, a perceptione saneta communionis. Dion. Ex.












## CANON III.
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[^96]tam Eucharistix participationem propter turn away from the participation of the aliquam insolentiam aversantur, eos ab holy Eucharist, through a certain perEcclesia expelli donec postquam confessi versity (lit. "a certain want of order"), fuerint, fructusque pœenitentiæ ostenderint, et imploraverint, veniam assequi possint. Non licere autem communicare cum excommunicatis, neque in domibus convenientes orare pro iis (rather cum iis) qui in Ecclesia non communicant, neque in alia Ecclesia recepi, qui in alia Ecclesia non conveniunt. Si quem autem ex Episcopis, vel Presbyteris, vel Diaconis, vel quis ex Canone, excommunicatis communicare apparuerit, eum quoque esse excommunicatum, ut qui Canonem Ecclesie confundat. these are cast out from the Church; until publicly confessing their offence, and manifesting the fruits of repentance, and making earnest entreaty, they may be able to find pardon. It is not lawful to communicate with excommunicate persons, nor, assembling in private houses, to pray with those who do not pray together in the Church, or that they who do not assemble together in one Church should be received in another. But if any Bishop, Priest, or Deacon, or any one that belongs to the Canon (lit. "any one of the Canon" or clergyroll), do appear to communicate (communicating) with the excommunicate, he also is exeommunicate, as confounding the Canon (i, e, rule, law order) of the Church.

## CANON III.

Si quis Presbyter, vel Diaconus, vel quis omnino ex sacerdotali ordine relicta sua parochia, in aliam abierit: deinde omnino commigrans, in alia parochia longo tempore versari conatur; ne amplius ministerium ullum obeat, maxime si proprio vocanti Episcopo, ut in suam parochiam redeat, exhortantique non pareat. Si autem in insolentia omnino perseverat, is omnino a sacro ministerio deponatur. Si autem eum, qui propter hanc causam depositus est, alius Episcopus receperit, ille quoque a communi Synodo puniatur, ut qui ecclesiastica statua dissolvat.

If any Priest, Deacon, or, in short, any of the sacerdotal order, leaving his own parish, go away into another, and at last wholly removing, endeavours to stay a long time in another parish, he must no longer minister, more particularly if he obey not his own Bishop, when he summons him, and exhorts him to retarn to his own parish. But if he even perseveres in his disorderly conduct (lit. "disorder") let him be entirely deprived of his ministry, so that he have no longer any room for restitution. But if another Bishop receive one deposed for such a cause, he also shall receive correction from a common Synod, as one who breaks the ecclesiastical laws.
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## CANON VI.
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## CANON IV.

Si quis Episcopus a Synodo depositus, vel Presbyter vel Diaconus a proprio Episcopo, aliquid de ministerio sacro contingere ausus fuerit, sive Episcopus secundum precedentem consuetudinem, sive Presbyter, sive Diaconus, nullam amplius spem restitutionis in alia Synodo, neque defensionis locum ei habere liceat. Sed et omnes, qui ei communicant, ex Ecclesia ejiciantur, et maxime si cum sententiam adversus pradictos prolatam didicerint, eis communicare ausi fuerint.

If any Bishop, being deposed by a Synod, or a Priest or Deacon by his own Bishop, shall dare to perform any part of their office, whether a Bishop, aecording to ancient custom, or Priest or Deacon, he may no longer entertain the hope of restitution even in another Synod, or have an opportunity (" room" or " ground") of making his defence. Besides, also, all who communicate with him are cast out of the Church, and particularly, if they dare to communicate with them, after learning the sentence which has been passed against the aforesaid persons.

## CANON V.

Si quis Presbyter, vel Diaconus, proprio contempto Episcopo, ab Ecclesia seipsum segregaverit, et privatim collegerit populum, et altare erexerit, et Episcopo acm cercente non obedierit, nec velit ei parere nec morem gerere primo et secundo vocanti, is omnino deponatur, et non amplius curationem assequi, nee suam possit honorem capere. Sin autem perseverat perturbare, et Ecclesiam evertere, is per externam potentiam ut seditiosus castigetur.

If any Priest or Deacon, despising his own Bishop, separate himself from the Church, and hold a private assembly (assemble in private), and set up an altar, and disregard (disobey) the Bishop calling upon him, and will not obey him, nor attend to him when he summons him the first and second time, he is finally deposed, and has no further any remedy, nor can he recover his dignity. But if he persist in disturbing and subverting the Church, let him be restrained as a seditious person by the civil (lit. "external") power.

## CANON VI.

Si quis a proprio Episcopo fuit excom-" If any one be excommunicated by his municatus, ne prius ab aliis suscipiatur, own Bishop, let him not be received by quam fuerit a proprio Episcopo susceptus, others (unless he be received by his own vel sancta Synodo accedens se defenderit, Bishop) until, a Synod being held, he
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## CANON VII.



## CANON VIII.
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## CANON IX.







[^100]et persuasa Synodo, aliam sententiam retulerit. Idem autem decretum adversus laïcos, et Presbyteros, et Diaconos, et eos qui sunt in Cleri catalogo, observetur.
shall have appeared and made his defence, and, having persuaded the Synod, has received another sentence. Let the same law prevail in the case of laymen, Priests, Deacons, and all that are enrolled in the CIergy.

## CANON VII.

Nullus externus sine pacificis suscipi- Let no strangers be received without atur. letters pacificatory.

## CANON VIII.

Nec Presbyteri, qui sunt in pagis, dent Canonicas epistolas, vel ad solos vicinos Episcopos epistolas emittant. Chorepiscopi autem nulli reprehensioni affines dent pacificas.

Let not the Priests that are in the country give Canonical epistles, or let them send letters to the neighbouring Bishops only; but let the chorepiscopi that are of blameless reputation give letters pacificatory.

## CANON IX.

Episcopos, qui sunt in unaquaque provincia, scire oportet Episcopum, qui præest metropoli, etiam curam suscipere totius provincie: eo quod in metropolim undequaque concurrunt omnes, qui habent negotia. Unde visum est, eum quoque honore præcedere: reliquos autem Episcopos nihil magni momenti aggredi sine ipso, ut vult qui ab initio obtinuit patrum Canon: vol

The Bishops in each province ought to recognize the Bishop presiding in the metropolis, and who takes upon him the care of the whole province; because all who have business assemble together from all quarters at the metropolis. Wherefore it is decreed that he have a precedency in honour, and that the rest of the Bishops do nothing of any moment (lit. "extraordinary," "un-
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## CANON X.
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[^102]sola, qua ad uniuscujusque parochiam usual") withouthim, except such things only confcrrunt, et regiones qui ei subsunt. as concern the parish of each and the counUnumquemque enim Episcopum habere try districts subject to it, according to the suæ parochice potestatem, et administrare ancient (or " more ancient;" see various pro unicuique conveniente religione, et readings) Canon which has been in force totius regionis curam gererc, que sua urbi subest, ut etian ordinent Presbyteros, et Diaconos, et unaquaque cum judicio tractent, et nihil ultra facere aggrediantur, sine metropolis Episcopo: neque ipse sine reliquorum sententia. from the time of our fathers. For every Bishop has power over his own parish, both to regulate it according to the piety which becomes each, and to make provision (to exercise forethought) for the whole country subject to his city; as also to ordain Priests and Deacons, and determine everything with judgment, but he must not attempt to do anything further without the Bishop of the metropolis, nor must he without the judgment of the others.

## CANON X.

Ii qui sunt in vicis, vel pagis, vel qui dicuntur chorepiscopi, etiam si Episcoporum manuum impositionem acceperint, visum est ut suum modum sciant, et sibi subjectas Ecclesias administrent, earumque cura, et solicitudine gerenda contenti sint: constituant autem lectores, hypodiaconos, et exorcistas, et eorum promotionem sufficere existiment, nec Presbyterum, nec Diaconum ordinare audeant, absque urbis Episcopo, cui subjicitur ipse et pagus. Si quis autem ea quæ definita sunt transgredi audeat, ipse quoque deponatur ab eo honore, quem habet; fiat autem chorepiscopus ab Episcopo civitatis, cui subjicitur.

It has seemed fit to the holy Synod, that the Bishops who are in villages and country districts, or those called chorepiscopi, even though they have received episeopal ordination (lit. "the imposition of Bishops' hands"), should know their own limits, and govern the Churches subject to them, and be content with the care and anxious concern for them; but let him appoint readers, sub-deacons, and exorcists, and be content with the promotion of these (i.e. with the power of promoting such), but not dare to ordain either Priest orDeacon without the permission of the Bishop of the city to which both he and the district are subjeet. But if any one shall dare to transgress what has now been determined he shail he deprived even of the honour which he has. A chorepiscopus is made by the Bishop of the city to which he is subject.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi \omega \nu$ makes strongly for the sense attached to the word $\gamma i v s \sigma \theta a t$, in the latter part of this Canon, by Johnson, whose words are given below.
${ }^{4}$ Conc. Ancy. Can. 13.
5 "And it is most probable that they (viz. the chorepiscopi) were ordained as other bishops, viz. by two at the least. For what could the Antiochian Fathers mean to mention it as a privilege" (but Bals. does not regard this as conveying any distinct privilege, but rather as a restraint on the chorepiseopi to prevent their throwing off the authority of the city bishop) " that village bishops were ordained by a bishop? Were not priests and even deacons orduined so too? Therefore when it is said that a village bishop is made hy the city bishop . . . the meaning must be that he was nominated or chosen by him.' Johnson. Many who assent to this conclusion may doubt the soundness of the reasoning. I would lay more stress on the word $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi \omega \nu$ above; if that reading be the true one, yipeôac in the latter part of the Canon must, I think, be understood of the nomination or election only.

## CANON XI.
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## CANON XI.

Si Episcopus, vel Presbyter, vel omnino Ecclesiasticæ regulæ subjectus, citra sententiam et literas Episcoporum provinciæ, et maxime metropolitanorum ad Imperatorem proficisci aggressus fuerit, is abdicetur, et ejiciatur, non solum a communione, sed etiam a dignitate cujus est particeps, ut qui religiosissimi Imperatoris nostri auribus molestam afferre audeat contra Ecclesiæ statuta: si autem necessarius usus exigat, ut ad Imperatorem se conferat, id agat cum deliberatione, et sententia metropolitani provinciæ, et eorum qui sunt in ea, eorumque litteris munitus iter invadat.

If any Bishop, or Priest, or, in short, any one who belongs to the Canon, shall attempt to go to the emperor without the consent and letters of the Bishops in the province, and especially of the metropolitan, he is excommunicated and ejected, not only from the communion, but also from the dignity of which he is a sharer, as presuming to trouble the ears of the (our) emperor, beloved of God, contrary to the law of the Church. But if necessary business require (call) him to go to the emperor, let him do so (this) with the deliberation and consent of the metropolitan of the province (" of the Bishop in the metropolis of the province") and the Bishops in it, and make provision for his journey by being supplied with their letters.

## CANON XII.

Si quis a proprio Episcopo depositus, Presbyter, vel Diaconus, vel Episcopus a Synodo, ausus fuit Imperatoris auribus molestiam exhibere, cum oporteat ad majorem Synodum converti, et jus quod se habere putat ad plures Episcopos referre, eorumque examinationem ct judicium suscipere: qui itaque his contemptis Imperatori molestus fuerit, is mulla venia dignus, neque sui defendendi locum habeat, nec restitutionis futura spem expectet.

If any Priest or Deacon, being deposed by his own Bishop, or even a Bishop by a Synod, do presume to trouble the emperor's ears when he ought to have recourse (turn himself) to a greater Synod, and allege whatever pleas he thinks he has before these more numerous Bishops, and abide by (accept) their examination and decision: but if, despising them, he trouble the emperor, he shall be regarded as unworthy of any pardon ("he shall be esteemed worthy not even of one pardon"), nor have any opportunity of making a defence, nor must he cherish (expect) the hope of restitution.

[^104]
## CANON XIII.
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GANON XV.
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## CANON XIII.

Nullus Episcopus audeat ab una provincia in aliam transire, et aliquos in Ecclesiis ordinare, ad sacrorum celebrationem, nec si alios unà secum inducat, nisi venerit literis accersitus metropolitani, et Episcoporum qui sunt cum ipso, in quorum regionem accedit. Si autem nullo vocante, ad aliquorum ordinationem et Ecclesiasticarum rerum ad se non pertinentium constitutionem inordinate insolenterque processerit, sint quidem irrita que ab ipso geruntur, ipse autem suæ insolentiæ, et præter rationem factæ aggressionis det penas, a sancta scilicet Synodo ex hoc tempore depositus.

Let no Bishop dare to go from one province into another, and ordain in the Church certain men to the honour of the ministry, not even if he bring others with him, unless he come invited by the letters of the metropolitan, and of the Bishops with him, into whose country he comes. But if, while nobody invites him, he goes forth in a disorderly manner for the ordination of certain persons and the regulation of ecclesiastical matters which belong not to him, the things that have been done by him indeed are null, and he also is to suffer the proper punishment for (of) his irregularity and unreasonable attempt, being deposed forthwith by the Synod.

## CANON XIV.

Si quis Episcopus ob aliqua crimina judicetur: deinde contingat Episcopos qui sunt in provincia, de ea dissentire, illis quidem eum de quo fertur sententia, innocentem pronunciantibus, his vero, reum: pro tota componenda controversia visum est sancta Synodo, ut metropolitanus Episcopus ex proquinqua provincia alios evocet judicaturos, controversiam decisuros, et cum provincialibus quod probatum fuerit cónfirmet.

If any Bishop be judged (tried) on certain charges, and it then happen that the Bishops in the province disagree concerning him, some pronouncing the accused (lit. "the person who is being judged") innocent, but others guilty; for the removal of all dispute the Synod decrees (it seems good to the Synod) that the Bishop of the metropolis call some other judges from the neighbouring province, and who are to end the dispute by confirming together with the Bishops of the province, that which has been proved.

## CANON XV.

Si quis Episcopus aliquorum criminum accusatus, fuerit ab ommibus provinciæ Episcopis condemnatus, et omnes unam consentientem adversus eum sententiam tulerint, is ne amplius ab aliis judicetur : sed provinciac Episcoporum firma maneat sententia.

If any Bishop, being accused on certain charges, be judged by all the Bishops in the province, and all pass one unanimous sentence (vote) against him, he shall not have his cause heard over again by others (lit. "he shall not any further be judged by others"), but the unanimous sentence of the Bishops of the province shall stand firm.

## CANON XVI. ${ }^{1}$
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## CANON XVI.

Si quis vacans Episcopus in vacantem Ecclesiam irrumpens, sedem arripuerit absque perfecta Synodo, is sit ejectus, etiam si omnis populus, quem invasit, eum elegerit. Sit autem illa perfecta. Synodus, cui unà quoque adest Metropolitanus.

If any Bishop without a See, throwing himself upon a vacant Church, usurp the throne without a full (perfect) Synod, he is ejected, even though the whole people on whom he has intruded choose bim: that is, a complete (perfect) Synod, at which the Metropolitan also is present.

## CANON XVII.

Si quis Episcopus, accepta ordinatione et manuum impositione Episcopi, et populo præesse jussus, ministerium non susceperit, nec, ut ad sibi concreditam Ecclesiam proficiscatur, persuaderi possit, is sit excommunicatus, donce coactus suscipiat, vel perfecta Synodus Episcoporum provincialium de ipso aliquid decernat.

If any one being ordained Bishop (lit. "If any Bishop receiving Episcopal ordination"), and being appointed to preside over a people, do not accept the charge (lit. "liturgy"), and will not be persuaded to go to the Church committed to him, he is excommunicated, until, being compelled, he accept it, or a full (perfect) Synod of the Bishops in the province may make some decision (decree something) concerning him.

## CANON XVIII.

Si quis ordinatus non ierit in parochiam ad quam est ordinatus, non sua quidem culpa, sed propter populi recusationem, vel aliquam causam, que a se non oritur, is sit et honoris et muneris particeps; dummodo nullam rebus Ecclesise, in qua convenit, molestiam afferat: is autem id suscipiat, quod perfecta provinciæ Synodus, eo quod ad se allatum est judicato, statuerit.

If any Bishop being ordained go not to the parish for which he was ordained, not through his own fault, but either through the refusal of the people to receive him, or for any other cause that arises not from himself, let him partake of the honour and office of a Bishop, only giving no disturbance to the affairs of the Church where he assembles; and he is to receive whatever the full (perfect) Synod of the province will determine when it gives sentence (lit. "judging") in the case.

## CANON XIX.

Episcopus ne ordinetur, absque Synodo, Let not a Bishop be ordained (or "electet præsentia Metropolitani provinciæ. Eo ed") without a Synod, and the presence autem presente, omnino melius est omnes of the Metropolitan of the province; but
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## CANON XX.
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[^107]unà cum eo adesse, qui sunt in provincia ejusdem muneris officiique socii, et oportet per epistolam Metropolitanum eos convocare, et si omnes quidem accesscrint, bene est: sin autem hoc fuerit difficile, plures omnino adesse oportet, vel per litteras unà cum illis suffragium ferre, et sic cum plurium sententia vel electione fieri constitutionem. Sin autem aliter prater hæe qua decreta sunt fiat, non valeat ordinatio. Sin autem ex prefinito Canone facta fuerit constitutio, aliqui autem propter suum contentionis studium contradicant, vincat plurium suffragium.
when he is present it is better by all means that all his fellow-ministers in the province be with him, and it is meet that the Metropolitan summon them by letter, and if all come so much better, but if this be dificult, the majority at least by all means ought to be present, or give their consent by letters, and so let the election be made with either the presencc, or the suffrage, of the majority. But if it be performed otherwise, contrary to the decree, let the elcetion be of no force; but if the election be conducted according to the prescribed Canon, while some, from their own love of contention, contradiet, let the vote of the majority prevail.

## CANON XX.

Propter usus Ecclesiasticos, et pro componendis controversiis, recte habere visum est, ut Synodi Episcoporum in unaquaque provincia fiant bis in anno: semel quidem post tertiam hebdomadam festi Paschæ, ut quarta hebdomada pentecostes perficiatur Synodus, Metropolitano provinciales Episcopos admonente, secundam Synodum idibus Octobris futuram, qui est decimus (quinto decimo. Dion. Ex.) Hyperberetæi, ut in his ipsis Synodis accedant Presbyteri, et Diaconi, et omnes qui se injuria affectos putant, et a Synodo judicium assequantur, nec ullis liceat Synodus per se facere, sine iis quibus sunt creditæ Metropoles.

For ecclesiastical causes, and the decision of controversies, it seems right that Synods of Bishops be held in every province twice each year, once after the third week after the feast of Easter, so that the Synod may end (or "be held") in the fourth week of Pentecost, the Metropolitan giving notice of the time to the provincials; but let the second Synod be held on the ides of October, which is the 15th of Hyperberetæus, so that Priests and Deacons, and all who suppose themselves wronged, may have recourse to these very Synods, and receive judgment from the Synod, but it is not lawful for some persons by themselves to hold Synods without those who are intrusted with the Metropolis.

## CANON XXI.

Episcopus ab alia parochia in aliam ne transeat, nec se sua sponte ingerens, nec a populis vi adactus, nec ab lipiscopis coactus, maneat autem in ea quam a Deo

Let not a Bishop remove from one parish to another, either transferring (casting) himself on his own suggestion, or being constrained by the people, or compelled
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## CANON XXIII.
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## CANON XXIV. ${ }^{3}$



[^109]sortitus est Ecclesia, et ab ea non recedat, secundum decretum prius de ea re editum.
by Bishops, but let him remain in the Church for which he was originally chosen by God, and not remove from it, according to the decree before (" already before") published concerning this matter.

## CANON XXII.

Episcopus alienam civitaten, quæ ei subjecta non est, non adeat, nec in regionem qua ad eum non pertinet, ad alicujus ordinationem, nec Presbyterum, vel Diaconum constituat in locis alii Episcopo subjectis, nisi cum voluntate proprii illius regionis Episcopi. Si quis autem tale quid ausus fuerit, irrita sit ordinatio, et ipse a Synodo puniatur.

Let not a Bishop go to a strange city which is not subject to hims, nor to a country which does not belong to him, for the ordination of any one, or to constitute Priests or Deacons for places subject to another Bishop, unless indeed with the consent of the proper Bishop of the place; but if any shall dare to do such a thing, the ordination is null, and he shall receive correction from a Synod.

## CANON XXIIL.

Ne liceat Episcopo alium pro se successorem constituere, etiam si sit in fine vitæ. Si quid autem fiat ejusmodi, irrita sit constitutio, servetur autern statutum Ecclesiasticum, qui continet non aliter debere fieri, quarn cum Synodo et judicio Episcoporam, qui post defuneti dormitionem potestatem habent dignum provehendi.

It is not lawful for a Bishop to appoint another in his place (instead of him) as his suecessor though he be at the point of death ("at the end of life"), but if any such thing be done the appointment is null; but let the ecclesiastical constitution be observed which enjoins that a Bishop be not made otherwise than by (with) a Synod, and the judgment of the Bishops who have the power of promoting a (the) worthy man after the death of the departed (lit. "after the sleep of him who has ceased from his labours").

## CANON XXIV.

Recte habet ut ea quæ sunt Ecclesiæ, It is right that the property (things) of
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## CANON XXV.
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[^111]Ecelesiæ serventur cum omni bona conscientia, et fide in omnium præsidem, et judicen Deum, qua etiam administrari convenit cum judicio, et potestate Episcopi, cui est omnis populus creditus, et eorum animæ quæ in Ecclesiam conveniunt. Sint autem manifesta, quæ ad Ecclesiam pertinent cum cognitione Presbyterorum, et Diaconorum qui sunt circa eum, ut sciant et non ignorent, qua sunt propria Ecclesie: ut nihil ipsos lateat, ut si contingat Episcopum e vita migrare, is manifestis existentibus, quæ ad Ecelcsiam pertinent, ne ea intercidant, et pereant, nec qua sunt propria Episcopi, pretextu rerum Ecclesiasticarum vexentur: est enim justum, et apud Dcum, et homines acceptum, ut Episcopus propria quibus velit, relinquat. Quæ sunt autem Ecclesiæ, ipsi serventur, et nee Ecclesia damnum aliquod sustineat, nee Episcopus Ecclesia pretextu proscribatur, vel qui ad eum attinent, in negotia incidant, simulque post mortem ipse maledictionibus oneretur.
the Church ought to be preserved for the Church with all care and good conscience and faith towards God, the inspector and judge of all, which ought also to be administered with the judgment and authority of the Bishop, to whom all the people are intrusted, and the souls of those who assemble in his Church; but let what belongs to the Church be manifest, with the knowledge of the Priests and Deacons about him, so that they may know and not be ignorant what things belong to the Church, so that nothing be concealed from them, in order that, if it happen that the Bishop depart this life, the things that belong to the Church, being manifest, may neither be embezzled ("slip away") and lost, nor the private property of the Bishop involved in confusion under pretence of their boing ceclesiastical property: for it is just and acceptable, both before God and men, that the private property of the Bishop may be left to whomsoever he himself chooses, and the property of the Church preserved to her, and that neither the Church endure loss, nor the Bishop's property be confiscated on pretence of the Church, or that his relations fall even into law-suits, whereby he also after death is laden (surrounded) with calumny.

## CANON XXV.

Episcopus habeat rerum Ecclesiæ potestatem, ut eas in omnes egentes dispenset, cum multa cautione, et Dei timore: ipse autem eorum que sunt opus sit particeps ad usus necessarios, et fratrum, qui apud eum hospitio excipiuntur, ut ipsi nullo modo priventur, secundum divinum Apostolum qui dicit, Habentes autem alimenta, et quibus tegamur, iis contenti erimus. Sin autem iis non sit contentus, et res in proprios usus convertat, ct Eeclesia reditus, vel agrorum fructus non cum Presbyterorum, vel Diaconorum -sententia ad-

Let the Bishop have power over the property of the Church so as to distribute it to all that are in want, with all caution and the fear of God. But let himself take what he requires (if indeed he requires) for his own necessary use, and for the brethren that are hospitably entertained by him, that they be not deficient in any respect, according to the divine Apostle who says, Ilaving food and raiment, with these we shall be content. But if he be not content with these, but convert the Church revenue to his own use, and do not
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 бvvódov.

[^113]ministret, sed suis cognatis, vel fratribus vel filiis præbeat facultates, ut per hæc rationes Ecclesiæ latenter lædantur, is det Synodo provinciæ rationem. Sin autem etiam alias insimuletur Episcopus, vel qui sunt cum eo Presbyteri, quod que pertinent ad Ecclesiam, vel ex agris, vel ex quacunque alia causa Ecclesiastica, ad seipsos referre, ut pauperes quidem opprimantur, invidia vero ac infamia verbo inuratur, et iis qui sic administrant, ii quoque correctionem assequantur, sancta Synodo id quod decet examinante.
manage the income of the Church or rent (fruits) of the farms with the consent of the Priests or the Deacons, but give license (the power) to his own domestics and relations, or brethren or sons, so that by such men the accounts (or "revenues") of the Church are privately damaged, he shall gixe account to the Synod of the province. But if, on the other side, the Bishop or the Priests that are with him be defamed, as carrying off for themselves what belongs to the Church, whether from farms, or even any other ecclesiastical means, so that the poor indeed are oppressed, but calumny and reproach are cast both on the account (or "revenue," but according to others "the Word," see note ${ }^{3}$ ) and those who so administer it, let them also be subject to correction, a holy Synod determining what is proper.
${ }^{3}$ Dion. Exig. thus translates this passage : ita ut ex hac affligantur quidem pauperes, criminationi vero et blasphemiis tam sermo pradicationis, quam hi qui dispensant, \&c. He does not seem to have found oúr in his copy, bat retaining that word, $\lambda \delta \gamma \varphi$ can hardly bear any other meaning than that which must be given to it in the earlier part of the Canon, viz. "account," or the manner in which the revenue of the Church is administered, Zonaras says, ... rò
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## CANON II.
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## CANONES CONCILII LAODICENI.

## CANON I

Oportere ex Eeclesiastico Canone eos, qui libere, et legitime secundo matrimonio conjuncti sunt, et non clam uxores duxerunt, cum exiguum tempus praterierit, et orationibus et jejuniis vacaverint, eis ex venia dari communionem (definimus).

It is fit according to the Ecolesiastical Canon that they who have been freely and lawfully united in second marriages, not having previously contracted a private marriage, after the lapse of a short time, when they have devoted themselves to prayers and fastings, should, by indulgence, be allowed the communion.

## CANON II.

Eis qui in diversis delictis peccant, et in oratione confessionis et ponitentie fortiter perseverant, et se a malis perfecte convertunt, tempore pœenitention eis pro delicti proportione dato, propter Dei miserationes, et bonitatem, oportet communionem concedi.

Those who have transgressed by various offences, if they (lit. "and ") continue in public penitential prayer (lit. "in the prayer of confession and repentance") and evince (effect) a thorough conversion from their sins, ought (iit. "such ought ") to be brought to the communion through the mercies and the goodness of God, after a time of penance has been allotted to them, according to the quality (lit. "proportion ") of their offence.

## CANON III.

Non oportere eos qui sunt recens illuminati, ad ordinem sacerdotalem promoveri.

It is not fit that those lately baptized (enlightened) should be promoted to the sacerdotal order.

[^115]
## CANON IV.




## CANON V.

 $\gamma^{\prime \prime} \nu \in \sigma \theta a i$.

## CANON VI.




## CANON VII.
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## CANON IV.

Non oportere hominem sacratum fonerari, et usuras, et quæ dicuntur sesquialteras accipere.

It is not right that persons belonging to the priesthood should act the usurer, and take interest, and that which is called hemiolix (i.e. a sum equal to half the principal as interest).

## CANON V.

Non oportere ordinationes fieri in prosentia eorura qui audiunt.

Elections ought not to be conducted in the presence of hearers.

## CANON VI.

Non permittere hæreticis, ut in domum Domini ingrediantur, si in hæresi permaneant.

Hereties must not be permitted to enter into the house of God if they continue (continuing) in their heresy.

## CANON VII.

Eos qui ex hæresibus, hoe est, Novatianis, sive Photinianis, vel Tessaradecatitis convertuntur (sive catechumenos), sive qui apud illos fideles dicuntur, non admitti priusquam omnem hæresim anathematizaverint, et precipuè eam in qua detinebantur, et tunc deinceps eos qui apud illos fideles dicebantur, fidei symbola discentes, et sancto chrismate inunctos, sic sanctis mysteriis communicare.

That they who are converted from the heresies, that is, Novatians or Photinians, or Quartodecimans (either catechumens, see var. read.) or those called communicants among them, be not received, before they anathematize every heresy, but especially that wherein they were held, and then afterwards they who are called communicants (faithful) among them, after having perfectly learned the symbols of the faith, and being anointed with the holy chrism, may thus communicate in the holy mysteries.
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## CANON VIII.
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## CANON IX.
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## CANON X.




## CANON XI.
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## CANON VIII.

Eos qui ab eorum hæresi, qui Phryges dicuntur, convertuntur, sive sint in clero, qui apud illos existimatur, sive maximi dicantur, eos cum omni studio catechizari seu initiari, et baptizari ab Ecclesiæ Episcopis et Presbyteris.

They who turn from the heresy of those called Phrygians, even though they are reputed clergymen among them, and named chiefs, are ("such" are, lit.) to be catechised with all care, and baptized by the Bishops and Priests of the Church.

## CANON IX.

Non concedendum esse, ut in ccemeteria, vel in ea qua dicuntur martyria quorumvis hæreticorum, abeant ii qui sunt Ecclesiæ, orationis, vel venerationis gratia, sed tales, si sint fideles, esse aliquantisper excommunicatos: sin autem poenitentia ducantur, et se deliquisse confiteantur, suscipi.

It is not allowed that members of the Church should go to the cemeteries, or to those called the martyria of any (all) peretics, for the purpose of prayer, or of being healed (see note ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ), but such as do so, if they are communicants, are suspended from the commumion for a certain time, but if they repent (lit. " but repenting," \&c.) and confess that they have erred, they are to be received.

## CANON X.

Non oportere eos qui sunt Ecclesiæ, indiscriminatim suas filios hæreticis matrimonio conjungere.

It is not fit that members of the Church should promiscuously unite their children in marriage with heretics.

## CANON XI.

Non oportere eas quæ dicuntur presbyteræ, sive præsidentes, in Eeclesia constitui.

That those who are called elderly widows, or presidents, are nat to be appointed (or "ordained ") in the Church.
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## CANON XII.





$\qquad$

## CANON XIII.




## CANON XIV.

 Пá $\sigma \chi a$ єis є́ є́́ $\rho a s$ тароькías $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l .{ }^{3}$

## CANON XV.





## CANON XVI.

 $\gamma \iota \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.
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## CANON XII.

Ut Episcopi, Metropolitanorum, et eorum qui sunt circumeirca Episcoporum judicio, in ecclesiastico magistratu constituantur, diu examinati, et in verbo fidei, et in recti verbi despensatione.

That the Bishops, who are Iong approved both in the word of faith and the dispensation of the right doctrine (word) are to be constituted to (for) the ecclesiastical government by the judgment of the Metropolitans and of the neighbouring Bishops.

## CANON XIII.

Turbis non esse permittendum, eorum qui sunt in altaris ministerio applicandi, electionem facere.

That the multitude be not permitted to elect (to make the elections) those who are about to be ordained to the priesthood.

## CANON XIV.

Ne sancta, instar benedictionum, in festo Paschæ in alias parochias transmittantur.

That the holy mysteries be not sent into other parishes (i. e. Dioceses) on the feast of Easter by way of eulogies.

## CANON XV.

Non oportere, preter canomicos cantores, qui suggestum ascendunt, et ex membrana legunt, aliquos alios canere in Ecclesia.

It is not fit that any others should sing in the Church except (lit. "more than," \&c.) the canonical singers, who go up into the reading-desk, and sing from book (iit. "the parchments").

## CANON XVI.

Ut Evangelia cum aliis Scripturis Sab- That the Gospels, with the other Scripbato legantur. tures, ought to be read on the Sabbath (i. e. on Saturday).
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## CANON XVII.




## CANON XVIII.




## CANON XIX.
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## CANON XVII.

Non oportere Psalmos in conventibus contexere, sed in unoquoque Psalmo interjecto spatio, lectionem fieri.

That the Psalms must not be sung one immediately after the other in the assemblies, but a lesson be read after (between) each Psalm.

## CANON XVIII.

Idem precum ministerium omnino debere fieri in nonis, et vesperis.

That the same liturgy of prayers (i. e. the same liturgical service) ought to be used always both in the morning and evening.

## CANON XIX.

Oportere, scorsum primum, post Episcoporum sermones, catechumenorum orationem peragi: et postquam exierint catechumeni, eorum qui pœnitentiam agunt fieri orationem: et cum ii sub manum accesserint, et secesserint, fidelium preces sic ter fieri. Unam quidem scilicet primam silentio: secundam autem, et tertiam per pronuntiationem impleri : deinde sic pacem dari (et posteaquam dederit episcopus presbyteris osculum pacis, tune laici sibi tribuent. Dion. Exig.), et sic sanctam oblationem perfici: et solis licere sacratis ad altare accedere, et communicare.

It is fit that after the address of the Bishops the prayer of the catechumens also be first separately offered up, and after the catechumens have departed, the prayer of those under penance, and when these have come under the hand of the Bishop, and have retired, that then the three prayers of the faithful be offered up (be made); the first indeed (lit. "one indeed, the first " $>$ in silence, but the second and third by the proclamation (or direction) of the Deacon, then the kiss of peace shall be given, and after the priests give the salutation to the bishop, that then the laymen give the salutation, and then the holy oblation shall be celebrated : and those who are in the priesthood alone are allowed to approach to the altar and there communicate.
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## CANON XX.



 $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu$.

## CANON XXI.



$\mathrm{M}^{2}$. et Dominica wasa contingere. Dion. Ex.

## CANON XXII.

 $\lambda \iota \mu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$.

## CANON XXIII.

 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \not \subset \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \stackrel{*}{\eta} \psi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$.

## CANON XXIV.

- iepatinò. $\mathrm{M}^{2}$.
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## CANON XX.

Quod non oportet Diaconum ante Presbyteros sedere, sed sedere cum jussu Presbyteri. Similiter autem etiam haberi honorem Diaconis a ministris, et omnibus clericis oportet.

A Deacon ought not to sit in presence of a Priest, without the invitation of the Priest (lit. "but to sit with the invitation of the Priest"). But in like manner the Deacons also have respect from the ministers (or subdeacons) and all the clerics (or inferior ministers).

## CANON XXI.

Quod non oportet ministros locum ha- It is not fit that ministers (i. e. subbere in diaconico, et sacra vasa tangere. deacons) have place in the Deacons' apartment, and touch the sacred vessels.

## CANON XXII.

Quod non oportet ministrum ferre ora- That the minister ought not to wear an rium : neque fores relinquere. orarium, or leave the doors.

## CANON XXIII.

Quod non oportet lectores vel cantores ferre orarium, et sic legere vel canere.

That readers or singers ought not to wear the orarium, and so to read or sing.

## CANON XXIV.

Quod non oportet sacratum a Pres bytero usque ad Diaconum, et deinceps quemlibet ecelesiastici ordinis usque ad ministros, vel lectores, vel cantores, vel exorcistas, vel hostiarios vel exercitatorum ordinis, in cauponam ingredi.

That none who belong to the priesthood, from the Priest to the Deacon, and so on through the ecclesiastical order, to ministers, readers, singers, exorcists, door-keepers, or of the rank of ascetics, ought to enter a public inn.
by deacons on the left shoulder (4th Conc. Tolet. Can. 39; Caranza, Sum. page 394, Par. 1668), and by priests on both shoulders (Conc. Brac. 3, Can. 3). See Bingh. B. xiii. ch. viii. sec. 2. Balsamon derives the word thus, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}$ тoú óp $\tilde{\omega}$, which Justellus properiy declares to be false. See his note.
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CANON XXV.


## CANON XXVI.




## CANON XXVII.




## 

## CANON XXVIII.
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## CANON XXIX.
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## CANON XXV.

Quod non oportet ministrum panem dare, vel calicem benedicere.

That a minister (i. e. subdeacon) ought not to give the bread or bless the cup.

## CANON XXVI.

Quod eos adjurare non oportet, qui ab That they who are not promoted to that Episcopis promoti non sunt, neque in Ec- office by the Bishop must not exorcise, clesiis neque in ædibus. either in Churches or in private houses.

## CANON XXVII.

Quod non oportet eos, qui sacrati sunt ordinis, vel clericos, vel laïcos, ad agapas yocatos, partes tollere, eo quod ignominia inuratur ordini sacerdotali.

They who belong to the priesthood, or clerics, or laymen, must not, when invited to a love-feast, carry away a portion, because by this means reproach is cast on the ecclesinstical order.

## CANON XXVIII.

Quod non oportet in locis dominicis, vel It is not fit to celebrate what are called in Ecclesiis, eas qui dicuntur agapas facere, et in domo (Dei) comedere, et accubitus sternere.
love-feasts in the Churches, or (and) to eat, and spread couches (i. e. for reclining on) in the house of God.

## CANON XXIX.

Quod non oportet Christanos judaizare, et in Sabbato ociari, sed ipsos co die operari, diem autem dominicum præferentes, ociari, si modo possint, ut Christianos. Quod si inventi fuerint judaizantes, sint anathema apud Christum.

That Christians must not judaize and rest on the Sabbath (i.e. Saturday), but work on that day, whereas, preferring the Lord's day, they ought to rest on it if they can as Christiuns. But if they are found to judaize let them be anathema from Christ.
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## CANON XXX. ${ }^{1}$



 M2.

## CANON XXXI.

## c тávta aiрєтгкод, $\mathrm{M}^{2}$.


 $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota a \nu o i ̀ \gamma i ́ v \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.

## CANON XXXII.

 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v \hat{\eta} \epsilon \hat{v} \lambda o \gamma i ́ a l$.

## CANON XXXIII.

$$
\text { Orı oủ } \delta \in \hat{i} a i \rho \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\imath}, \hat{\eta} \sigma \chi \iota \sigma \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\varphi} \hat{\imath} \sigma v \nu \epsilon u ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta a .^{b}
$$

## CANON XXXIV.



## daipetiкoús.

 M.

 Хо́ $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o u ́ s . ~$
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## CANON XXX.

Quod non oportet eum qui est sacratus, vel clericus, vel exercitator, in balneo cum mulieribus lavari, neque omnem penitus Christianum, vel laicum. Hac est enim prima apud gentes condemnatio.

That none of the priestly or clerical order, or an ascetic or any Christian whatever, or layman, must wash in a bath with women, for this is a great (prime) disgrace among the heathen.

## CANON XXXI.

Quod non oportet cum omni heretico matrimonium contrabere, vel dare filios aut filias, sed potius accipere, si se Christianos futaros profiteantur.

That we ought not to contract marriage alliances (lit. " to make marriages") with any heretic, or give our sons or daughters, but rather to take of them, if at least they promise to become Christians.

## CANON XXXII.

Quod non oportet hæreticorum benedictiones accipere, que sunt potius maledictiones quam benedictiones.

That we ought not to accept the eulogies of heretics, which are senseless things, rather eulogies (i. e. than benedictions).

## CANON XXXIII.

Quod non oportet unà cum hæretico That it is not right to pray with a vel schismatico orare. heretic or schismatic.

## CANON XXXIV.

Quod non oportet omnem Christianum Christi martyres relinquere, et ad falsos martyres, hoc est hæreticorum, abire, yel eos qui prius hæretici fuere. Hi enim sunt a Deo alieni: sint ergo anathema, qui ad eos abeunt.

It becomes not any Christian whatever to leave the martyrs of Christ and go to the false martyrs, that is, of heretics, or to those who before were heretics, for these are far from (strangers) God. Let those, therefore, who go away to them be anathema.
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## CANON XXXV
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## CANON XXXVI.






## CANON XXXVII.
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## CANON XXXVIII.

 $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i ́ a \iota s ~ a \grave{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
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## CANON XXXV.

Quod non oportet Christianos relicta Dei Ecclesia, abire, et angelos nominare, vel congregationes facere, quod est prohibitum. Si quis ergo inventus fuerit huic occulte idololatrie vacare, sit anathema: quia reliquit Dominum nostrum Jesum Christum (Filium Dei) et accessit ad idololatriam.

That Christians must not leave the Church of God and go and name (or invocate) angels, or hold assemblies, which is forbidden. If any one therefore be found spending his time in this secret idolatry, let him be anathema, because he hath left our Lord Jesus Christ the Son of God, and has gone over to idolatry.

## CANON XXXYI.

Quod non oportet eos qui sunt sacrati, vel Clerici, esse magos, vel incantatores, rel mathematicos, vel astrologos, vel facere ea quæ dicuntur amuleta, que quidem sunt ipsarum animarum vincula: eos autem qui ferunt, ejici ex Ecclesia jussimus.

That they of the Priesthood or Clergy must not be magicians, enchanters, mathematicians, or astrologers ${ }_{2}$ or make what are called amulets, which are the snares (prisons) of their own souls: but those that wear them we order to be cast out of the Church.

## CANON XXXVII.

Quod non oportet, quæ a Judæis vel hæreticis mittuntur festiva, accipere, neque unà cum eis festum agere.

That we ought not to receive festive presents sent by Jews or heretics, or to feast with them.

## CANON XXXVIII.

Quod non oportet a Judæis azyma accipere, vel eorum impietatibus communicare.

That we ought not to receive unleavened bread from the Jews, or to partake of their impieties.
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## CANON XXXIX.

 à̀т $\omega \nu$.

## CANON XL.






CANON XLI. (Can. xlii. M ${ }^{1 .{ }^{2} \text { ) }}$



CANON XLII. (Can. xli. M ${ }^{1}{ }^{2}$.)
 ó $\delta \in \cup ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$.

## CANON XLIII.

 $\beta \rho a \chi \dot{\omega} . M^{1}{ }^{2}$.
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## CANON XXXIX.

Quod non oportet cum gentibus festum agere, et eprum impietati communicare.

That wo ought not to feast with the heathens, and communicate in their ungodliness.

## CANON XL.

Quod non oportet Episcopos, qui vocantur ad Synodum, negligere, sed abire, et docere vel doceri, ad correctionem Ecclesiæ, et reliquorum. Si quis autem neglexerit, is seipsum accusabit, preterquam si propter agritudinem non veniat.

That Bishops being called to a Synod must not be guilty of contempt, but set out, and teach or be taught for the reformation of the Church and of others. But if such a one be guilty of contempt, he shall condemn himself, unless he be detained by bodily infirmity.

## CANON XLI.

Quod non oportet eum qui est Sacratus, vel Clericus, sine literis canonicis iter in- to travel without canonical letters. gredi.

## CANON XLII.

Quod non oportet eum qui est Sacratus, vel Clericus, sine jussu Episcopi, iter in- to travel without the Bishop's permission. gredi,

## CANON XLIII.

Quod non oportet ministros vel brevi tempore fores relinquere, et orationi vacare.

That ministers (subdeacons) must not leave the doors even for a short time, and devote themselves to prayer.

[^132]
## CANON XLIV.

 үирaíкas èv.
$\mathbf{M}^{1}$.

## CANON XLV.




## CANON XLVI.


 $\beta u \tau \epsilon \in \rho o t s$.

## CANON XLVII.


${ }^{{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \downarrow \sigma \mu a}{ }_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{2}$. $\mathrm{Mi}^{2}$.
com. in $\mathrm{M}^{2}$.
 $\delta \omega \rho \in a ̂ s ~ к а \tau \eta \xi t \omega \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$.
${ }^{1}$ The 69th Can. of Conc. in Trullo forbids laymen in general from entering the sacred enclosure around the altar, but makes, "on the ground of most ancient tradition," an exception in


 $\pi \alpha \rho a \dot{\delta} \sigma \iota \nu$. The emperors, however, left their guards behind them, and put off the special ensigns of their dignity on such occasions. Theod. Jun. (as quoted by Bp Bev.) says, . . "When about to enter into the temple of God we leave our arms outside, and lay aside even the diadem itself, the peculiar ornament of royal majesty." After having made their offering (he says) . . ad extimum communeque atrium mox nos recepimus. See also Theod. Ecc. H., v. 17.
${ }^{2}$ In the Synopsis of the Canons, on which Aristenus has commented, the reason of this is
 $\nu \eta \sigma r \epsilon v \in t \nu$. Catechumens, who were to be admitted to holy baptism on the following Easter, were expected to fast all through Lent, and attend a special course of instruction. They who did not enter on this special course of training and preparation until after the second week of Lent, were not, according to the present Canon, to be admitted to baptism on the great Sabbath

## CANON XLIV.

Quod non oportet mulieres ad altare That women must not approach to the ingredi. altar.

## CANON XLV.

Quod non oportet post duas hebdomadas That after the second week of Lent quadragesimæ ad illuminationem admitti, none ought to be admitted to baptism.

## CANON XLVI.

Quod oportet eos qui illuminantur, fidem discere, et quinta hebdomadis feria Episcopo renunciare, vel Presbyteris.

That they who are to be enlightened (baptized) ought perfectly to learn the creed, and repeat it to the Bishop or Priests on the fifth day of the great week (i.e. on Maundy-Thursday).

## CANON XLVII.

Quod oportet eos qui in morbo baptismum accipiunt, deinde resurgunt, fidem ediscere, et nosse quod divini muneris participes factisunt.

That they who receive baptism in sickness, and afterwards recover, must learn the creed perfectly, and acknowledge (know) that they have been made partakers of the divine gift.
(i. e. on the Saturday before Easter Sunday), for the prohibition must be so restrained. Zonaras and Bals. say, "For the words, 'must not be admitted,' must not be understood without limitation, but only with refercnce to the great Sabbath."
${ }^{3}$ Bing. Antiq., B. x. ch. ii. sec. 10. The Council of Agde (Agathensis), Can. 9, orders that the competentes (or candidates for baptism) be publicly taught the creed in the church on one day, viz. the ootave of Easter. Symbolum etiam placuit ab omnibus eaclesiis, una die, id est, ante octo dies dominicæ resurrectionis, publice in ecclesia competentibus predicari. The candidates for baptism then recited the creed, immediately before the rite was performed. Speaking of the Roman Church Rufirus says, . . . et mos ibi servatur antiquus, eos qui gratiam baptismi suscepturi sunt, publice, id est, fidelium populo audienti, symbolum reddere, \&c. Expos. in Symbol. Apostol, ad Calc. Op. Cyprian. Oxtord, 1682.
${ }^{4}$ Clinic baptism was considered as a bar to ordination. Conc. Neoces. Can. 12. They who received clinic.baptism were brought to the bishop for imposition of hands, in order to the completion of sueh baptism. The 38th Can. of Cone. of Elib. allows a layman, not a bigamist, to administer baptism in case of necessity.

## CANON XLVIII.

${ }^{2}$ toú $\operatorname{Beovi}$. $\mathbf{M}^{2}$. et regai Christi participes inveniri. Dion. Ex.

 $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau<\hat{v}$.

## CANON XLIX.




## CANON L.





## CANON LI.


M. ${ }^{2}$.


 кขриакаîs.
${ }^{1}$ See Bing. Antiq., B. xi. ch. ix., where the chrism is distinguished from the unction, the latter was used before the person was baptized, the former after, as in the Canon. Bals, gives the following reason for its use. It was in imitation of the anointing of Christ by the woman. "For since baptism, as I have often said, is a type or representation of the sepulture and resurrection of Christ our God, they whe are enlightened are properly anointed with unction at the time of their baptism, that they may be buried, and rise again with Christ."
${ }^{2}$ Allusion seems to be made here to the custom of consecrating the elements during Lent, only on the Sabbath and Lord's day (to which the day of the Annunciation, $\boldsymbol{r} \tilde{\eta} \mathrm{C}$ a yias $\tau 0 \vec{v}$ E $\dot{u} a \gamma^{\varepsilon} \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \rho \tilde{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a \varsigma$, was added by Council in Trullo, Can. 52 ), and reserving a portion to be used, as occasion required, during the intervening days. See Bing. Antiq., B. xv. ch. iv.
${ }^{3}$ Dion. Exig. translates, Quod non oporteat in Quadragesima panem bonedictionis offerri in Sabbato et Dominico. Patrol., vol. lxvii. col. 169. This changes the sense entirely.

## CANON XLVIII.

Quod oportet eas qui illuminantur post baptisma inungi coelesti chrismate, et esse regni Christi participes.

That they who are baptized must, after baptism, be anointed with heavenly chrism and be made partakers of the kingdom of Christ.

## CANON XLIX.

Quod non oportet in Quadragesima That the bread ought not be offered in panem offerre nisi Sabbato, et solis Do- Lent, save on the Sabbath and the Lord's minicis (Dominica tantum). day alone.

## CANON L.

Quod non oportet in Quadragesima postrema septimana (quintæ feriæ) jejunium solvere, et totam Quadragesimam injuria afficere: sed oportet totam Quadragesimam jejunare aridis vescentes.

That the fast must not be broken on Maundy-Thursday (lit. "the fifth day in the last week of Lent") and so to dishonour the whole Lent, but it is right to fast the whole Lent, eating only dry food.

## CANON LI.

Quod non oportet in Quadragesima martyrum natales peragere, sed sanctorum martyrum facere commemorationes in Sabbatis, et Dominicis.

It is not fit to celebrate the nativities of martyrs in Lent, but to make commemorations of the holy martyrs on the Sabbaths and Lord's days.
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## CANON LII.



## CANON LIII.


 prandere. Dion. Exig. tajois.

## CANON LIV.





## CANON LV.




## CANON LVI.





## CANON LVII.
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## CANON LII.

Quod non oportet in Quadragesima nuptias vel natalitia celebrare.

That marriages or birthdays ought not to be celebrated in Lent.

## CANON LIII.

Quod non oportet Christianos ad nuptias venientes ballare vel saltare, sed modeste ccenare, vel prandere, ut decet Christianos.

That Christians who go to weddings ought not to use wanton or theatrical dances (note ${ }^{1}$ ), but to dine or sup as becomes Christians.

## CANON LIV.

Quod non oportet Sacratos, vel Clericos, in nuptiis vel conviviis aliqua spectacula contemplari : sed priusquam ingrediantur thymelici, surgere et secedere.

That they of the Priesthood or Clergy ought not to witness certain spectacles at weddings or feasts, but before the actors enter, to rise up and retreat.

## CANON LV.

Quod non oportet Sacratos, vel Clericos, ex collatione convivia peragere, sed neque laicos.

That they of the Priesthood or the Clergy, or even laymen, ought not to make feasts by collation (or contribution).

## CANON LVI.

Quod non oportet Presbyteros ante Episcopi introitum ingredi et sedere in sacrario: sed cum Episcopo ingredi : præterquam si Episcopus sit mala valetudine, vel profectus sit peregre.

That Priests ought not to enter and sit in the bema before the entrance of the Bishop, but to enter with the Bishop, unless the Bishop be sick or from home.

## CANON LVII.

Quod non oportet in vicis, et pagis That Bishops be not appointed in villages

[^135]

 то̂̂ ह̇ாเซкótov.

## CANON LVIII.

 $\vec{\eta} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$.

## CANON LIX.


 $\delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ s.

## CANON LX. ${ }^{4}$








[^136]Episcopos constitui, sed periodeutas (hoc est circumcursatores) : eos autem qui prius constitui fuerunt, nihil agere sine mente Episcopi qui est in civitate. Similiter autem et Presbyteros nihil agere sine mente Episcopi.
and country districts, but periodeutæ : they, howcver, who have been already ordained must do nothing without the consent of the Bishop in the city. But in like manner the Priests must do nothing without the consent of the Bishop.

## CANON LVIIL.

Quod non oportet in domibus fieri oblationem ab Episcopis, vel Presbyteris.

That the oblation ought not be made in private houses by Bishops or Priests.

## CANON LIX.

Quod non oportet privatos et vulgares aliquos Psalmos dici in Ecclesia, nee libros non canonicos, sed solos canonicos Veteris et Novi Testamenti.

That psalms composed by private men (lit. " private psalms") ought not to be read in the Church, nor uncanonical books, but only the canonical books of the New and Old Testament (or Covenant).

## CANON LX.

Hæc sunt quæ legi oportet ex Veteri Testamento. Genesis, Exodus, id est exitus ex Agypto, Leviticum, Numeri, Deuteronomium, Jesu Nave, Judices, Ruth, Hester, Regum primus, secundus, tertius, et quartus, Paralipomenon primus et secundus, Esdræ primuset secundus, Liber Psalmorum 150, Proverbia Solomonis, Ecclesiastes, Cantica Canticorum, Job, duodecim Prophetæ, Esaias, Hieremias, Baruch, Lamentationes et Epistola, Ezechiel, Daniel.

The following are the books of the Old Testament which must be read: Genesis (lit. "Genesis of the world"), Exodus (the Exodus from Egypt), Leviticus, Numbers, Deuteronomy; Jesus the son of Nave (Joshuah), Judges, Ruth, Esther; of the Kings, the First, Second, Third, and Fourth (i.e. two of Samuel, two of Kings) ; Paralipomena (Chronicles), First and Second, The Book of 150 Psalms, Proverbs of Solomon, Ecclesiastes, The

[^137]- $\Delta \omega 0$ Ďкん тро́фทтоу. $\mathrm{M}^{2}$.

 e weas. P.Z.



 Kopıvíovs $\delta v o, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Gamma a \lambda a ́ t a s ~ \mu i ́ a, ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ’ E ф \epsilon \sigma l o v s ~ \mu i ́ a, ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$

 Фı入 ${ }^{\prime} \mu о \nu a \mu\left(a{ }^{2}\right.$

[^138]Novi autem Testamenti hæc: Evangelia Song of Songs, Job, The Twelve Prophets, quatuor, secundum Mattheum, secundum Isaiah, Jeremiah and Baruch, LamentaMarcum, secundum Lucam, secundum Jo- tions and the Epistle, Ezekiel, Daniel. annem, Actus Apostolorum, Epistolæ Ca- But of the New Testament the following: tholicæ (septem), Jacobi una, Petri duæ, Four Gospels, according to Matthew, Mark, Joannis tres (Judæ una). Epistolæ Pauli Luke, John, the Acts of the Apostles, 14, ad Romanos una, ad Corinthios duæ, Seven Catholic Epistles, thus, of James ad Galatas una, ad Ephesios una, ad Phi- one, of Peter two, of John three, of Jude lippenses una, ad Colossenses una, ad one. The Epistles of Paul fourteen, to Thessalonicenses duæ, ad Hebreos una, the Romans one, to the Corinthians two, ad Timotheum duæ, ad Titum una, ad to the Galatians one, to the Ephesians one, Philemonem una. to the Philippians one, to the Colossians one, to the Thessalonians two, to the Hebrews one, to Timothy two, to Titus one, and to Philemon one.
another cause. The Paris edition of Zonaras omits the Epistle to Philemon, but without this the number of 14 Epistles attributed in the Canon to St Paul could not be made up. Its omission must be attributed merely to accident. The reader who wishes for iaformation on the Canon of Scripture should consult Cosin's Scholastical History of the Canon. In Jones' New and Full Method, \&c. (vol. i. pp. 54-6. Oxf. 1827), will be found a list of places where catalogues of the Scriptures occur, with their peculiarities marked, which will prove very useful to the inquirer.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Editor thinks it right to state that Johnson's translation is the only onic which he has ever had the opportunity of consulting.

[^1]:    
    
     $\tau \tilde{y} G$ غ̀ N Neoracapaeicic. Theod. Balsam.
    ${ }^{2}$ Usher, Lett. 10, 11 (Life by Parr. Lond. 1686).
    ${ }^{3}$ Abp Usher (see last ref.) understands Dion. Ex. to say, that up to the 1st Can. of Const., there were 164 Can. in the Codex which he translated; this number is made out thus: Nic. 20. Ancy. 25. Neocæs. 14. Gang. 20. Antioch. 25. Laod. $60=164$. But Dion. Ex. seems to include the Canons of Constantinople, otherwise the number 165 cannot be made ont according to lis reckoning, since he makes but one Canon of the 4 and 5 of Ancyra, also of 13 and 14 of Neacæs., and omits the 60th of Laodicea.

    4 Not, lowever, including in this number the Canons of the Council of Sardica. After speaking of the Canons of Chalcedon, and saying in his Gracarum Canonum finem esse declaramus, he adds, Ne quid prætcrea notitiæ vestræ credar velle 'subtrahere, statuta quoque Sardicensis concilii atque Africani, quæ Latine sunt edita, suis a nobis numeris cernuntur esse distincta.
    5. . Cui postea adjecti sunt Concilii Ephesini Eeumenici octo Canones forte a Stephano Episcopa Ephesìto. Justellus.

[^2]:    1 African Code, Can. 19.
    2 Sacerdotes enim legere Sanctas Scripturas frequenter admonet Paulus, dicens ad Timotheum, Attende lectioni et exhortationi, \&c. Sciant ergo Sacerdotes Scripturas Sanctas, et Canones meditenter. Can. 24.
    ${ }^{3}$ Loc. Theol. Lips. 1821.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Causam hujus canonis præbuit Leontius Presbyter, qui se ipse castravit ut cum Eustolio dormiret. Vide Stillinglleet's Orig. Brit. page 93. London, 1685. Bp Beveridge, Codex Can. vol. ii. p. 34, 35 (Oxf. 1848), where it is stated, "Synodi . . . . Nicenæ tempore nullum immanis hujusce facinoris exemplum a quopiam editum traditur, preterquam ab hoe Leontio." For this offence he was deposed from the priesthood, butafterwards, "at the earnest desire ( $\gamma \nu \dot{\prime} \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ de xai $\sigma \pi 0 v \delta \tilde{y})$ of the Emperor Constantius, was advanced to the bishopric of the Church of Antioch." Soc. Ecc. His. 2. 26. Athanasins everywhere speaks of this Leontius with much contempt, e.g.
    
     Migne's Ed. ; see also col. 700: For the disgraceful conduct for which Stephen the predecessor of Leontius was deposed, see col. 717, same vol.
    ${ }^{2}$ Constantine the Great forbad by a law the practice condemned in this Canon. "Si quis post hane sanctionem in orbe Romano eunachos fecerit, capite puniatur; mancipio tali, nec non etiam loco, ubi hoc commissum fuerit, domino sciente et dissimulante, confiscando." Opera, Const. M. Patrol. vol. viii. col, 396.
    ${ }^{3}$ Vetus scilicet vigesimns (Can. 21). Apostolorum Canon, nam in nuila alia Synodo reperitur. Caranza Sum. Concil. page 95, note. Paris, 1668 . "What we have before observed, namely, that the Nicæne fathers decreed nothing new in this Canon, but merely confirmed with

[^4]:    the authority of an œecumenical Synor the Apostolical Canons, is manifestly clear from these words. For no one can doubt that here they referred (respectum habuerunt) to some more
     said not ojroç $\dot{\delta}$ кavóv (this canon), but $\dot{o}$ ravuv (the canon), just as if they had said, the Canon before published, and known to evcry one, 'admits such into the Clergy.' But no other Canon existed at that time, in which this provision had been mane, except the 21 st Apos. Canon, which therefore with good reason we judge to be here quoted." Nullus autem alius tunc temporis extabat canon, quo hac constitutum fuerat, proter Apostolicum 21, quem igitur hic laudari jure merito judicamus. Bev. in loc.

    4 Probably referring to the 80 th Apostolical Canon. See Bp Beveridge, Codex Canonum, vol. i. 30, and his note on this Canon. The words of the Canon are, tùv $\dot{\xi} \xi \in \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{y}$
    
     forbids persons lately baptized to be advanced to the sacred order. Cyprian before, and Ambrose after, the time of the Council of Nicea were exceptional cases. The general principle laid down in the above-cited Apostolical Carion recommends itself to every person's judgment, viz. that "one who has not given proof of his own character should not be the teacher of others." On the Subjects of Ordination, see Palmer's Treatise on the Church of Christ, vol. ii. 328. Lon. 1842.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Mill's Gr. Test. (Keuster's Ed., 1710), where a few authorities are quoted in support of this reading; one of them is Apost. Const., lib. 2. chap. 2; but on this passage Cotelerus observes: "desunt $\kappa \alpha_{i}$ mayi $\alpha \alpha$ in utro Vindobonensi cod." Zonaras in his Commentary on 80th Apost. Can., quoting the verse, omits кai rayida.

    2 Zonaras, after raising the question why $\psi v \chi+\infty \dot{o} v$ was used here rather than acpurò $\nu$, proceeds
    
    
     к.r.ג., as (he adds) those which proceed from elation of mind, haughtiness, and disobedience, for these also, if they remain unsnbdued $\langle\dot{d} \theta \varepsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon v \tau a)$, subject to deposition, as is clear in the case of those called Novatians, for they were not in error with regard to doctrine, but through pride ( $\varepsilon \xi$ oijprewc), calling themselves Cathari, \&c. Dion. Exig. transiates thus : Si vero processu tomporis aliquod delictum anina circum personam reperiatin. Routh supposes the words $\psi v \chi ı \grave{\partial} \nu \dot{a} \mu a ́ p r \eta \mu \alpha$ were here used, "because in the preceding Canon mention had been made of
     ideo quod in superiori canone de noxa corporali mentio fuerat. Opuscula 2. 433. Oxford, 1832.
    ${ }^{3}$ Quonam . . . . fuerint avveiaakrat mulieres non satis constat. . . . . Erant autem neque uxores, neque concubinæ, sed tertii cujusdam generis mulieres, quas secum clerici, non sobolis nec libidinis gratia, sed pietatis studio, aut certe pratextu, forehant ut testatur Chrys., \&e. Justellus. ("Who these mulieres subintroductas were does not sufficiently appear, . . . . but they were neither wives nor concubines, but women of some third kind, which the clergy kept. with them not for the sake of offspring or lust, but from the desire, or certainly under the pretence, of piety.") Justellus further adds, "We must not omit what G. Budæus, a man of the greatest learning, has long ago observed, ovveifacrov dici socium sociamve coelibatus, sive virgo

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ As to what is meant by "constituting" a Bishop, see Bp Stilling. Orig. Brit. pp. 95-9. Lond. 1685.

    2 The explanation of Zonaras is as follows: "The present Canon might seem to be opposed to the first Canon of the Holy Apostles, for the latter enjoins that a bishop be ordained by two or three bishops, but this by three, the absent also agreeing and testifying their assent by writing. But they are not contradictory; for the Apostolical Canon by ordination (xeporoviav) means
     constitution (caráaragav) and ordination means the election ( $\tau \eta \nu \psi \eta \phi o v$ ), and cnjoins that the election of a bishop do not take place unless three assemble, having the conscnt also of the absent by letter, or a declaration that they also will acquiesce in the election (or vote, $\psi \eta \phi \omega)$ made by the three who have assembled. But after the election it gives the ratification or completion of the matter-the imposition of hands and consecration-to the metropolitan of the province, so tbat the election is to be ratified by him. He does so when with two or three bishops, according to the Apost. Can., he conscorates with imposition of hands one of the elected

[^7]:    persons whom he himself selects." If this be the true meaning of the Canon, then the words
    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{4}$ In 37 th Apost. Can. directions are likewise given for holding Synods twice in the year . . .
    
    
     Chalced. Can. 19 ; Conc. in Trul. Can. 8.

    This present Canon changes the time for holding one of the Synods, for which it assigns a reason. Zonaras (Com. on Apost. Can. 37) complains that in his time "these synods were everywhere treated with great contempt." And on this present Canon remarks they ceased to
    

[^8]:    1 See Canon XII. and the note upon it.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hæc verba usque ad $\tau \tilde{\psi} \theta є \ddot{\psi}$ in Joannis Antiocheni Collectione desiderantur. Bey. in loco. He adds that Dion. Exig., however, read these words; also Josephus Egyptius, and Zonaras, as is clear from the Commentary of the latter.
    ${ }^{3}$ "In this Canon there are three things principally designed. (1) To confirm the aneient privileges of some of the greater sees, as Rome, Alexandria, and Antioch. (2) To secure the privileges of other Clurches against their encroachments upon them. (3) To provide for the quiet establishment of Metropolitan Churches." Stilling. Orig. Brit., pp. 101~12. Violent attempts have been made hy the advocates for the Bishop of Rome's supremacy to evade the force of this Canon. Such attempts began early. Paschasinus, in the Courcil of Chalccdon, Act 16, dared to falsify this Canon. Interpretations have been given evincing an utter disregard to the truth of history (see Bellar. de Pont. Rom. lib. ii. ch. 18). Finally, what the great Council neglected to decree, the hand of the forger supplied. Very suggastive is a comparison of the genuine sentiments of the Nicene Fatbers, with those attributed to them in the spurions Canons ascribed to this Council, which were "collected" by Alphonsus Pisanus, Turrianus, \&c. The following is a part of the 39th: Sicut ille, qui tenet sedem Romæ caput est et princeps omnium patriarcharum: quandoquidem ipse est primus sicut Petrus, cui data est potestas in omnes

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Eusebius' Ecc. Hist. lib. iv. ch. 6. It is goncrally supposed that Alia was founded after the war; but Valesius in his note on this passage denies this (in which he agrees with Scaliger), and attributes the Jewish rebellion to the foundation of $\mathbb{E}$ lia, and to the indignation of the Jews at the desecration of the site of their temple, by the crection of a temple to the Cap. Jove on the very spot.

    How entirely the name of Jerusalem was unknown to the heathens of after-times may be seen from the circumstance mentioned by Eusebius in his book on the Martyrs of Palcstine, Patrol. Gr., vol. xx. col. 1504-5.
    2..." the Seventh Canon is but a compliment to the bishop of Jerusalem, giving lim the honour of a Metropolitan woithout the jurisdiction" Bp Stilling. B. Ant. 95.
    ${ }^{3}$ i. e. Cesarea. See Routh's Opuscula, ii. 436-7. Zonaras understands by the Metropolis
     тòv кáápa. Balsamon and Aristenus certainly do so. "Et hoc quidem extra duhium est," says Bp Bev. "Cæsaream hujus concilii temporibus, ct diu postea, pariter atque antea, Palæstinæ, prime presertim, in qua sita est Mierosolyma, metropolim exstitisse."
    ${ }^{4}$ Scholiastie per hec verba Novatianos indigitari consentiunt: et recte quidem. Bev. in loc. He further observes, that Novatus himself did not assume the appellation pore, but that his followers arrogated to themselves that title; and this he infers "because neither Dionysius of Alexandria, nor Cornelius of Rome, or any others who flourished in the time of Novatus bimself, even though they wrote against him, have made any mention of this name, as applied by him to himself,"
    ${ }^{5}$ Johnson in a note on this Canon observes, "Some would have turned these words that they remain in the elergy after they have first receired imposition of hands, after Dion. Exig. the old translator, and Josephus Egyptius, but I rather choose to follow Balsamon and Zonaras

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ It may here be remarked by the way, that an argument against the antiquity of Apost. Can. 22, and some of those which followt has been advanced by the anonymous author mentioned by Bp Bev. (see Codex, Can. vii. vol. ii. p. 32. For the name of this "Observator" see Bull's Def. of the Nicene Creed, p. 51, note. Oxford, 1851), from the act of Origen, for it has been said, if these Canons existed-and if they existed they must have been known to Origen-he would not have acted in opposition to them. But that it is quite possible these very Canons existed before the time of Origen, and were unknown to him at the time he committed the act alluded to, is not so very improbable as at first sight may appear, as may be seen from the following cxample. No person will deny that this present Canon "of the great Synod" existed and was in force before the time of Augustine, and yet both he, and Valerian, a Greek too, acted contrary to the enactment contained in it, viz. that "two bishope must not be in one city" -through ignorance, at least, assuredly, on the part of Augustine, as he himself states, Epist. 110.

    2 Narsissus, Bp of Jerusalem, when very far advanced in years, appointed Alexander as his

[^11]:    1 The usual position of the hearers was just inside the church door (wort rovis $\gamma v \eta \sigma$ oiws $t \xi$
     é $\sigma \tau \operatorname{avas} \pi v \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota$. Alex. Aris. Com. in loc.). But Zonaras (and Balsamon agrees with
    
     (they are ordered for three years to be hearers, or to stand without the church, in the narthex). For the meaning of the word vá $\theta n \xi$, the uses to which the places were applied, and their different positions, i.e. of the outer narthex, see Bingh. Antiq., pp. 285-317, where an excellent description of the several parts of the ancient Christian temples will be found.
    ${ }^{2}$ Routh in his note on this Canon (Opusc., page 439) compares with the rather unusual
    
     use of the word mouec may be seen in Schleusner's Lex. to the N. Test.
    ${ }^{3}$ On the reading oi mooroi, see Routh, Opusc. 438-9. Caranza seems to have read ei riarol, his translation is, Si tamen fideles sunt, \&e. Summa Concil., fol. 50, Lug. 1587.

    4 The prostrators stood within the body of the church, behind the reading desk, and went out

[^12]:    1 Dion. Ex. has nothing answering to this word in the text of his translation, but the title is, "De Catechumis lapsis." "The people formerly were divided into three classes in the Chureh, for there were catechumens, faithful, and penitents; but it is clear from the present Canon there were two kinds of catechumens: one (consisting of) those who heard the word of God, and wished

[^13]:    to become Christians, but had not yet desired baptism; these were called hearers. Otbers, who were of long standing, and were properly trained in the faith, and desired baptism; these were called competentes." Justellus.
    ${ }^{2}$ For the different orders of penitents, consult Bingham, Antiq. B. x. ch. 2.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Apost. Can. 14, 15. The former of these Canons orders that if a bishop do remove, it must not be on his own authority, but by the judgment of many bishops, and on the most urgent
     $\mu \varepsilon \gamma i \sigma \pi \eta$, from which we can learn that sugh transfers were not considered simply unlawful, but still the wisest reasons existed, obvious to every person even slightly acquainted with the civil and ecclesiastical history of the period, why laws such as the present Canon should be mode, and strictly enforced. Damasus, Bp of Rome, writing to Acholius, Bp of Thessalonica, and other bishops of Macedonia, advising them how to act in the Synod soon to be asscmbled at Constantinople, says, Illud præterea commoneo dilectionem vestram, ne patiamini aliquem contra statuta majorum nostroram de civitate alia ad aliam transduci, et deserere plebem sibi commissam: et ad alium populum per ambitionem transire. Tunc enim contentiones oriuntur, tune schismata graviora accipiunt, \&c. Patrol. vol. xiii. col. 368-9.
    
     $\varepsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma . \hat{\eta} \hat{j} \pi \rho \in \sigma$.
    ${ }^{3}$ A single instance of this use of the word may be given here. Socrates (Eccl. Hist. i. 17),
    
     virgins enrolled in the register or catalogue of the churches, to an entertainment, \&c.). Jones

[^15]:    denies that the word $\kappa a y$ wa was used to denote a catalogue by profane authors or even by ecclesiastical writers before the 4th century. See his New and Full Method, \&c., vel. i. page 18. Oxf. 1827.
    ${ }^{4}$ In the 15 th Apost. Canon it is ordered that the presbyter or other minister who removes to another city, and there remains contrary to the wish of his own bishop, should be deprived, indeed, of the power of exercising his ministry, but could communicate there as a layman ; while in this present Canon it is enjoined such a person must be excommunicated; hence, as Zonaras observes, any one might easily suspect a contradiction, but he reconciles the two statements in this way. By excommunication in this Canon is not meant exclusion from the Lord's Table as a communicant, but only from any share in the administration of it, i. e. a suspension from office;
    
    
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Si quis autem ad alium pertinentem audacter invadere et in sua ecclesia ordinare tentaverit, \&e. Dion. Exig.
    ${ }^{6}$ i. e. if the person who removed were a deacon, or one of the inferior orders, e. g. a reader,
     $\mu \varepsilon i \zeta_{o \nu a}$, к. т. $\lambda$. Zon.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Patrol. vol. xiii. col. 287, will be found the law of Constantine, which fixed the legal rate of interest, both for money and dry and liquid goods.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Romans paid interest by the month, and on the calends, as the well-known lines of Horace show (Satries, B. i. 3. 86-7). The rate mentioned in the Canon is the one-hundredth part of the principal each month, or, according to our reckoning, 12 per cent. This was the legal rate for money. Zonaras calls this the most cruel rate of all. He says, $\pi 0 \lambda v a \rho i \theta \mu \omega \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$
     when we see another rate equal to 50 per cent. condemned in this Canon, but then Zon. ex-
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Not " (such) usury," as Johnson translates, for this Canon absolutely forbids the clergy to take usury of any kind, and at any rate. The practice of asury, in almost every country, formed a bar to ordination, and if practised after ordination, subjected to deposition. See Bingh. Antiq. vol. i. pp. 143, 200. Cono. Arlet. Can. xii.
    ${ }^{4}$ Zonaras understands this term to mean, half the usual or full interest, i. e. 6 per cent., not a
    
    
    
     sense, making the Canon forbid, first the high, then even the more mitigated rate; but it is better with the great majority of commentators to take the word as meaning the principal and half the principal as interest, according to the law of Constantine; e.g. if a husbandman borrowed two measures of seed, he returned three, and so in proportion, for this rate applied ouly to such transactions. ("Quæ lex," says Const., "ad solas pertinet fruges: nam pro pecunia ultra singulas centesimas creditor vetatur accipere." Vid. ref, note 1 supra). Vide

[^17]:     rpia $\dot{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta a p e s c a}$ : instead of a daric, Cyrus promises a daric and a balf each month to the soldiers.
    ${ }^{5}$ The Council of Eliberis directed that laymen also should be cast out of the Church, if, after admonition, they did not abstain from the practice of usury. . . si vero in ea iniquitate duraverit, ab ecclesia esse projiciendum. Can. xx.
    ${ }^{6}$ The following passages from the Apology of Just. Mart. will explain the reason why the Lord's Supper received this name. "Then there is brought to the President of the brethren, bread and a cup of water and wine. And he recciving them offers up praise (aivov cai dózav) and glory to the Father of the universe $\langle\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \ddot{0} \lambda(\omega \nu)$ through the name of the Son and the Holy Ghost, and returns thanks at great length, because we are esteemed worthy (of receiving) these
    
     pratiav) all the people testify their approval, saying Amen. . . When the President has returned thanks (evं $\alpha \rho \iota \sigma \pi \eta \sigma a \nu \tau o s)$ and all the people have testified their approval, they, who among us are called deacons, give to each of those who are present a portion (or 'to partake') of the
     they (Fiz. the deacons) carry it to those who are absent." In the next section (66th) he calls it
    
     nected with the Lord's Supper, with which, however, we aro not directly concerned at present.
    ${ }^{7}$ From the passage of Just. Mart. quoted in note ${ }^{\text {f }}$, we see that the deacons distributed the consecrated elements " to each of those that were present," but this, doubtless, means only to the members of the congregation.
    ${ }^{8}$ Sec Conc. Ancy. Can. 2, and Bingh. Antiq. i. 88. a $\nu$ apeperv is the word used to express the act of distributing the elements to the people.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare Cyprian, Epist. 3. He there says, Meminisse autem Diaconi debent, quoniam Apostolos, id est, Episcopos et prepositos Dominus elegit: Diaconos autem post ascensum Domini in cealos Apostoli sibi constituerunt Episcopatus sui et Ecclesiæ ministros. Page 6. Oxford, 1682.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Bingh. Antid, B. ii. ch, 19, sec. 5.
    ${ }^{3}$ The fornder of this sect was Paul of Samosata, Bp of Antioch. He denied the Divinity of Christ, esteeming him a mere man. Eas., Ece. H., B. vii. ch. 27, says, toútov đè tatecvà кai
    
    
    
     Paulus Samosatenus et ejus post hunc successor Photinus asseruit Christum non fuisse ante secula natum ex Patre, sed ex Maria ceepisse, et non eum Deum hominem natum, sed ex bomine Deum factum existimat. Ruf. Com. in Symb. Apost. (Patrol. vol. xxi. col. 376). Much to the same purpose might be given, but from what has been adduced we see at once the reason why
    
    
     той $о \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Balsamon. But see Bp Bev. note on this passage, and Justellus, Patrol. 67, col. 103.

[^19]:    
    
     deposition is here improperly applied, for he may be deposed who has rightly received ordination, and has been exalted to the dignity (height) of the priesthood, but how, or whence, or from what height shall he be brought down who has never been rightly ordained at all." This may be so: the same objection strictly lies against the word $\dot{a} \nu a \beta \alpha \pi r \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu r \varepsilon \varsigma$, but as by the use of this latter word the Fathers of the Council did not nean to imply that baptism should be administered a second time, so in the word ca日apel-قal is not implied any latent recognition of the orders conferred by this heretical sect; both words were merely used in order to aroid a long and tedious circumlocution; for the same reason it is that we speak of a "dead faith," a "dead man," \&c. Balsamon however understood the word á $\nu a \beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ in its strict and literal signification, and supposed the case of those who, from among the orthodox, had gone over to the Faulianists, and Who had again returned to the Chureh, was contemplated by the Fathers at Nicea; he says,
    
     remarks, "Here I differ from Balsamon (Hic aliud nobis atque Balsamoni videtur). For he who was once rightly baptized, no matter into what hercsy he fell, was never rebaptized by Catholies."

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Bingh. Antiq., B. ii. ch. 22, see. 6, where he examines the latter part of this Canon. It may be asked who are the deaconesses spoken of here? Some (e.g. Baronius) assert the deaconesses of the Church are meant; and conolude from this Canon that up to this time at least they had no imposition of hands, and were in all respects lay persons. Others (see Bingham, as last quoted, where the names of many are given) understand the Canon to speak of the deaconesses of the Paulianists who came over to the Church. Balsamon and Zonaras held this
    
     $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \chi \omega \nu \tau a s a \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\eta} \mathrm{c}$.

    Alex. Aristirus also understood the Canon to speak of the deaconesses of the Paulianists. He
    
     have no imposition of hands, if they come over to the Catholic Church and are baptized, are placed (or ranked) among the laity).
    ${ }^{2}$ Again a difference of opinion prevails as to the meaning of $\chi \in \varphi \rho 0 \theta_{\varepsilon \sigma a}$ here. Justellus, in a note on this Canon, says, "here $x^{r i \rho o \theta e \sigma t a}$ is taken for ordination or consecration, not for benediction;" and again, "for neither were deaconesses, subdeacons, readers, and other ministers ordained, but a blessing was merely pronounced over them by prayer and imposition of hands" (nec enim diaconissm hypodiaconi, lectores cetterique ministri ordinabantur, sed tantum super eos fundebatur benedictio per orationem et impositionem mannum). But Bingham (see page 101) objects to this, and says a distinction should be drawn between the imposition of hands usual on

[^21]:    1 "This rule seems to have been made chiefly on account of Meletius, Bishop of Antioch, Gregory Nazianzum, and Peter of Alexandria. For Meletius, leaving the Eastern diocese, had come to Constantinople to ordain Gregory Bishop there. And Gregory having abandoned the bishopric of Sasima, which was in the Pontic diocese, had removed to Constantinople. While Peter of Alexandria had sent to Constantinople seven Egyptian bishops to ordain Maximus the Cynic. For the purpose therefore of repressing these (disorders), the Fathers of the Synod of Constantinople made this Canon." Valesius' note on Soc. Ecc. H., v. 8. As to the statement of Yalesins above given, that Gregory abandoned the bishopric of Sasima (et Gregorius, relieto Sasimorum Episcopatu), see Robertson's Church Hist., vol. i. 269 and 273. On the number of bishops actually engaged in the ordination of Maximus, see Valesius' note on Sozomen, Ece. H., vii. 9. He says, Baronius quidem Maximum a septem episeopis 庣gypiiis ordinatum esse

[^22]:    1 There were then (say Zon. and Bals.) some Churches among the barbarians, in which, perhaps, a sufficient number of bishops for holding a synod were not ordained, or it might be necessary that persons duly qualified should visit Churches so situated, for the purpose of confirming in the truth those who had abandoned the surrounding idolatry. Wherefore "the holy Synod permitted that a nation so situated should be governed in such manner still, on account of the necessity of the case, even thongh it were contrary to the Canons." Johnson translates the latter part of the Canon thus, "The Churches among the barbarians must be governed according to the customs which prevailed with their ancestors."
    ${ }_{2}$ This Canon, in the translation of Dion. Exig., is appended to the second, of which it forms the continuation, as follows, Verumtamen Constantinopolitanus Episcopus habeat honoris primatum post Romanum Episcopum : propterea quod urbs ipsa sit junior Roma.
    ${ }^{3}$ It would be impossible (as indeed it would be also unnecessary) to enter here into the general question respecting the supremacy of the Bishop of Rome, but it is of the utmost importance to observe the reason specified by the assembled bishops for conferring upon the Bp of Constantinople a position of rank and dignity "next after the Bp of Rome," viz. becatuse he was the Bishop of New Rome, indicating as it does so elearly, that in their opinion the rights and privileges of the Bp of Rome were intimately comected with, and originated in, the secular greatness of the city, over the Church of which he presided, coupled, no doubt, with the fact of its being also an apostolic

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is the opinion of Bp Bev. and others, that this and the following Canon were made, not at the General Council of Constantinople, A. v. 381, but in the following year, when the greatest part of the Bishops who had been present at the Council again assembled at Constantinople. See his note on this 5th Canou. Routh's Opus., 2. 451. Oxf. 1832 ; Robertson's Ch. His., i. 274, note $f$. Johnson (Vade Mecum, p. 174) says, "However, it is certain that the Greeks always received the following Canons; and it is generally" (not at the present time, so far at least as the 7th Canon is concerned) "owned, that they were made in some council beld at Constantinople, if not the same year with the foregoing, yet soon after."
    $\frac{p}{2}$ Quid Patres per Tomum rüv $\delta \nu \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$ velint, inter doatos nondum convenit. Bp Bev. His own opinion is, "Tópos $\delta v \tau u w \bar{w}$ hic commemoratus nihil aliud fuit, quam libellus. . . sive Epistola Synodica, quem Oecidentales Episcopi Romæ Constantinopolim miserunt." See also Prolegom. Ad. Opp. Damasi (Patrolog. vol. xiii. col. 218-19). Ralsamon and Zonaras under-

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$. . . " "either law, the canonical and civil, demand this of accusers, that if they prove not the crime which they lay to any one's charge, they themselves be subject to the same punishment which they attempted to bring on the accused." Bp Ber. Among others, he quotes in illustration the following passage : Nam inseriptio primo semper fiat, ut talionem calumniator recipiat,

[^25]:    quia ante inscriptionem nemo debet judicari vel damnari cum et seculi leges hæo eadem retineant. But the Epistle to Stephen, from which the above is taken, is placed among the Apocryphal works of Dam. Patrol. vol. xiii, col. 427.

[^26]:    1 This Canon, I confess, is contained in all the editions of the Commentaries of Balsamon and Zonaras. It is cited also by Photius in Nomocan. tit. xii. ch. xiv., besides it is extant in a conrracted form in the Epitome of Alexius Aristenes. But it is wanting in all the Latin versions of the Canons, in the ancient translations of Dionys. Exig., Isidore, Mercator, \&c.; also in the Epitome of Sym. Logothet., and the Arabic paraphrase of Josephus \#ryp., and what is particularly to be observed, in the collection of John of Antioch; and this not through want of attention on his part, as is clear from this, namely, that in the order of the Canons as given by him he attributes six Canons only to this second General Council, saying, süv lv Kwy-
     who assembled at Constantinople, by whom six Canons were set forth"', so that it is clear the present was not reckoned among the Canons of this Council in thoso days. Nay, the whole

[^27]:    composition of this Canon clearly indicates (non obscure indicat) that it is to be ascribed, neither to this present Council, nor to any other (unless perhaps that of Trullo, of which we shall speak afterwards). "For nothing is appointed in it, nothing confirmed, but a certain ancient custom of receiving converted heretics, is here merely recited." Nibil enim in eo constituitur, nihil confirmatur; sed tantum antiqua quedam bæreticos conversos recepiendi consuetudo hic recitatur. Bev. in loc.
     read that the Novatians called themselves $\dot{\alpha} \rho เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho o v s$ (left-handed); but rather did they continually boast that they were the best and most excellent of all men." Bey.
    ${ }^{3}$ Vid. Apost. Can. 50, and the notes of Bp Bev.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, the Synod over which John Patriarch of Antioch presided. A full history of the events connected with this Council will be found in the Historical Preface to the second part of the Works of Marius Mercator (Patrol, vol. xlviii. col. 599-747). Soc. Ecc. H. 7. 34.
    ${ }^{2}$ Balsamon says that by an error the name of the Bishop of Rome (Kedeorivou) was in some copies substituted for Keגevтiov. Bp Bev. supposes that Balsamon directed this observation
    
     Commentary on Can. 3, he shows clearly that he supposed the Bishop of Rome was the person
    
     Quod. . . satis mirari nequeo, \&cc., At which I cannot sufficiently wonder, when in the Greek Calendars themselves, on the 8th of April the memory of Celestine Bp of Rome was celebrated, as a saint, and an opposer of the Nestorian heresy.

[^29]:    Ccelestius (vid. Marius Mescator, Lib. Subnot. Patrol. vol zlviii. col. 114) held the following opinions:-

    Adam mortalem factum, qui sive peccaret, sive non peccaret, fuisset moriturus.
    Quoniam peccatum Adm ipsum solum læsit, et non gens humanum.
    Quoniam infantes qui nascuntur, in eo statu sunt, in quo Adam fuit ante prævaricationem.
    Quoniam neque per mortem Adm omne genus hominum moritur quia neque per ressurrectionem Christi omne genus hominum resurgit.

    Quoniam infantes, etiamsi non baptizentur, habent vitam æternam.
    Adjecit... posse esse hominem sine peccato, et facile Dei mandata servare, quoniam aute Christi adventum fuerunt homines sine peccato.

    Et quoniam sic lex mittit ad requiem caloram, sicut evangelium.

[^30]:    1 See the Anathemas and Counter-anathemas of Cyril and Nestorius in Marius Mercator, Patrol. vol, xlviii. col. 910 , \&c., where many interesting documents connected with the Nes-

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Namely, the declarations and subscriptions of those who had signed the creed of Theodore, appended to the "Libellus" of Charisius.
    ${ }_{2}$ See Bingh. Antiq., B. $10 . \mathrm{ch}$. iv. page 464.
    ${ }^{3}$ Die vigesimo secundo Julii convenerunt Patres :.." Then Charisius, Presbyter and Curator (œconomus) of the Church of Philadelphia, complained before the Synod, and having presented a declaration, asked that the sentence of his Bishop, Theophanes, might be rescinded, by whom he had been deposed, because he had resisted the Presbyters, Jacobus and Antonius, who, sent by anastasius and Photius, friends of Nestorius, with letters to the Bishops of Lydia, disseminated the creed of Theodore, which was plainly imnious, and presented it for subseription to

[^32]:    those who came over to the Chureh from the heresy of the Quartadecemani. Charisius is absolved by the Synod, the creed of Theodore is condemned : that celebrated law (namely, this 7th Canon) on the subject of not composing any other formula of faith than that published by the, Nicene Fathers, was passed, and which in subsequent ages gave rise to so many disputes." (Garnier, Pref. in Sec. Part. Op. Marius Mer. col. 727.) In col. 876 is given the Libellus Charisii, then the creed of Nestorius (a Theodore Mopsuesento compositum. Baluz. quoted in not.), this is referred to by the $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \pi$ токоц. $\varepsilon \kappa \theta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \epsilon$ of the Canon; next the declarations and subseriptions of those simple persons who were induced to sign it, "His igitur recitatis (see note ") decrevit sancta Symodus," \&c., Mar. Mer. col. 885.

[^33]:    1 Routh suggests a transposition. See Opus. page 394.
    2 "From this it is clear how little the Council of Ephesus regarded the judicial sentence (sententiam decretoriam) of the Roman Bishop, Innocent $I_{\text {. }}$, who, about twenty years before this, in an Epistle to Alexander, Bp of Antioch, had claimed for this same Alexander the power of or-

[^34]:    daining Bishops in the island of Cyprus. See the Decrees of Pope Innocent, ch. xly. xlvi." Routh, page 461. The Deorees of Innocent referred to may be seen in Patrol. vol. lxvii. col. 255. See Stillingfleet's Orig. Brit., pages 106-8, and note ${ }^{5}$ on 6 th Can. Conc. Nic. sup.

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ Et . . . Justinianus Imp., Novel. 131, de Canonibus Ecclesiasticis, $\operatorname{E\varepsilon \sigma \pi i\zeta o\mu \varepsilon \nu ~roi\nu vy~}$
    
    
     Conciliorum dimeesewn predictorum Ancyrani, Neocæsariensis, Gangrensis, Antiocheni, et Laodicensis, qui toto orbe recepti, et ab ipsis quatuor Conciliis œecumenicis probati et confirmati, in Codice Canonum Ecclesiæ universæ continebantur, ut disserte Theod. Balsamo . . . . adnotavit . \&c. (And the Emperor Justinian, Novel. 131, speaking of the Ecclesiastical Canons, says, "We decree that the Ecclesiastical Canons, made or confirmed by the four holy Councils, that is, of Nicma, Constantinople, first of Ephesus, and Chalcedon, have the force of laws." Where, by the word confirzied, we should understand the Canons of the above-mentioned diocesan or proviacial Synods of Ancyra, Neocæsarea, Gangra, Antioch, and Laodicea, which, having been received by the whole Church, approved and confirmed by the four general Councils themselves, were contained in the Book of the Canons of the universal Church, as Theod. Balsamon observes, Justell. Praf. in Cod. Can. (Patrol., vol. lxvii. 29). "Those of Arles, Carthage, Eliberis, and even Sardica, were not admitted into the code, and probably some of them never heard of by these holy Fathers, and it is observable, that not one of the Canons here ratified was made in the Latin Church, or drawn up in that tongue." Johnson.
    
    
     тараноvápьoi. Zon.
    ${ }_{3}$ The erconomi were officers appointed to manage the revenues of the whole diocese. They

[^36]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ See Apost. Can. 6. 81.
    ${ }^{3}$ Before the time of Cyprian the rulers of the Church, in order to prevent the clergy from having their thoughts called away by secular cares from the duties of their high and holy calling, made a law, that no Christian at his death should name a clergyman as guardian, \&c.; he says, . . . Cum jam pridem in concilio Episaoperum statutum sit, ne quis de clericis, et Dei ministris tutorem vel curatorem testamento suo constituat, \&c., Epist. 1 ; and consult Bp Fell's note on the passage. Balsamon states that by the law, tutors ( $\ell \pi i r \rho o \pi o t$ ) were appointed for male children up to the age of 12 years, for females to 14 . Guardians (covárwpers) for either sex alike, till they arrived at the age of 25 years.

    * Observandum hoc hoco, ex jure Romano, tutelam pupillorum, pro munere publico habitam, onus fuisse a quo non nisi ex certis iisque gravissimis causis lege definitis excusatio concedebator (We must observe here, that, by the Reman law, the tutelage of wards, esteemed a public duty, was a responsibility from which no exemption was granted, except for certain, and these most serious, causes defined hy law). Bp Fell, note on Cyp. Ep. 1.
    

[^37]:     $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu, \kappa \kappa \tau . \lambda$. Apost. Const. lib. 4 . ch. 2 ; Conf. Just. M. Apof. sec. 67 ; Athan. His. Ar. ad Monachos, col. 721 ; Apost. Const. lib. 3, pas. Vit. S. Cyp. per Pontium, where we are told, Nulla vidua reversa est (i. e. from Cyprian) sinu vacuo, page 3. X $\bar{\eta} \rho a t ~ \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$
     by the early Church for the care of the poor, the afflicted, and oppressed, extorted reluctant praise, even from her most inveterate enemies, e. g. from Julian, who would infuse life and vigour into selfish and frigid paganism by urging upon its ministers the adoption of the principles and prac-
    
    
    
    
     and wine to be divided among the poor, he adds the following remarkable words, Airxpor
    
    

[^38]:     and 83rd Apostolical Canons order the deposition of those who involve themselves in secular cares, or who attempt to retain at once the civil administration and their ecclesiastical dignity." But ecclesiastical Canons were powerless in checking the evil, xai oùd' oütws (i. e. though other
    
    
    
     Com. in loc. See the 16 th Can. of African Code, where clergymen are forbidden to resort to any
    
     following just observation: "The Canon speaks not of gain but of the means of subsistence (roopìv), for even though a person be reduced to such a state of poverty as to be deficient even in the means of support" (cases which no doubt frequently occurred, and are but too readily overlooked in popular declamations respecting the secularization of the clergy of this period), " not even under these circumstances ought he to derive that sustenanee from any base or dis. honourable source."

[^39]:    2 The Council of Agde (a. D. 506) says, Can. 27: "Let no one presume to begin or found a new monastery, without the permission or approbation of the Bishop." See Novell. 5, ch. 1 , where the ceremonies to be observed on the occasion are mentioned. In Novell. 131, ch. 7, we are further told . . " butt if he once begin to build a new charch or repair an old one, he must be compelled by all means, by the Bishop of the place and his ceconomus, and the civil judge, to complete it ; and if he defer the work, upon his death, his heirs must complete the work begun.' Corp. Jur. Civil. vol. ii. page 631. Austel, 1681.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ne quis monachus monasterium suum relinquat, sivilibus quoque legibus confirmatur. Bev. in loc.

    4 The Emp. Leo (Constit. 10) says that a law had been made that if a fugitive slave entered a monastery, and remained concealed for three years, his master had no further power over him. This law he abrogates, . . "jubemus, ut quanto-cunque tempore, servus tali consilio monachus factus delituerit, si ipsum aliquando dominus inveniat, nibilominus is quem malo proposito habitum sumpsit hoc exuatur, rursum quæ in domini potestatem subigatur." Corp. Jur. Civ. ii. 681. Compare the 82 nd Apost. Can., which forbids slaves to he ordained without the consent of their masters, and where the previous manumission of the slave by his master is insisted on as a necessary condition for the ordination of such.

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is clear from the present Canon that many of the evils essentially inherent in the very nature of Monasticiam had already to a great degree developed themselves: already had monks broken through the restraints of the cloister, and restlessly intruded upon the province of the Bishop and the civil magistrate-ihe designing hypocrite too often concealed his selfish purposes under the habit of the monk-and attempts, eventually crowned with too much success, were made on the part of many, to act independently of episcopal control. Happy had it been for the Church of God, had the restrictions imposed on the monks by this Canon (framed by the united wisdom of so many Christian prelates) been rigidly enforced in succeeding times.
    
    

[^41]:    ${ }^{3}$ Vid. Apost. Can. xiv. xv. xvi.; Con. Nic. xy. xvi.; Bing. Antiq., vol. i. 222-3.

    - 4 See Bing. Antiq, B. iv. ch. 6 ; in sec. 3 are mentioned the very few exceptions to this rule, to be met with in the early history of the Church :
     кetyтat $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ómч. Bals. Clement V., A.D. 1311, obliged all monks to take holy orders.
    
     Oivera ${ }^{\text {doyizev日at. Zonaras. }}$

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bingham (Ant. B. vi. ch. 4, p. 225) says, "Balsamon and Zonaras take this Canon (i. e. Apost. Can. 83) to mean only the prohibition of holaing military offices, because it uses the word arpareia." But the words of Zonaras would seem to imply that he understood much more to be included uuder the term arpareia than military offices only; he says, aroareiav EvvaṽӨa où ör $\lambda \omega \nu$
    
    
    
    

[^43]:    tainly understood this 7th Canon to speak of "monks and clergymen who have laid aside the sacred habit" (the Apost. Can. condemns those " who would retain both"), "and assumed the military dress, as warriors, or the civil, as persons desirous of worldly distinction." . . . dıè cai
    
    
     rào $\dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \nu i a$. Zon.
    ${ }^{3}$ Comp. Justin. Novell. 123. ch. 21.

[^44]:     Balsamon says, "The exarch of the diocese is, in my opinion, not the metropolitan of each province, but of the whole diocese, but a diocese is that which contains in itself many provinces;" and so by the exarch of the diocese is meant the patriarch. See Bingh. Antiq., B. ii. ch. 17, sec. 3 ; Stilling. Antiq., pages 108, 112.
    :..."here is a greater privilege given by a General Council to the see of Constantinople than ever was given by any couneil, even that of Sardica to the Bishop of Rome, viz. that any bishop or clergyman might, at the first instance, bring his cause before the Bishop of Constanti. nople, if the defendant were a metropolitan." Johnson.
    
    "Johnson translates, "shall receive nothing from the first Church." No doubt a participa-

[^45]:    tion of the revenue is denied to such clergymen, but in the translation I have followed the explanation of Zonaras, who understood the word $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} y \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ to refer not so much to the revenues, as to the general concerns and management of the Church. He explains $\mu$ ndì̀ roic $\pi \rho o \tau \varepsilon \rho a s$
    
    
    
    ${ }^{5}$ They who had been guility of this breach of discipline, hefore the date of this Canon, are permitted to return. Whereas it is ordained that they who in future act in opposition to the decision here made, are to be deposed : this is the explanation of Zonaras. Balsamon takes some trouble to remove or explain a seeming contradiction here, but none exists. Com. Apost. Can. xy.; Conc. in Trul. Can. xvii.

[^46]:    1 "In the literæ pacifice which the bishops used to grant to the poor when travelling, in order that the faithful might assist them, these two things were comprehended, namely, that they who carried them were really poor, and that they deserved assistance." Ber. in loc. See Bing. Antiq., B. ii. ch. 5 , sec. 5 ; B. vi. ch. 4. Justel. Patrol., vol. lxvii. col. 131-2. Apost. Can. 12, 33, and the note of Bp . Ber. on the 12th Can.
    ? Dion. Exig. translates, Et non commendatitiis literis, propter quod commendatitias literas honoratioribus tantummodo præstari personis conveniat. But Balsamon quite differently, Evara-
    
     taking $\dot{v} \pi \rho \lambda \tilde{\eta} \psi \varepsilon t$ in a good sense. See note Can. 21, Opus. page 468. Alex. Arist. says $\sigma v a \tau \alpha-$
    
    ${ }^{3}$ This need not necessarily be the civil Metropolis. Bp Bev., Codex Can. ii. 77, says, "Non abs re erit, si observemus insuper, sedes quidem episcopales, ab una ad aliam civitatem sæpe

[^47]:    translatas faisse, et jure transferri posse, sed non item Metropoliticas. Civitas enim quæ a prima Ecclesiæ fundatione Metropolis fuit, eundem in Ecclesiæ notitia honorem, etiamsi Imperii Metropolis esse desinat, plerumque retinere solet, et ex Canonum ecclesiasticorum semper retinere debet." This Canon might seem to contradict the latter part of Can. 17, but Bp Bev. proves, I think, that this latter Canon refers not to Metropolitans. The sentiments of the Fathers of Chalcedon on this subject may be easily learned from the judgment which they themselves passed in two cases examined by them, from which it is clear they acted on the principle laid down in this Canon, riz. of allowing only a titular rank to the bishop of a city lately raised to the dignity of a metropolis to the prejudice of the old and true metropolis. Vid. Cod. Can., vol. ii. pp, 77-9. Oxford, 1848.
    ${ }^{4}$ Routh has ávaypウ́araç (readers). Dion. Ex. found this word in his copy. His translation is, Peregrinos clericos et lectores, in alia civitate, etc. etc.

[^48]:    ${ }^{5}$ Johnson, in a note to his translation of this Canon, says, "And observe another difference between the priestess and the deaconess, viz. that the former might not be ordained before sixty, 1st Tim. v. 10 (9)." But Bingham (Antiq., B. ii. ch. 22, see particularly pp. 101-2) clearly proves that no such office as that of priestess was recognized by the Church. The following passage (and many such might be produced) is clear on this point: Eí $\bar{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ toĩe $\pi \rho \circ \lambda \alpha \beta$ oṽ $\sigma$
    
    
     difference between St Paul's direction (1st Tim. v. 9) and the present Canon, by distinguishing
    
     Cotelerius rejects this explanation of the discrepancy. He says, "the last-cited Canon clearly teaches a change of discipline." See his note on Apost. Const., lib. iii. ch. 1 .
    ${ }^{6}$ Justinian (Novell. 123, ch. 13) says, Diaconissam vero non ordinari in sancta Ecclesia, quæ minor est annorum quadraginta, ant ad secundas venerit nuptias. Corp. Jur. Civ. 2. 619.
    ${ }^{7}$ Hic $\chi$ E!ootrta pro impositione manuum benedivtionis accipitur, non ordinationis. Justel., Patrol. lxvii. 133; but see note ${ }^{8}$ on 19th Can. of Con. Nio.
    ${ }^{8}$ Vide Apost. Const. 3, 15, for some of the duties attached to the office.

[^49]:    
    
     Alex. Arist. in loc.; Routh, Opns page 466-7.
    ${ }^{2}$ Conf. Justin. Novell. 111, preface and ch. 1; Novell. 131, ch. 6.
     nullos alios fuisse quam quos postea patriarchas appellarunt." Bev.; see note i, page 58 sup.

    4 See note ${ }^{3}$, Can. xii. sup.
    5 "By the civil laws likewise, which the Canon calls' foreign,' because they were almost all

[^50]:    framed by heathens, the crime of conspiracy was forbidden and punished, as may be seen," \&c.
    
     Trul., e. 34.
     $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \xi \varepsilon \sigma \iota \phi a \dot{v} \lambda a t s$. Zon. The jealousy with which the emperors regarded clubs or associations of any kind may be seen from the answer of Trajan to a proposal of Pliny, quoted by Lardner, Jewish and Heathen Test., rol. ii. p. 41. Lond., 1765; Bp Kay's Tertullian, pp. 117, 118, and note 46. Camb., 1826.

[^51]:     Zonaras.
    ${ }^{2}$ e. g. Apost. Can. 37 ; Conc. Nicro. Can. 5; Conc. Antioch. Can. 20. The Cone. in Trul. (Can. 8) insists upon ane Synod at least being held each year in every province, the calamities of the times rendering it inconvenient for the bishops to assemble twice. By a Canon recited at a Council held in Carthage, A. D. 418, it is ordered, that the bishops, who could not give to their

[^52]:    primate ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \varepsilon \dot{v} 0 \vee \tau i$ ) a satisfactory account for their non-attendance at the Synods "should be
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ An emphatio word, strongly indicating the pure and disinterested affection which the minister of Christ should entertain for the children of God entrusted to his spiritual care.

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ Conf. Can. Apost. 15, 16 ; Conc. Nicæ. cc. 15, 16.
    2 See Conc. Constan. Can. 6. The Council of Eliberis, Can. 75, orders that they who falsely accuse a bishop, priest, or deacon, must not receive the communion even at their death, nec in fine dandam ei communionem. False accusers of their brethren are excluded from communion till the approach of death, by the Council of Arles, Can. 14.
    ${ }^{3}$ The 40 th Apost. Canon orders that the bishop's private property (eiye rai ifıa Ê $\chi^{\varepsilon \prime \text { ) should }}$ be distinguished from that of the Church, in order that at his death he might dispose of it as
    
     ordered that for the fnture no part of the bishop's property should be disposed of by a will, except such only as he possessed before his ordination. Corp. Jur. Civ., vol. ii. page 23. Conf. Novell. 131, ch. 13. See also Theod. Bals. Const. Eccles. Collec. (Patrol. Grec., rol. cxxxviii. col. 1142).

[^54]:    - Zonaras, Balsamon, and others, read cai roũs $\pi \rho$ o $\lambda a \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu=v \sigma_{\iota} \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \gamma \dot{\partial} \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau a t$, and by $\pi \rho o \lambda a \dot{a} \mu \boldsymbol{\beta} \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ovaı the two former understand the clergy or Metropolitan of the diocese, to which the deceased bishop belonged; of this explanation Bp Bev, says, Verum quomodo ista yox hune sensum ferat, me prorsus fugit, and lower down, "Nor can I divine what this word may signify in this place." The 35 th Canon of Conc. in Trul. directs all the property so preserved to be handed over to the new bishop, but this direction, so far at least as relates to the bishop's private property, must be understood to contemplate those cases only in which the bishop died intestate,
    
     Dion. Exig. is as follows, Non licere clericis post obitum sui episcopi res ad eum pertinentes diripere, sicut antiquis quoque Canonibus constitutura.

[^55]:    
     Balsam. Const. Eecles. Collec. Tit، iii. 22.

[^56]:    ${ }^{2}$ That is, says Balsamon, "The house of those who receive them" . . . кai rovic oikoug runv
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Conf. Justin. Novell. 5 , ch. 1.

    - See Can. 1 of the Council of Const. called Prima et Secunda (Oper. Zon., page 239).

[^57]:    
     concluding part of which Canon is as follows: "For then the Metropolitan shall , preserve them undiminished, handing them all over to the bishop who shall have been ordained." See Bingh, page 126.
    ${ }_{2}$ The 41 st Apost. Can. orders that all the property of the Church should be under the care of the bishop and at his disposal, "for if the precious souls of men are entrusted to his care, mach more is it fitting that earthly goods should be committed to him." However, in the course of time, in order to avoid suspicion, as well as to correct abuses, it was thought prudent to frame such laws as the present Canon, and which were rendered necessary by the altered condition of
    

[^58]:    
    
    ${ }_{3}$ See Bingh. Antiq., R. iii. ch. xii., where he mentions the circumstance which probably gave occasion to this general direction.
    
    
     vol. viii. col. 194-6), the severity of which is eloquently deplored by Gibbon (Dec. and Fail, vol. i. 6]3-14. Bohn's Ed.). Conf. Apost. Can. 67 ; Justin. Novell. 143, tit. 26. The civil law exacted a severe penalty for the violation of females devoted to a life of celibacy. 'Eáv res
     vol. cxxxviiu. col. 1121. This 27 th Canon was renewed by Con. in Trullo, Can. 92.

[^59]:    1 "This, with the two following Canons, is extant neither in the collection of John of Antioch, nor in the Latin version of Dion. Exig, nor in the Arabic paraphrase of Josephus Agyptius. But it is found in all the copies of Balsamon, Zonaras, and Aristenus; it is cited also by Photius in the Nornocanon, tit. 1. ch. v. vi. It is translated into Latin also by the ancient interpreter before Dion. Exig., by whom, however, it is placed, not among the Canons of this present Synod, but among those of Constantinople. It is found in the Acts of this Council, namely, in the 16th Act: where, however, Paschasinus and Lucentius, legates of the Roman bishop, complain that this decrec was made privately, and in their absence." They were indeed absent, but only because they were unwilling to be present, even though they were invited. For the Fathers expressly testify that they had asked those Romans to be present, for they say, mape-
     bishops from Rome to unite with us in these transactions, but they declined, saying they had not received any such instructions.) And they add, when this decree was made, that nothing was done in secret, or by stealth, but that all things were transacted in a canomical manner,
    

[^60]:     Conf. Routh, Opus., pages 470-472.
    ${ }^{2}$ i. e. the 3 rd Can. Conc. Constan.
    
     reading. See Routh, Opus., page 470.
    ${ }^{4}$ and (we do so) for, \&c.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cone. Const. Can. 3, note; Barrow on the Pope's Supremacy, Pp. 232-3, 248, \&c. Oxford, 1852.
     $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \tau o \lambda \iota \kappa o i, \ddot{\omega}_{\boldsymbol{s}} \phi \alpha \sigma i$ tipes. Balsamon.
    ${ }^{7}$ e. q. Nice. Can. 4, Const. Can. 2, Ephes. Can. 8. See also Conc. in Trull., Can. 39.
    ${ }^{8}$ Even in the time of Justinlan the archbishop ranked higher than the metropolitan,-he says, Novell. 11, prefat. (Corp. Jur. Civ., vol, ii. p. 497) . . . volumus . ., ut primæ Justiniana patriæ nostre pro tempore sacrosanctus antistes, non solum metropolitanus, sed etiam archiepiscopus fat.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ This, with the following Canon, is not acknowledged even by Photius, who, in the preface to the Nomocanon, says that this Synod made 28 Canons only. But it is extant in all the copies of Balsamon, Zonaras, and Aristenus: however, it is nothing else than a decree of this Council, by which the matter, agitated between Photius, Bp of Tyre, and Eustathius, Bp of Berytus, was settled. Eustathius had taken to himself six cities belonging to Photius, and had removed their bishops, ordained by Photius, and degraded them into the order of priests, \&ec. Bev. The former part of the Canon or decree was spoken by "Pascasinus and Lucentius, most
    

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dioscorus was deposed at the 3rd session of this Council.
    
    
    

[^63]:     ка日apeiotw. For as Bp Bev. observes, "The interpreters" (see particularly Balsamon) "agree that here clergymen are forbidden to appoint, not others as sureties for thenselves, but thernselves for others, and that too for the sake of base gain."

[^64]:    
    
     who assembled at Ancyra; which are indeed older than those made at Nicæa, but placed after them, on account of the superior authority of the œcumenical Synod).
    ${ }^{2}$ The following passage from the Commentary of Zonaras will explain this rather obscure Canon; he says, "Of those that yielded to the tyrants in the persecution, and offered sacrifice, some, after having been subjected to torture, being unable to withstand to the end its force and intensity, were conquered, and denied the faith; some, through effeminacy, before they experienced any suffering, gave way, and lest they should seem to sacrifice voluntarily they persuaded the executioners, either by bribes or entreaties, to manifest perhaps a greater degree of severity against them, and seemingly to apply the torture to them, in order that sacrificing under these circumstances they might seem to have denied Christ, conquered by force, and not through effeminacy; but as to those who were really tortured," \&c., \&c.
    
    
    

[^65]:    
    
     lxv, The form of words used at the delivery of the consecrated elements was originally very
    
    
     $\pi i \nu \omega \nu \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon ́ \tau \omega^{\circ}$ A $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$.

    B Speaking of that part of the deacons' office, which consisted of giving notice to the people When each part of the service began, Bingham (page 89) says, "All this was called by the general name of кпои́rtecy among the Greeks, and predicare among the Latins, which does not ordinarily signify preaching as some mistake it, bat performing the office of a $\kappa$ nou $\xi$, or praco, in
    
     sacrarum ritus et ordinem moderebantur. Justellus, ap Patrol. vol. Ixvii. 105. An example of
    
    

[^66]:    
    ${ }_{2}$ Balsamon understood this as if authority was given to the bishops to add some additional
     mapy $\quad$ ofiay. No doubt this is implied in the authority granted to them of refusing, at their

[^67]:    pleasure, to extend to individuals the concessions made in their favour by the Synod. Zon. explains $\pi \lambda$ 'oy $\tau \iota$ dióóvat as in the translation.
    

[^68]:    
     $\dot{\eta} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \subset a \sigma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{a} \lambda \eta \psi \iota \leftrightarrows$. Zonaras.

    * i. e. without partaking of the holy commumion. See Routh, Reliq. Sacres, vol. iii. page 425. Oxford, 1815. Johnson, note in loc. Some suppose that by the words $\chi$. meant not an exclusion from participation in the Holy Communion, but a denial of the privilege of presenting oblations at the altar. Truc it is, such a privilege was denied to wicked men,

[^69]:    penitents, \&c., but this is not meant here or anywhure else throughout this collection. The Council of Eliberis, Can. 28, directs, Episcopos piacuit, ab eo qui non communicat munera
     Apost. Constit., B. iv. ch. 7 ; see also ch. 6.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Gunning's Paschal or Jent Fast, page 303, Oxf. 1845, where the names by which Easter-day was anciently called will be scen.

[^70]:     computed from that time."
    
     (but under a limitation, or distinction, that if it happens they do not die, but recover their

[^71]:    health, they do not communicate again, unless the appointed period of six ycars be expired). Zonaras.
    ${ }^{3}$ Prohibendum ne quis Christianus, ut gentilis, ad idolum Capitolii causa sacrificandi ascendat, et videat. Quod si fecerit, pari crimine teneatur. Si fuerit fidelis, post decem annos, acta penitentia, recipiatur. Conc. Elib. Can, 59.
    

[^72]:    I Per alind vero sexennium ponitentio subjiciantur acriori. Dion. Dxig.
    z "The Eastern Churches have always permitted priests and deacons to continue in the married state even to the prosent day, though they prohibit marriage after ordination, and enjoined celibacy on Bishops in the Council in Trullo, a.d. 692." Palmer's Treatise, ii. 334, i. e. in Can. 13, where after stating they were aware that in the Church of the Romans those who were to be ordained deacons or priests were required to promise they would not live with their wives, still they deelare that "following the ancient Canon of apostolic perfection and order"
    
    
    
     ch. v. pp. 149-53. Palmer's Treatise, \&e., vol. ì. pp. 333.7.

[^73]:    ${ }^{1}$ Vox cupuaxò $\begin{gathered}\text { hoc in loco templum Domino Deoque nostro consecratum significat．Bev．In }\end{gathered}$ like manuer also Justellus，Dominicum pro templo seu Eeclesia hic accipitır．
    ${ }^{2}$ In translating these words I have followed the interpretation of Balsamon and Zonaras． They both explain thus，＂it rests with the judgment of the bishop whether the purchaser re－
    
    
    
     seek（or ask）the pnrchase－money，according to that general rule in law，which says（xarà $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu$
     tract has no ground to demand back that which was given．＂In fact，the emphatic words at the end of the Canon（ $\alpha$ úrois rovirots，к．T．A．）seem to give a reason why the purchase－money paid should

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ This must, most probably, he understood with this limitation, namely, if he still lived with his wife and was aware of her puilt, otherwise the rule here laid down would be manifestly harsh. Sce Reliq. Sac., vol. iii. pp. 446-7. Probably the view of this case given in Iermes was that generally entertained. He there asks the Shepherd, Domine, si quis babuerit uxerem fidelem in Domino, et hanc invenerit in adultero, nunquid peceat vir, si convivat cum illa? Et dixit mihi : Quandiu nescit peccatum ejus, sine crimine est vir vivens cum illa. Si autem scierit vir uxorem suam deliquisse, et non egerit peenitentiam mulicr, et permanet in fornicatione sua, et convivat cum illa vir; reus erit peceati ejus, et particeps mochationis ejus. Mand. iv. ch, i. (Patrol. Greec. vol, ii. col. 919). Si cum conscientia mariti uxor fuerit meechata, placuit, nec in fine diundam esse communionem : si vero eam reliquerit, post decem annos accipiat communionem. Cone. Elib. Can. 70.

[^75]:     трокклаіоขтоя, к.т.入. Zonar.
    ${ }^{3}$ Si qua mulier, per adulterium, absente marito, conceperit, idque post facinus occiderit, placuit ei nec in fine dandam esse communionem, eo quod geminaverit scelus. Conc. Elib. Can. 63.
    
    
     cxxyviit, col. 587 ).

    4 See the 8th, 56th, and 57 th Canons of Basil. Id. col. 751.

[^76]:    ${ }^{1}$ Basil. Can. 72; Greg. Nyss. Can. 3.
    
    ${ }^{3}$ With this may be compared the 83rd Can. of Basil, where persons guilty of a similar offence are subjected to a six years' penance, distributed into the foilowing stages or stations; he says,
    
    

[^77]:    Twoav, let them fall under the Canon (or rule) of six years, and after they have been mourners a year, hearers a year, prostrators for three years, and have stood one year with the faithful (i.e. co-standers), let them thus be received.
     $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\delta} \nu v a ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o t$. Bals.

[^78]:    
    
     of the holy and blessed Fathers who assembled at Neocæsarea, which are indeed later than those made at Ancyra, but more ancient than the Nicene; however, the Synod of Nicea has been placed before them on account of its peculiar dignity).
    
     tracted after ordination. "Presbyteris matrimonio contraheado hic interdictum est. Ex quo interdicto constare potest, nonnullos tunc dierum presbyteros feminas sibi matrimonio conjunxisse: aliter enim non opus fuisset, ut hoc prohiberetur. Sed ohservandum est præterea, hoc canone non cautum esse, ne presbyteri uxores suas ante ordinationem ductas haberent, ... sed tantum ne postea ducant." Bev. See Cone. Ancy. Cas. 10; Gang. Can. 4.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is not clear now what the appointed penance for such offenders was. Basil the Great (see his 4th Canon) says, they who married three times were to be excluded from full commumion for five years, but this time was not fixed by canon but by custom. See Balsamon's note on 4th Canon of Basil. (Patrol. Græc. yol. cxxxviii. eol. 595). On this Canon Zon. observes . . Tiva
    
    
    
     of the case, every one at the time knew the period fixcd by custom for the public penance of such offenders, but if such custom was founded on a law of the Church, such law does not seem now to be extant, and apparently did not exist in the time of Basil.

    2 "This Canon looks more like an article of doctrine than a rule of discipline; unless you will suppose the meaning of it to be, that such a person is saved from penance, and so does not fall under Church censure, though by some overt act, or by his own confession, it does appear that he resolved to commit lewdness. So Zonaras takes it in his Scholion on 32nd Can. Bas." Johnson. Hoe videtur velle hic Canon eum non cadere sub poenam aliquam discipline ecclesiastice qui, propositum, \&e. Osiander. (See Canones, \&e. Witeb. 1614, page 68-9).
    ${ }^{3}$ A more perfect kind of catechumen, who, as being longer under instruction (the competentes), was permitted to attend a larger portion of the service than the others. This distinction he for-

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lit. "who shall be the priest," \&c. Bingh. translates, " how then shall a presbyter," \&c. This seems to be the meaning given to the words by Balsamon; he says, . . . "for he seems as if by his presence he agreed to the marriage, and shall not be able to lay any punishment on the digamist;" certainly he conld not do so without, at the same time, convicting himself of an in-
    
    
     shall he, who, by his presence, approved of the second marriage, not be ashamed when inflicting punishment on the digamist.'
    2 Ex his verbis facile est colligere, qnales digami hic intelligendi sunt; tales nimirum qui duas habent eodem tempore uxores. Laicis enim, qui post mortem unius aliam uxorem ducunt, pøena a nullo unquam Canone imposita fuit, nee pablica ab eis exacta ponitentia. Bev. From this statement Johnson dissents (Recte hac de re dissentit a Bev. Cl. Johnsonus, Routh), and refers, in support of his own opinion, to Can. 3 of this Council; Canon Laod., Can. 1 ; Bas., Can. 4. But see Bingham's Antiq., B. xvi. ch. xi. sec. 7. After an examination of the question that careful and accurate writer concludes thus, . . . so that whatever private opinions some might entertain in this matter, or whatever private rules of discipline there might be in some particular Churches in relation to digamists, it is evident the general rule and practice of the Charch was not to bring such under discipline, as guilty of any crime, which at most was oniy

[^81]:    an imperfection in the opinion of many of those who passed a heavier censure upon it. So also B. xy. ch. iv. sec, 18.
    
     law likewise enjoins that the misconduct of the wife is a disqualification in a candidate for holy orders, and justifies his deposition if the fact of such misconduct is not discovered until after the orders are conferred. See, among other places, Novell. xxii. chap. xlii., where it is said, Si vero cum sit laïcus, ad ordinationem subdiaconi, aut diaconi aut presbyteri venire voluerit, deinde appareat mulierem habens, non ex virginitate sibi copulatam, sed aut disjunctam a marito, aut aliter non ab initio mox sibi legitime conjunctam, aut etiam ipse ad secundas venerit nuptias : non impetrabit sacerdotium: sed et licet latenter ad boc venerit, omnino eo cadet. Corp. Jur. Civ., page 517, rol. ii. Amstel. 1681. See also Novell. vi. ch. v. Nov. cxxiii. ch. xiv. page 619.
    $₫$..ne ab iis, qui exemplum bonm conversationis esso debent, ab eis videantur scelerum magisteria procedere. Conc. Elib., Can. 65.
    
     Zon.
    
    

[^82]:    1 "That is, country presbyters, as Dion. Exig. rightly translates. For in this and the following Canon (or rather in this alone, since in many editions this is joined to the other) country bishops and presbyters are spoken of so far as they are distinguished from those appointed in
     r $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi}$. The former are forbidden to offer the oblation in the church of the city in presence of the bishop or presbyters of the city, but the latter are permitted. So that if there were no other argument, from this at least it may be proved with sufficient clearness that the chorepiscopi were not country presbyters, from whom they are here most clearly distinguished. Nor, in truth, can any reason begiven why the former should be called ehorepiscopi, the latter country presbyters, except that the former were really bishops, the latter really presbyters appointed in the country." Bey.
    ${ }^{2}$ On the origin of prebendaries, see Palmer's Treatise, \&c., vol. ii. p. 302-3.
    ${ }^{3}$ See note ${ }^{1}$ on Can. 13.
    ${ }^{4}$ See Bingh. Antiq., B. ii. ch. xx. see. 19. In the Church of Rome, in the time of Cornelius, there were 46 presbyters, 7 deacons, 7 subdeacons, 42 acolyths, exorcists, readers, ostiarii 52 ,
     Sozomen (Eec. H. vii. 19) says that in his time there were no more than seven deacons in the Church of (the city of) Rome, although in other Churches the number of them was indefinite
    

[^83]:    1 "This is the inscription of this Synod in the Bodleian copy, to which the Amberbachian adds twenty (eikoou). In the Paris edition of Zonaras is this inseription, Kavòveg $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ ì $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ Гáryoq
     the boly Fatbers who assembled at Gangra, which were published after the Synod at Nicea). In the Paris edition of Balsamon there is a twofold inscription prefixed, one to the preface, namely,
    
    
    ${ }_{2}$ As Saturnilus (or Saturninus), the Apostolies, and the Hieracites did, also the Encratite. Of Tatian, Irenæus says, . . " "asserting also like Marcion and Saturninus that marriage was
     topviva duayopev́raç. Ap. Eus. Eec. His., B. iv. ch. xxix. Johan. Damase. declares that the Encratitx attributed marriage to the devil; he says, speaking of them, of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{n}^{\prime} \sigma \pi a \sigma \mu a \tau v \gamma x \dot{-}$

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ Others read $\pi \varepsilon_{\rho} i$, the meaning then will be "discriminate," or "contend about," \&c.
    2 This is more especially directed against the Eustathians, and of course also against all who share their sentiments in this respect. The bishops say of them, ... каi $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau$ spev yєyaur-
     Soz. Ecc. H, iii. 14, col. 1079. Mig. ed. Hic Canon perspicue docet, \&c. "This Canon clearly teaches, that among the ministers of the Church there were among them some married, and they are condemned with an anathema who suppose that a presbyter living in marriage ought not to administer the Lord's Supper, \&c. Note in loc. Canones, \&c., ed. Witeb. 1614.
    ${ }^{3}$ This the Eustathians did; the bishops in the Epistle say of them, zupioxov o de cai àa才w-
    
    
    ${ }^{4}$ "Gentianus Hervetus translates, Si quis prater Ecclesiam vult Ecelesiam habere. As this version did not meet approval, I have substituted in its place, Si quis extra Ecelesiam privatim conciones habet. For so Dion. Ex., Si quis extra Ecelesiam seorsum conventus celebrat. For
     semblies), or rather mapaovjá $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{c}}$ ('secret' or 'illegal assemblies'), that is to say, private con-

[^85]:    venticles held for the purpose of religion are condemed (perstringuntur) by this Canon." Bp Ber.
    " Johnson in his translation has inserted the word "constituted" in brackets, thus: "[constituted] by the consent of the bishop." This gives a somewhat different turn to the meaning, and seems to make the Fathers object co these assemblies, because conducted without the presence of a lawfully-ordained minister. But the thing objected to is that the priest aeted without the licence and consent of the bishop. Balsamon says, . . . the present Canon anathematizes . . . also those who take upon them to perform sacred offices in an oratory, without the knowledge of
     mitted to minister (ifeovpyeiv) in an oratory that is in a private house, unless the bishop of the
     tion ahout the ordination of the minister, that is assumed.
    
     the beginning were presented to the church, they (i. e. the Eustathians) divided among themselves and their party, as to saints," \&c.). Synod. Epist. The sources from which the support of the clergy was anciently derived are accurateiy detailed in Bingh. Antiq., B. v. ch. iv. v.

[^86]:     that by ecclesiastical fruits, in the first Canon, is meant what was given for the maintenance of the clergy; and by fruit in the other Canon, what was given for the poor." This is not likely, but I suppose the translation of Dion. Exig. suggested the idea. The title of the 7th Can. in his translation is, De fructuum oblationibus, qua ministris Ecclesix conferuntur; and of the 8th, De his que in usus pauperum conferuntur. The words of the 8th Canon in Dion. Fx, are, Si quis dederit, vel acceperit, obtata præter episcopnm, vel eum qui constitutus est ab eo, ad dispensandam misericordiam pauperibus; et qui dat, et qui aecepit, anathema sit.
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Gentianus IIervetus vertit, sit quis eorum qui sunt virgines propter Dominum. Rectius Dion.

[^87]:    Ex., si quis earum, qui virginitatem propter Dominum servant. Vetus Interpres totum Canonem sic vertit: si quis virginitaten eustodiens exaltat se ab his qui mubut, sit anathema: ac si verba
     non esse coram Dco digntorem matrimonio. Osiander ap. Canones, \&e., ed. a. Ehingero. Witem. 1614.
    ${ }^{4}$ Bing. Antiq, B. xy. ch. vii. sec. 6-10, and Justellus' note in loc. Conc. Laod. Can. 28. The manner in which the Agapæ were conducted in the time of Tertullian may be seen in Bp Kaye's Tertull., pp. 428-30. Cam. 1826.
    
    

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ Oí
     $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\omega} \nu \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \dot{z} \pi i \boldsymbol{\beta} \lambda \eta \mu a \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda o v \nu$. Bals. Sozomen says, speaking of the Eusta-
    
    
     $\dot{\text { ón }}$ ß ". . ó $\lambda o ́ \beta p o o \nu$, Holoverum nihil aliud fuit quam vestis serica, quæ tota vero sive purpureo colore

[^89]:    tinata est, adeo ut stamen, pque ac trama, eodern colore inficerefur: ac propterea non Holoberum sed Holoverum a Latinis recte dicitur." Bev. . See Bingh. Antiq., B. qi. ch. iv. sec. 19, \&ce.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Synod. Epist., and Sozom. Ecc. H., iii. 14.
    ${ }^{4}$ Dion. Exig. translates thus: Quicunque filii a parentibus, sub protextu divini cultus, abscedunt, nee debitam reverentiam dependunt illis, qui divinum cultum sibi proculdubio procferunt, anathema sit. It will be observed he did not find in his copy the words $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega ั ้$.

[^90]:    
     teres autem a jejuniis die Dominico peragendis religiose admodum abstinuisse, Tertullianus testatur, dicens: Die Dominico jejuniwm nefas ducimus. De Cor. Mil. ch. iv. (But that the ancients very religiously abstained from fasting on the Lord's day Tertallian testifies, saying, We esteem it impious to fast (lit. "We esteem a fast impions") on the Lord's day.) The one Sabbath on which it was lawful to fast, according to the Apost. Can. quoted ahove, was the Seturday immediately preceding the day of our Lord's resurrection, and was called rò $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma a \Sigma a \beta$ -

[^91]:    $\beta a r o \nu$. While the day of our Lord’s resurrection was called 'H $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ ì $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\rho} \alpha$. Sce Bp Bev. note on 65 Ap. Can. ; Gunning, Paschal on Lent Fast, page 303, Oxf. 1845 ; Bp Kaye's 'Tertull., p. 412-13, Camb., 1826.
    ${ }^{2}$ Conf. Apost. Can. 69.
    ${ }^{3}$ Dion. Ex. translates the latter part of this Canon thus, . . . et ab Ecclesia custodita, superbiendo dissolvit, stimulo swe (which favours the reading oiksiow) cogitationis tmpulsus, anathema sit.

[^92]:    " In all the copies of Balsamon and Zonaras this is reckoned among the Canons of this Council, and for this reason they have written Scholia upon it; but it is not properly a Canon, but an appendix to the Canons, in which tho Fathers explain more clearly their meaning in publishing the Canons, lest they should be improperly understood, and therefore it is not mentioned either by John of Antioch, or Photius, or by Dion. Exig., but all these, and others whom I men-

[^93]:    tioned before, rightly ascribe twenty Canons only to this Synod. But in the Ancient Latin Interpreter these words are read, annexed indeed to the Canons, but not numbered with them (verum extra Canonum numerum). And cautiously in truth, and with the greatest prudence, they were subjoined to the Canons, for otherwise they could easily be wrested to a wrong (bad) sense." Bev.

[^94]:    
     Syria' added, to distinguish it from other cities of the same mame, of which there were many. This Antioch, where the present Synod was celebrated, was the motropolis of Syria, in which the disciples of Christ were first called Christians, and the bishop of which was afterwards honoured with the title of Patriarch."
    ${ }^{2}$ Bals. and Zon. remark that nothing is to be found on this subject in the Canons of the Synod.
    

[^95]:     Soc. Ecc. H., i. 9 ; see also ch. viii. col. 65. Mig. ed.
    
    
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Apost. Can. 7. On the agreement between the Canons called Apostolical and the Canons of this Council, see Codex Can., vol. i. 34, \&e. Oxf. 1848.

    6 The list of the clergy, hence the clergy themselves.

[^96]:    ${ }^{1}$ After a comparison of this Canon with the 9th Apost. Canon Bp Bev. concludes, "Whence it is clear that the first part of this Canon of Antioch is nothing else than a certain paraphrastical explanation of that Apost. Canon.' . . . Codex Can., 1, 37.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tertul. (de Pœuitent. ch. is.), describing the homologesis, says it was required of the penitent (among other things) . . "to prostrate himself before the presbyters of the Church, to

[^97]:    kncel before the friends of God, and beg of all the brethren that they would besome intercessors for his pardon." Bingh. B. xviii. ch. iii.
     Laod. Can. 33, inf.
    ${ }^{4}$ Can. 6, inf., and Afr. Code, Canon 9. Conc. Sard. Can. 13.
    ${ }^{5}$ Comp. Apost. Can. 15; Nicæ. Can. 16; Chal. Can. 5, sup.

[^98]:    1 "This, with the following, or fifth Canon of this Conncil, was recited verbatim by the Council of Chalcedon in the matter of Carosus and Dorotbeus, under the names of the 83 rd and 84th Canons, not of this Council, but of the book from which they were read." Bev.
    ${ }^{3}$ Conf. Can. 12. inf. and note, and Apost. Can. 28.
     Zonaras.

[^99]:    4 When Paul of Samosata, after being condemned by the Synod, retained the Episcopal residence with the Church attached to it, application being made to the Emperor Aurelian, he was
    
     Zenobia would induce the emperor to regard him in an unfavourable light.

[^100]:     ribus peregre proficiscentibus, quibus ostensis quocunque se oonvertunt hospitio exceptii sunt. Bev. Zon. supposes that here, as in 33rd Apost. Canon, the clergy is intended, Ervovs ovev
    
     states that the Bishop of Constantinople and Carthage could receive clergymen against the wish of the bishops who ordained them. After stating that strangers could not be enrolled among the clergy of another Church, without producing letters dommendatory and dimissory from their
    
    
    
    

[^101]:    ing written in a certain style and form of words, were called by the general name of literæ formate.
    ${ }_{3}$ The Epistole Pacifice were also called Dimissorix. They were granted to bishops by their metropolitan, to metropolitans by the patriarch, when lawfol business required them to go to Constantinople, \&e., and by bishops to clergymen who desired to remove from oue city to another.
     34. Tribus enim prioribus æræ Christianæ seculis, metropolis in ecclesiæ notitia prizra cathedra, et metropolitani simpliciter prima episcopi appellabantur. Codex Can. vol. i. page 38.
    ${ }^{5}$ A statement which will enable the diligent student of Church History to explain the origin, and trace out the progress, of much of the power and influence exercised by certain bishops in ancient times.

[^102]:    ${ }^{1}$ Few, I shonld suppose, will refuse assent to the conclusion of Bp Bev., namely, that " the anciont Canon"" here spoken of is the 34th Apost. Canon. "Now since these things are contained almost word for word in the Apostolical Canon, and no other Canon exists more ancient than the Synod of Antioch in which they are contained, no one can doubt but that the more ancient Canon mentioned by the Synod is the 34th Apost. Canon itself" (ipsissimus sit Apostolicus xuxiv.). Cod. Can. 1. 40.
    ${ }^{2}$ Because, as Cyprian says, Episcopatus unus est, cujus a singulis in solidum pars tenetur. De Unit. Eccles. page 108, Fell's ed. $\bar{A}$ nd again (Epis. 55 , page 110), Manente concordite vinculo, et perseverante Catholice ecelesim individuo sucramento, actum sum disponit, et dirigit unusquisque episcopus, rationem propositi sui domino rediturus.
     of the bishop's hands," but "episcopal ordination." It will be observed that the reading

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the special meaning of this word in the ancient Canons, consult Bp Bev. note on 34th Apost. Canon. He says, "The word $\gamma \nu^{\dot{\omega}} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \eta$ in this and similar places of the ancient Canons means not a bare suffrage or vate, but authority united with the suffrage."
    
    
     Code, Can. 36. On the subject of Appeals, consult the 31st Can. of Af. Code; the conclusion
    
     not be received into Communion by any one in 1 frica). Conf. Con. Sardie., CC, $7,8,9$.
    ${ }^{3}$ 'I his Canon (and not only this but the 14th and 15th also) was framed in order to condemn Athanasius. For the bishops, about 90 in number, who assembled at Antioch on pretence of dedicating the Church, begran by Constantine and finisked by his son, with a great show of zeal for the good order of the Church, and a regard to Catholic truth, had really in view the overthrow of the Homoousian doctrine, or the trine doctrinc concerning the Divinity of our Lord. In
    

[^104]:    in order to effect the ruin of Athanasius, the great champion of orthodox truth. They thought they had now a fair opportunity for effecting their purpose, because Athanasius, being recalled from exile by the younger Constantine, had taken possession of his see on the authority of the emperor's recall, without waiting to be formally reinstated by the authority of a Synod, hence, says Soc., "the party of Eusebius made it their chief business to calumniate Athanasius, first, indeed, for having acted contrary to a Canon which they themselves then made (this 12th Can.) in having resumed his episcopal rank ( $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \xi_{t \nu} \tau \tilde{\eta} s$ iepuaiv $\eta \mathrm{c}$ ) without the consent of a common Synod of Bishops." Soe. Ecc. ii. 8; Soz. iii. 5. He was accordingly deposed, and a successor appointed in his room. See Codex, Can. i. $45-50$. While it is true that the bishops who framed the Canons of this Council were tainted with Arianism, still, as they do not touch on points of doctrine, and frame most of their Canons in studied imitation of the Canons called Apostolical, they are of authority, especially as they were reecived into the code of the universal Charch. When Chrysostom was accused of having broken the provisions of this Canon by resuming his see on the authority of a smaller Synod than that which had condemned him, and he replied that this Canon was the work of men of heterodox views, his plea was not allowed. Soz. Ecc. H., viii. 20; and Vales, note.

[^105]:    1 "This, together with the following Canon, was recited by the Bishop Leontins, in the Council of Chalcedon, from the book of the Canons, in which this is called the 95 th, and the following the 96 th , according to the order observed in that book of the Canons. . . Where also by virtue of these Canons Bassianus and Stephanus were deprived of the Episcopate of Ephesus, their dignity or honour only, with communion, and necessaries for sustaining life, being allowed to them." Bev.

[^106]:    
    ${ }^{3}$ Conf. Apost. Can. 36 ; Ancy. Can. 18 ; Chaleed. 29.
    
    

[^107]:    ${ }^{1}$ So the whole period intervening between Easter and Whit-Sunday was called. The 37th
    
     $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau$ à тò Пáбха.
    ${ }^{2}$ Semel quidem post tertiam septimanam festi Paschalis : ita ut quarta septimana Pentecostes conveniat synodus. Dion. Ex.

[^108]:    ${ }^{3}$ Cum neminem tamen (i. e. notwithstanding the corruption of the text, which has $\delta_{\text {ex }} \tau \pi$ for $\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \kappa(1 \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta)$ lateat mensem Hyperberetæum in anno Macedorico ct Antiocheno cum Octobri Juliano coincidere, non decimus, sed decimus quintus, Hyperberetæi Idibus Octobris respondet. Ber. Cod. Can. l, 4 l .

[^109]:    1 Nareissis, Bp of Jerusalem, in his old age, appointed Alexander his coadjutor, and this is the first example of such a practice on record. Eus. Ece. His., B. vi. ch. 11. Theotecnus, Bp of Cesarea, ordained Anatolius not only his coadjutor, but as his successor; "but this is prohibited by the present Canon, Also, after the publication of this Canon, many examples to the contrary everywhere occur. To omit others; Severus, in the Church of Milevis, designated his succeasor, as St Augustine says, Epis. 110. Nay, even Augustine himself was ordained Bp of IIippo by Valerius, and he himself appointed or designated Eradius his successor, as he testifies in the same place. But what is to be observed, all these elections were approved by the suffrages of the clergy and people." Bev. Another circumstance worthy of obscrvation is the fact that when Valerius appointed Augustine his assistant in the see of Hippo, Augustine, at least, knew
    

[^110]:    $\dot{\omega} \sigma(\nu\rangle$, for he himself states, Adhuc in corpore posito beatæ memoriæ patre et episcopo meo Valerio, episcopus ordinatus sum, et sedi cum illo : quod Coneilio Nicano prahibitum fuisse nesciebam. Conf. Apost. Can. 76, and the notes of Br. Bev.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Conc. Nicx. Can. 4, and 19 Can. of this present Council.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Bp}$. Bev. has made it clear beyond a doubt, that the Canons of this Council of Antioch were framed in studied imitation of the ancient collection known as the Apostolical Canons: Nowhere, perhaps, is this imitation more apparent than in this and the following Canon (Comp. Apost. Can. 40, 41). But still there are considerable changes and modifications of the earlier Constitutions introduced into the Canons of this Conncil : a careful examination of such changes wonld amply repay the diligent student. In this examination he will be well assisted by Bishop Beveridge. Cod. Can., vol. i. pp. 34, 52. Oxf. 1848.

[^111]:    1 Apost. Can. 40, 41.
    ${ }^{2}$ No mention is made of these in the Apostolical Canon. Hinc conficitur Canones Apostolicos istis diebus conditos fuisse, quibus ecclesia nullis adhue latefundijs locupletata, episcopos, clerum paupercsque suos e solis fidelium oblationibus primitiisque alebat. Hence it is made appear

[^112]:    that the Apost. Canons were framed in those days in which the Church, enriched as yet by no large possessions, supported ber bishops, clergy, and poor, by the oblations and first-fruits of the faithful alone. Ber. Cod. Can. 1. 41-2.

[^113]:    
    
     the property of the Church, the Canon orders that he be corrected (or "set right") by the Provincial Synod." Zon. So Dion. Exig. understood the word; he translates, Synodo provinciæ penas iste per solvat. See Bp. Bev. note.

[^114]:    1 The title in the Paris edition of Zonaras is Kavóves rüg ív Aaodoкeía ring Maкatavins
    
    
    " "Justellus observes that there are three sorts of digamy: 1 . Having two wives at once. 2. Marrying two successively, one after the death of the other. 3. Marrying a second wife, after having divorced the former, a thing very common in the age and country where this Synod was held, and he is of opinion that it is the third sort of digamists against whom this Canon was made." Johnson. Sce the whole note, Patrol. 67, col. 116-18.
    
     left the time indefinite, but Basil the Great, in his fourth Canon, says, that a year is the time of punishment, but remarks that others fix two years as the time of yunishment for digamists." Zon.

[^115]:    
     $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{v} 0^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta$. Can. 4. Patrol. Grece. vol. exxxviii. col. 596.
    
    ${ }^{6}$ We decree coucerning the necessity of admitting to the communion according to the ecclesiastical Canon . . . those who, \&c. Every Canon of this Conncil begins with the words $\pi \varepsilon p i$ roṽ, or ö́t où $\dot{0} \in \boldsymbol{i}$, some such word as $\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{i} \sigma a \mu \varepsilon \nu$ being understood throughout. The translation of each Canon should in strictness begin either with We decree coneerning, \&c., or We decree that, \&c., but it has not been thought neeessary to adhere to this form.

    7 Apost. Can. 80 : Conc. Nica. Cau. 2. Nectarius was not even baptized when elected to be
     $\dot{\hat{E}} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \circ \pi \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \rho о \varepsilon \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$. Soc. E.ce. H., v. 8. Conf. Justin. Novell. 121, ch. i. sec. 2.

[^116]:    ${ }^{2}$ Conf. Con. Nic. Can. 17. Si qnis clericorum detectus fuerit usuras accipere, placuit eum degradari, et abstineti. Con. Elib. Can. 20: according to this Canon, if a layman were proved to have received usury, and promised amondment, he was to be forgiven, "but if he persevered in this iniquity he is to be cast out of the Church;" si vero in ea iniquitate duraverit, ab ecclesia esse proficiendum. 'The Council of Arles (Can. 12) passes a somewhat nilder seutence.

    2 See note to Conc. Nicæ. Can, 17, supra. Dion. Ex. thus translates this Canon: Quod non oporteat sacerdotes et clericos fencrantes usuras, vel quæ dicuntur sescupla, id est et summam capitis et dimidium summa, percipere.
    ${ }^{3}$ Xeıporovia hic accipitur pro electione, seu suffragii forma. Justel. Bals. and Zon. say the same, Хєı оотоviac ह่ข

    4 From this mention of the Photinians, Bp Bev. proves, in opposition to Baronius, Binius, \&c.,

[^117]:    that this Conncil was not, as they maintained, held in the time that intervened between the Council of Neocesarea and that of Nicæa. It is true the Photinians are not mentioned in the translation of this Canon by Isidore Mereator, but then "all the Greek copies" bave the word as well as the translation of Dion. Exig. So there seems to be little ground for the suspicion of Baronius that it should be expunged. The Photinians were admitted into the Church without a new haptism, becanse, in the celobration of that rite among themselves, the name of the Trinity was invoked in the formula used by the Church. For the same reason the baptism of the Arians was regarded as valid.
    $\delta_{5}$ The real Quartodccimans were they who celebrated the Paschal Feast on the 14th day of the first Jewish month, no matter on what day of the week it happened to fall. The qucient British and Irish Christians were not really Quartodecimans.

[^118]:    ${ }^{1}$ Euseb. Ecc. His., B. v. ch. xvi. xviii. Kaye's Tertul. pp. 13-36; Robertson's Ch. His., i. 74-83.
    2 "The order of Bishops, was only the third in the Montanistic bierarchy-Patriarchs and Cenones being superior to it.' Rob. p. 76.

    3 "Houses in which strangers were accustomed to sleep, were called cot $\mu \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho a$ in Athenæus, Lib. iv. ch. v. . . . Hence Christians being taught that death was nothing else than a kind of sleep" (i. e. fur the body) "until the general resurrection, in which all will arise, named the places set apart for burying their dead кou $\mu \eta r \eta^{\prime} \rho \boldsymbol{a}$, as you would say sleeping-places." Bev., Justel.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cyprian denied that any could be a real martyr who was not a member of the Church. He says, speaking of schismaties, Even though such be slain for the confession of his name, that blot is not washed out even by blood. The inexpiable and grievous fault of disunion is not cleared away even by suffering. He cannot be a martyr who is not in the Church. Esse martyr non potest, qui in ecclesia non est. De Unit. Eccles. page 113, Fell's edition; and on next page he says of such, Occidi talis potest, coronari non potest.

[^119]:    5 According to Zon. this may mean honour or worship, as well as the cure of diseases.
    
     (Likewise it is resolver, that the children of clergymen be not united in marriage to heathens or hereties.) Afr. Code, Can. 24; Conc. Chalced. Can. 14; Conc. in Trullo, Can. 72; and Can. 31 infr.
    ${ }^{7}$ These, it will be observied, are not called $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta v \tau \varepsilon \rho i \delta a s$ or iєpiogas (presbyteresses or priestesses), an order which Epiph. denied to have ever existed in the Christian Church. Johnson's translation (priestess) therefore is certainly wrong. Perbaps his own admission will be regarded by every one as quite decisive against himself on this subject. He says, speaking of these $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta v \tau i \delta \varepsilon$, "They are by all writers I have met with confourded with deaconesses." The reader should consult Bingh. Antiq, B. ii, ch. xxii.
    ${ }^{8}$ So called because they sat in the church in front of the other women in a place appointed for themselves. Justellus says: et quia supra ceteras mulieres sedebant in ecclesiis, \&c.

[^120]:    
    2 Dion. Exig. translates the latter part of this Canon thus . . hi videlicet qui plurimo tempore probantur tam verbo fidei, quam recti conversationis exemplo.

    3 "From hence it appears that a custom prevailed of sending the holy sacrament from one diocese to another, in token of mutual communion; and, indeed, this custom was older than Irenæus, for he speaks of former bishops who sent the eucharist to each other. See his letter to

[^121]:    Victor, Eus. His., lib. v. ch. xxiv." Johns. The words of Ireneus alluded to by Johnson are the
    
     note on this passage ; Bingh. Antiq., page 800. Bals. says the Latins practised this custom in
     most probably inaccurate.

[^122]:    
    2 Alex. Arist. explains the Canon thus: "In addition to the authorized (кeкyoupevag) prayers and the Canonical Books, no other prayers or private Psalms ought to be read or sung, but the same prayers ought always be offered up both in the morning and in the evening.' Zon.
    
     к.r. $\lambda$. Consult Balsamon's note.
    ${ }_{3}$ The nones, or ninth hour of the day, i. e. 3 p.m., hence our Noon. See Johnson in loc.
    4 These, as the word indicates, were formerly plain, familiar discourses. Conf. Just. M. Apol. sec. 67 .
    s A form of prayer for the catechamens may be seen in Apost. Const. lib. viii. ch. vi. They
     chapter of the same book is the prayer for those who are under penance. "The prayer of the catechumens here stands for the sacred ministration of lessons, prayers, and other things of this kind, which were celebrated in the Church before the dismissal of the eatechumens." Justellus. He further adds, hanc dimissionem Latini etiam missam dixerunt, ut remissam pro remissione.

[^123]:    ${ }^{6}$ Marshall's Pen. Discipline, page 67; Bingh. Antiq., B. xviii. ch. ii. Imposition of hands by the bishop and clergy was necessary, before an offender could be admitted to publie penance (Cyp. Ep. 16, page 37), and was continually repeated during all the time the penance lasted. Compare 4th Conc. of Carthage, Can. 80.
     $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega ั \nu \tau \rho i \tau \eta$. Justel.
    ${ }^{8}$ See Bingh. Antiq., B. xp. ch. i., where he calls this present Canon "one of the most remarkable Canons of the whole code."
    ${ }^{9}$ Just. Mart. in his Apology, scc. 6.5, says, "and ceasing from the prayers we salute one another with a kiss." But eare was used to avoid in this the imputation of impropriety: "And let the Deacon say to all, Salute one another with a holy kiss; and let the clergy salute the bishop, laymen the laymen, women the women." Apost. Const. col. 1089; Patrol. Grae. vol. i.
    ${ }^{10}$ Conf. Can. 44, inf., and Conc. in Trullo, Can. 69 , where an exception is made in favour of the emperors, "according to a most ancient tradition, when they wish to offer gifts to their
     тара́ðобн.

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ In 7th Can. of Conc. in Trullo, our Lord's words, Luke xiv. 8, are quoted by way of enforcing a similar injunction.
    
    ${ }^{3}$ ". . . these are brought to the holy table by priests or deacons." Alcx. Arist. At other times, as Balsamon observes, "the care or even removal of the sacred vessels devolved upon the sub-deacons, as their proper office."
    ${ }^{4}$ The orarium was a kind of scarf worn by deacons by which they used to give a signal to the people to prepare them for a change in the order of the service. In later times it was worn

[^125]:    ${ }^{1}$ This means no more than a probibition to distribute the consecrated elements to the people,
     Synopsis of the Canons on which he has commented, this Canon stands thus, "Aprov kai morj'-
    

[^126]:    2 This Canon is recited verbatim in Conc. in Trullo, Can. 74. African Code, Can. 45.
    3 When Constantine made a law that Sunday should be regarded as a day of rest, he made an exception ("prudenter excepit," says Justellus) in favour of agricultural operations. The Emperor Leo withdrew the concession.

[^127]:    ${ }^{1}$ This Canon is recited and renewed in Can. 77, Conc. in Trullo, only by this latter Council it is ordered that the offender, if a clergyman, be deposed; if a layman, be suspended from com-
     $\dot{\alpha} \phi \circ \rho!\zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Apost. Const. lib, i. ch. ix., where it is truly said, and specially with reference to this sub-
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Justinian (Novell. xxii. ch. xvi. sec. 1) allows a husband to divorce his wife, if guilty of this offence. See Justellus.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cone. in Trullo, Can. 72.

[^128]:    ${ }^{5}$ Can. Apost. 10, 45, 46; Conc. Antioch, Can. 2; Chalced. Can. 14; Afr. Code, Can. 9. St John would not remain in the same bath with Cerinthus, and Polycarp refused to recognize Marcion. Euseb. Ecc. H., lib. iv. eh. xiv.
    ${ }^{6}$ Compare 9th Can. of this Council.
     к. $\tau . \lambda$. Dion. Exig. translates thus: Quod omnem Christianum non oporteat deserere martyres Christi, ct ire ad pseudomartyres, id est, hereticorum, et quos ipsos constet hareticos exstitisse, \&c. Johnson, with good reason, suspects the clause to be a marginal note, which has crept into the text.

[^129]:    I "The ancients, both Christians and heathens, by mathematicians meant conjurers: and under this name they were forbidden by several imperial laws." Johnson. Bingh. Antiq., B. xpi. ch. v.
    ${ }_{2}$ Bingh. Antiq., B. xi. ch. $\begin{array}{r}\text {. sec. 8; B. xvi. ch. v. ; Conc. in Trullo, Can. GI. St Jerome on }\end{array}$ Math. xxiii. 5, observes, speaking of phylacteries: quod usque hodie Indi, Persm, et Babylonii faciunt: et qui hoe habuerit, quasi religiosus in populis judicatur.

[^130]:    ${ }^{3}$ Apost. Can. 70, upon which Bp Bev. observes: "When the Jews celebrated the feast of Purim they were accustomed to send to each other portions from the bainquet (Esth. ix. 19). In like manner in other festivals also, the Christians formerly who lived among Jews were not ashamed to receive from them portions of this kind. The rulers of the primitive Church, being informed of this, forbad it by this Canon, and inflicted punishment on the receivers, and that lest the Christians should seem to keep a fast or celebrate a festival with the Jews," idque ne Christiani cum Judxis jejunia vel festa peragere viderentur.

[^131]:    ${ }^{1}$ Apost. Can. 71.
    ${ }^{2}$ Apost. Can. 37 ; Nicx. Can. 5; Antioch. Can. 20; Chalced. Can. 19; Conc. in Trullo, Can. 8; \& \& \& \& c.
    
     ferently, . . vel reliquarum . . .rerum.
    4"Other Canons also order that clergymen do not travel without canonical letters, that is, letters recommendatory and dimissory." Dals. See Conc. Chalced. Can. 10; Antioch. Can. 7, 8, 11 ; Apost. Can. 12 ; Justin. Novell, vi. ch. iii.

[^132]:    ${ }^{5}$ Johnson asks how it is, that while there were ostiarii in this' church (Can. 24) the subdcacons kept the doors; he suggests, by way of explanation, that the subdeacons minded the doors of the chancel. This seems to be the truth. The comment of Alex. Arist. readily suggests this explanation; he says, "In the divine offices the subdeacon ought not to leave the doors of the
    
    ${ }^{6}$ That is, say Balsamon and Zonaras, the subdeacons must not interfere with the administration of the sacraments, or the public prayers of the church : or, according to Alex. Arist., such officers must attend to their fixed duties in the public assemblics, rather than to their own private devotions.

[^133]:    4 "What it is properly 'to live on dry food' we collect from Epiphanius, namely, to use merely bread, with salt and water, till evening." Bev. . . . Magisque conveniat omnem Quadragesimam districto vencrari jejunio. Dion. Exig.
    ${ }^{5}$ The holy season of Lent (as Balsamon observes) "should be devoted chiefly to sorrow for our sins;" whereas the Nativities of the Martyrs, or the day on which they laid down their liyes for the Lord, and entered into a new and more glorious state of being, was celebrated with joy
    
     membrance of those that have suffered (wrestled), and for the exercise and preparation of those who are about to suffer. See Martyr. Polycarp., sec. 18.

[^134]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dion. Exig. translates this Ford by plaudere, . . . "but more commonly it is understood by learned men as a prohibition of wanton dances at marriage feasts, against which there are several
    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Conc. in Trullo, Can. 24, 51.

[^135]:    ${ }^{3}$ In the ancient Grecian theatres, "in front of the orchestra, over against the middle of the stage stood an altar-like elevation with steps, and rising as high as the stage, called the Thymele. On this the chorus grouped itself when not singing." . . . Donaldson's Theatre of the Greeks, page 323 , 4th ed. : and again, page $140 \ldots$ called $\Theta v \mu \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\eta}$, which served both as an altar for the sacrifices that preceded the exhibition, and as the central point to which the choral movements were all referred;" hence $\theta v \mu ぇ \lambda u$ oog is used as a general name for actor.

[^136]:    1 These were itinerant or visiting presbyters. Bing. Antiq., B. ii. ch. xv. sec. 12. Heptodevtai
     oikeiay. Zon.
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare the 3Ist and 59th Canons of Conc. in Trullo. In the former it is ordered that clergymen who minister or baptize in oratories in private houses, mast have the permission of the bishop; according to the latter, baptism is by no means to be administered iu such places.
    
    
    
    

[^137]:    3 "Balsamon and Zonaras interpret these words of some psalms or other (de nescio quibus psalmis) ascribed to Solomon and others; but since they are called 'private,' psalms composed by private individuals either about the time of the Council itself, or before, are to be understood, \&e." Bey. They were called ideutiooi to distinguish them from the Holy Scriptures, and perhaps from those books which Rufinus (Com. in Symbol. Apost., sec. 38) calls Ecciesiastical Books, which were entitled $\delta \varepsilon \delta \eta / \sigma \sigma t \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon w a \quad \beta \iota \beta \lambda i \alpha$. See Hug's Introd. to the New Test., vol. i. p. 112, \&c. Lond. 1827. (Wait's 'Iranal.)

    4 In some editions this is not reckoned a separate Canon, but regarded as part of Canon 59.
    5 Ezra and Nehemiah.

[^138]:    ${ }^{1}$ For proof that this does not mean the separate book of Barach, see Cosin's Scholastical Hist. of the Canon, page $58, \&$ c. Lond. 1672 . Aristenus entirely omits the word; so does Caranza, as Johnson observes, Caranza, Sum. Concil. fol. 99. Lugd. 1587. Aristenus concludes his enumeration of the books of the Old Testament with this observation, ò $\mu$ ove elroaidío $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\alpha} \beta_{\imath} \beta \lambda i a$
    

    2 The Revelation is not mentioned, beeause it was not usually read in the public assemblies. See Bp Cosin as in last note, page 60, \&c. Its omission in this place may however be owing to

